

Orthogonal Symmetric Higher bi-Derivations on Semiprime Γ -Rings

Salah Mehdi Salih

Samah Jaber Shaker

Received 5/6/2018, Accepted 30/5/2019, Published 18/12/2019



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract:

Let M is a Γ -ring. In this paper the concept of orthogonal symmetric higher bi-derivations on semiprime Γ -ring is presented and studied and the relations of two symmetric higher bi-derivations on Γ -ring are introduced.

Key words: Higher bi-derivations on a Γ -ring, Orthogonal symmetric higher bi-derivations on a Γ -ring, Symmetric bi-derivations on a Γ -ring.

Introduction:

Let M and Γ be two additive abelian groups, M is called a Γ -ring if the following conditions are satisfied for any $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$:

- i) $x\alpha y \in M$
- ii) $x\alpha(y+z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$
 $x(\alpha+\beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y$
 $(x+y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$
- iii) $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$

The notion of a Γ -ring was first introduced by Nobusawa and generalized by Barnes 1966 (1) as above definition. It is well known that every ring is Γ -ring. M is called prime if $x\Gamma M \Gamma y = 0$ implies that $x=0$ or $y=0$ and it said to be semiprime if $x\Gamma M \Gamma x = 0$ implies that $x=0$ for all $x, y \in M$, (2). M is said to be n -torsion free if $nx=0$, $x \in M$ implies that $x=0$ where n is positive integer, (3). Khan A.R, Chaudhry M.A and Javaid I. in (4) defined a derivation on Γ -ring as follows: An additive mapping $d: M \rightarrow M$ is said to be derivation on M if $d(x\alpha y) = d(x)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y)$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Rahman M.M. and Paul A.C. in (5) are defined a Jordan derivation on Γ -ring as follows: An additive mapping $d: M \rightarrow M$ is said to be Jordan derivation on Γ -ring if $d(x\alpha x) = d(x)\alpha x + x\alpha d(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. It is clear that every derivation of a Γ -ring M is Jordan derivation of M . Suliman N.N. and Majeed A.H. in (6) are introduced the definition of orthogonal derivation on Γ -ring as follows: Let d and g be two derivations on M are said to be orthogonal if $d(x)\Gamma M \Gamma g(y) = (0) = g(y)\Gamma M \Gamma d(x)$ for all $x, y \in M$. Ozturk M.A, Sapanci M, Soyuturk M, Kim K.H. in (7) presented the definition of symmetric bi-derivation on Γ -ring M as follows: A mapping

$d: M \times M \rightarrow M$ is said to be symmetric if $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in M$.

symmetric bi-derivation on M if $d(x\alpha y, z) = d(x, z)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and d is said to be Jordan bi-derivation on M if $d(x\alpha x, y) = d(x, y)\alpha x + x\alpha d(x, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. It is clear that every bi-derivation of a Γ -ring M is Jordan bi-derivation of M . Marir A.M in (8) introduced the concept of higher bi-derivation on Γ -ring M as follows: Let $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of bi-additive mapping on $M \times M$ into M is said to be higher bi-derivation if $d_n(x\alpha y, z\alpha w) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x, z)\alpha d_j(y, w)$ for all $x, y, z, w \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Salah M.Salih and Ahmed M.M. in (9) introduced the concept of Jordan higher bi-derivations of prime Γ -rings as follows: let $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of bi-additive mapping on $M \times M$ into M is said to be Jordan bi-derivation if $d_n(x\alpha x, y\alpha y) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x, y)\alpha d_j(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

In this paper the results of the concept of orthogonal symmetric higher bi-derivations on semiprime Γ -ring are introduced, and some of lemmas and theorem are proved.

Orthogonal Symmetric Higher bi-Derivations on Semiprime Γ -Rings.

In this section the concept of orthogonal symmetric higher bi-derivations are presented and studied on Γ -rings and introduced an example and some lemmas.

Definition (2-1):

Let M be a Γ -ring, let $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $G = (g_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two symmetric higher bi-derivations on a Γ -ring M . Then d_n and g_n are said to be orthogonal if for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$d_n(x, y)\Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z) = (0) = g_n(y, z)\Gamma M \Gamma d_n(x, y)$$

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, AL-Mustansirya University, Baghdad, Iraq.

*Corresponding author: samahjaber33@gmail.com

Where $d_n(x,y)$
 $\Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y,z) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(y,z) = 0$, for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

Now, the following example is about orthogonal symmetric higher bi-derivations:

Example (2-2) :

Let M be a Γ -ring, d_n and g_n be two symmetric higher bi-derivations on M . Put $M' = M \times M$ and $\Gamma' = \Gamma \times \Gamma$, we define d'_n and g'_n on M' by $d'_n: M' \rightarrow M'$ and $g'_n: M' \rightarrow M'$ such that $d'_n((x,y)) = (d_n(x), 0)$ and $g'_n((x,y)) = (0, g_n(y))$ for all $x, y \in M'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then d'_n and g'_n are symmetric higher bi-derivations such that d'_n and g'_n are orthogonal.

Now, the following lemmas of orthogonal symmetric higher bi-derivations on Γ -ring M .

Lemma (2-3):(2) Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring an x, y be elements of M . If for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- i) $x \alpha M \beta y = 0$
- ii) $y \alpha M \beta x = 0$
- iii) $x \alpha M \beta y + y \alpha M \beta x = 0$

If one of these conditions is fulfilled, then $x \alpha y = y \alpha x = 0$.

Lemma (2-4) :(2) Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring and x, y be elements of M such that $x \alpha M \beta y + y \alpha M \beta x = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $x \alpha M \beta y = y \alpha M \beta x = 0$.

Lemma (3-5) :

Let M be a semiprime Γ -ring. Suppose that the bi-additive mappings d_n and g_n on $M \times M$ into M satisfies $d_n(x,y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(x,y) = (0)$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $d_n(x,y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y,z) = (0)$ for all $z \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof:

Suppose that $d_n(x,y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(x,y) = (0)$
 $d_n(x,y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(x,y) = 0$
 ... (1) for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

Replace x by $x + z$ in (1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x+z,y) \alpha \beta g_i(x+z,y) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (d_i(x,y) + d_i(z,y)) \alpha \beta (g_i(x,y) + g_i(z,y)) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(x,y) + d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(z,y) + d_i(z,y) \alpha \beta g_i(x,y) + d_i(z,y) \alpha \beta g_i(z,y) = 0$$

By equation (1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(z,y) = - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(z,y) \alpha \beta g_i(x,y) \dots (2)$$

Multiplication (2) by $\gamma \delta \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(z,y)$ for all $t \in M$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(z,y) \gamma \delta \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(z,y) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime Γ -ring

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(z,y) = 0 \dots (3)$$

Replace $g_i(z,y)$ by $g_i(y,z)$ in (3)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha \beta g_i(y,z) = 0$$

Thus $d_n(x,y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y,z) = (0)$

Lemma (2-6) :

Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring such that $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, x, y, z \in M$ and two symmetric higher bi-derivations d_n and g_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are orthogonal if and only if $d_n(x,y) \alpha g_n(y,z) + g_n(x,y) \alpha d_n(y,z) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof :

Suppose that

$$d_n(x,y) \alpha g_n(y,z) + g_n(x,y) \alpha d_n(y,z) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x,y) \alpha g_i(y,z) + g_i(x,y) \alpha d_i(y,z) = 0 \dots (1)$$

Replace x by $x \beta w$ in (1) for all $w \in M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x \beta w, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) + g_i(x \beta w, y) \alpha d_i(y, z) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \beta d_i(w, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) + g_i(x, y) \beta g_i(w, y) \alpha d_i(y, z) = 0 \dots (2)$$

Replace $d_i(w, y)$ by $g_i(w, y)$ in (2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \beta g_i(w, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) + g_i(x, y) \beta g_i(w, y) \alpha d_i(y, z) = 0 \dots (3)$$

By Lemma (2-4)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \beta g_i(w, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x, y) \beta g_i(w, y) \alpha d_i(y, z) \dots (4)$$

Replace $g_i(w, y)$ by m in (4) for all $m \in M$

$$d_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z) = (0) = g_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma d_n(y, z)$$

Thus d_n and g_n are orthogonal

Conversely, suppose that d_n and g_n are orthogonal

$$d_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z) = (0) = g_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma d_n(y, z)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \alpha \beta g_i(y, z) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x, y) \alpha \beta d_i(y, z)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \alpha \beta g_i(y, z) + \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x, y) \alpha \beta d_i(y, z) = 0$$

$$\text{By Lemma (2-3)} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x, y) \alpha d_i(y, z)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) + g_i(x, y) \alpha d_i(y, z) = 0$$

$$\text{Thus } d_n(x, y) \alpha g_n(y, z) + g_n(x, y) \alpha d_n(y, z) = 0$$

Main Results:

In this section introduce some theorems of orthogonal symmetric higher bi-derivations on semiprime Γ -ring.

Theorem (3-1): Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring such that $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, x, y, z \in M$. Two symmetric higher bi-derivations d_n and g_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are orthogonal if and only if $d_n(x,y) \alpha g_n(y,z) = 0$ or $g_n(x,y) \alpha d_n(y,z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof :

Suppose that $d_n(x, y) \alpha g_n(y, z) = 0$
 $d_n(x, y) \alpha g_n(y, z) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) = 0$
(1)

Replace x by $x\beta t$ in (1) for all $t \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x\beta t, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \beta d_i(t, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) = 0 \dots\dots(2)$$

Replace $d_i(t, y)$ by m in (2) for all $m \in M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \beta m \alpha g_i(y, z) = 0$$

$$d_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z) = (0) \text{ by Lemma (2-3) , } d_n$$

and g_n are orthogonal

Similarly way if $g_n(x, y) \alpha d_n(y, z) = 0$, d_n and g_n are orthogonal

Conversely, suppose that d_n and g_n are orthogonal

$$d_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z) = (0)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \alpha m \beta g_i(y, z) = 0$$

By Lemma (2-3)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) = 0$$

Thus $d_n(x, y) \alpha g_n(y, z) = 0$

And by $g_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma d_n(y, z) = (0)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x, y) \alpha m \beta d_i(y, z) = 0$$

By Lemma (2-3)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x, y) \alpha d_i(y, z) = 0$$

Thus $g_n(x, y) \alpha d_n(y, z) = 0$

Theorem (3-2) : Let d_n and g_n be two symmetric higher bi-derivations of a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring M and where d_n is commuting and g_n is commuting for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

- i) d_n and g_n are orthogonal.
- ii) $d_n g_n = 0$.
- iii) $g_n d_n = 0$.
- iv) $d_n g_n + g_n d_n = 0$.
- v) $d_n g_n$ is symmetric higher bi-derivation .
- vi) $g_n d_n$ is symmetric higher bi-derivation .

Proof : (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)

Suppose that d_n and g_n are orthogonal
 $g_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma d_n(y, z) = (0)$
 $d_n(g_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma d_n(y, z), m_1) = (0)$ for all $m_1 \in M$
 $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y) \alpha m \beta d_i(y, z), m_1) = 0$ for all $m \in M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m_1) \alpha d_i(m, m_1) \beta d_i(d_i(y, z), m_1) = 0 \dots\dots(1)$$

Replace $d_i(d_i(y, z), m_1)$ by $d_i(g_i(x, y), m_1)$ in (1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m_1) \alpha d_i(m, m_1) \beta d_i(g_i(x, y), m_1) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime Γ -ring

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m_1) = 0$$

$$d_n(g_n(x, y), m_1) = 0$$

$$d_n g_n = 0$$

Conversely , suppose that $d_n g_n = 0$

$$d_n(g_n(x, y), m) = 0 \text{ for all } m \in M$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m) = 0 \dots\dots(2)$$

Replace x by $x\alpha z$ in (2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x\alpha z, y), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y) \alpha g_i(z, y), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m) \alpha d_i(g_i(z, y), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m) \alpha g_i(d_i(z, y), m) = 0 \dots\dots(3)$$

Replacing $d_i(g_i(x, y), m)$ by $d_i(x, y)$ and

$g_i(d_i(z, y), m)$ by $g_i(y, z)$ in (3)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y) \alpha g_i(y, z) = 0$$

$$d_n(x, y) \alpha g_n(y, z) = 0$$

By Theorem (3-1) d_n and g_n are orthogonal

Proof : (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)

Suppose that d_n and g_n are orthogonal
 $d_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z) = (0)$ we get

$g_n(d_n(x, y) \Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z), m_1) = (0)$ for all $m_1 \in M$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(x, y) \alpha m \beta g_i(y, z), m_1) = 0 \text{ for all } m \in M$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(x, y), m_1) \alpha g_i(m, m_1) \beta g_i(g_i(y, z), m_1) = 0 \dots\dots(2)$$

Replace $g_i(g_i(y, z), m_1)$ by $g_i(d_i(x, y), m_1)$ in (2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(x, y), m_1) \alpha g_i(m, m_1) \beta g_i(d_i(x, y), m_1) = 0$$

Since M is semiprime Γ -ring

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(x, y), m_1) = 0$$

$$g_n(d_n(x, y), m_1) = 0$$

$$g_n d_n = 0$$

Conversely , suppose that $g_n d_n = 0$

$$g_n(d_n(x, y), m) = 0 \text{ for all } m \in M$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(x, y), m) = 0 \dots\dots(3)$$

Replace x by $x\alpha z$ in (3)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(x\alpha z, y), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(x, y) \alpha d_i(z, y), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(x, y), m) \alpha g_i(d_i(z, y), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(d_i(x, y), m) \alpha d_i(g_i(z, y), m) = 0 \dots\dots(4)$$

Replacing $g_i(d_i(x, y), m)$ by $g_i(x, y)$ and $d_i(g_i(z, y), m)$ by $g_i(y, z)$ in (4)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x, y) \alpha d_i(y, z) = 0 \text{ we get}$$

$$g_n(x, y) \alpha d_n(y, z) = 0$$

By Theorem (3-1) d_n and g_n are orthogonal

Proof : (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv)

Suppose that d_n and g_n are orthogonal

By Theorem (3-2) (ii) $d_n g_n = 0 \dots\dots(5)$

And by Theorem (3-2) (iii) $g_n d_n = 0 \dots\dots(6)$

By (5) and (6) $d_n g_n + g_n d_n = 0$

Conversely, suppose that $d_n g_n + g_n d_n = 0$

$$(d_n g_n + g_n d_n)(x, y) = 0$$

$$(d_n g_n)(x, y) + (g_n d_n)(x, y) = 0$$

$$d_n(g_n(x, y), m) + g_n(d_n(x, y), m) = 0 \text{ for all } m \in M$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m) + g_i(d_i(x, y), m) = 0 \dots\dots(7)$$

Replace x by $x\alpha z$ in (7) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x\alpha z, y), m) + g_i(d_i(x\alpha z, y), m) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y) \alpha g_i(z, y), m) +$$

$$g_i(d_i(x, y) \alpha d_i(z, y), m) = 0$$

$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m)\alpha d_i(g_i(z, y), m) + g_i(d_i(x, y), m)\alpha g_i(d_i(z, y), m) = 0$
 $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m)\alpha g_i(d_i(z, y), m) + g_i(d_i(x, y), m)\alpha d_i(g_i(z, y), m) = 0 \dots\dots(8)$
 Replacing $d_i(g_i(x, y), m)$ by $d_i(x, y)$ and $g_i(d_i(z, y), m)$ by $g_i(y, z)$ and $g_i(d_i(x, y), m)$ by $g_i(x, y)$ and $d_i(g_i(z, y), m)$ by $d_i(y, z)$ in (8)
 $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(x, y)\alpha g_i(y, z) + g_i(x, y)\alpha d_i(y, z) = 0$
 $d_n(x, y)\alpha g_n(y, z) + g_n(x, y)\alpha d_n(y, z) = 0$
 By Lemma (2-5) d_n and g_n are orthogonal

Proof : (i) ⇔ (v)

To prove
 $(d_n g_n)(xaz, y) = (d_n g_n)(x, y)\alpha(d_n g_n)(z, y)$
 $(d_n g_n)(x, y)\alpha(d_n g_n)(z, y)$
 $= d_n(g_n(x, y), m)d_n(g_n(z, y), m)$ for all $m \in M$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m)\alpha d_i(g_i(z, y), m) \dots\dots(9)$
 And $(d_n g_n)(xaz, y) = d_n(g_n(xaz, y), m) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(xaz, y), m)$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y)\alpha g_i(z, y), m)$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), m)\alpha d_i(g_i(z, y), m) \dots\dots(10)$
 By (9) and (10) $d_n g_n$ is higher bi-derivation
 Conversely, to prove d_n and g_n are orthogonal

$d_n(x, y)\Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z) = d_n(d_n(x, y)\Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z), t)$ for all $t \in M$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(d_i(x, y)\alpha m \beta g_i(y, z), t)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $m \in M$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), t)\alpha d_i(m, t)\beta d_i(g_i(y, z), t) \dots\dots(11)$

Replace $g_i(y, z)$ by $g_i(x, y)$ in (11)
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), t)\alpha d_i(m, t)\beta d_i(g_i(x, y), t)$
 Since R is semiprime Γ -ring and by Theorem (3-2) (ii)

$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(g_i(x, y), t)$ we get $d_n g_n = 0$
 Thus $d_n(x, y)\Gamma M \Gamma g_n(y, z) = (0)$ by lemma (2-3)
 d_n and g_n are orthogonal

Proof : (i) ⇔ (vi)

Similar way used in the proof of (v)
Theorem (3-3): Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring, d_n and g_n be two symmetric higher bi-derivations for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $d_n^2 = g_n^2$, then $d_n - g_n$ and $d_n + g_n$ are orthogonal.

Proof :

Suppose that $d_n^2 = g_n^2$, then for all $x, y \in M$:

$= [(d_n - g_n)(d_n + g_n) + (d_n + g_n)(d_n - g_n)](x, y)$
 $= [(d_n - g_n)(d_n + g_n)](x, y) + [(d_n + g_n)(d_n - g_n)](x, y)$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n [(d_i - g_i)(d_i + g_i)](x, y) + [(d_i + g_i)(d_i - g_i)](x, y)$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i^2 + d_i g_i - g_i d_i - g_i^2)(x, y) + (d_i^2 - d_i g_i + g_i d_i - g_i^2)(x, y)$
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2(x, y) + d_i(g_i(x, y), m) - g_i(d_i(x, y), m) - g_i^2(x, y) + d_i^2(x, y) - d_i(g_i(x, y), m) + g_i(d_i(x, y), m) - g_i^2(x, y)$ for all $m \in M$

$0 = d_n^2(x, y) + d_n(g_n(x, y), m) - g_n(d_n(x, y), m) - g_n^2(x, y) + d_n^2(x, y) - d_n(g_n(x, y), m) + g_n(d_n(x, y), m) - g_n^2(x, y)$
 $(d_n - g_n)(d_n + g_n) + (d_n + g_n)(d_n - g_n) = 0$
 By Theorem (3-1-6) (iv) $d_n - g_n$ and $d_n + g_n$ are orthogonal

Conflicts of Interest: None.

References:

1. Barnes WE. On The Γ -Rings of Nobusawa. Pacific J. Mathematical. 1966; 18: 411-422.
2. Kyuno S. On Prime Gamma Ring. Pacific J. of Mathematical. 1978; 75 : 185-190 .
3. Chakraborty S, Paul A. On Jordan K-derivations of 2-Torsion free Prime Γ N-Rings. Punjab University J. of Mathematical. 2008; 40: 97-101.
4. Khan AR, Chaudhry MA, Javaid I. Derivations on SemiPrime Gamma rings. Utilitas Mathematica. 2013.
5. Rahman MM, Paul AC. Jordan Derivations on 2-Torsion Free Semiprime Γ -Rings. Journal of Ban. Aca. of Sciences. 2014 ; 38(2) : 189-195.
6. Suliman NN, Majeed AH. Orthogonal Derivations on an ideal of Semiprime Γ -Rings. International Mathematical Forum. 2012; 7(28) : 1405-1412.
7. Ozturk MA, Sapanci M, Soyuturk M, Kim KH. Symmetric Bi-Derivation Prime Gamma Rings. Sci. Mathematical. 2000; 3(2): 273-281.
8. Marir MA. Higher Bi-Derivations on Prime Gamma Rings. M.SC. Thesis Education College. AL-Mustansiriya University. 2016
9. Salah MS, Ahmed MM. On Jordan Higher Bi-Derivations On Prime Gamma Rings. IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM). 2016 ; 12 : 66-68.

تعادم المشتقات الثنائية العليا المتناظرة على الحلقات شبه الأولية من النمط Γ

سماح جابر شاكر

صلاح مهدي صالح

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية، الجامعة المستنصرية، بغداد، العراق.

الخلاصة:

لتكن M حلقة من النمط Γ . في هذا البحث قدمنا مفهوم تعادم المشتقات الثنائية العليا المتناظرة على الحلقات شبه أولية النمط Γ ودرسنا العلاقات بين المشتقات الثنائية العليا المتناظرة على الحلقات شبه أولية من النمط Γ ووجدنا خواص مكافئة للتعادم.

الكلمات المفتاحية:

المشتقات الثنائية العليا المتناظرة على الحلقة شبه أولية النمط Γ ، تعادم المشتقات الثنائية العليا المتناظرة على الحلقة شبه أولية النمط Γ ، المشتقات الثنائية العليا المتناظرة على الحلقة شبه أولية النمط Γ .