Composition operator induced by $\varphi(z) = sz + t$ for which $|s| \le 1$, |t| < 1and $|s|+|t| \le 1$

Samira Naji Kadhim*

Received 5, March, 2009 Accepted 6, May, 2009

Abstract:

We study in this paper the composition operator that is induced by $\varphi(z) = sz + t$. We give a characterization of the adjoint of composition operators generated by selfmaps of the unit ball of form $\varphi(z) = sz + t$ for which $|s| \le 1$, |t| < 1 and $|s|+|t| \le 1$. In fact we prove that the adjoint is a product of toeplitz operators and composition operator. Also, we have studied the compactness of C_{φ} and give some other partial results.

Key words: composition operator, toeplitz operator, compact operator

Introduction:

Let U denote the unit ball in the complex plan, the Hardy space H^2 is the collection of holomorphic (analytic) function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) z^n$ with $\hat{f}(n)$ denoting the n-th Taylor coefficient , for which $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty$. The norm is defined by $\| f \|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2$ ($f \in H^2$). The particular importance of H^2 is duo to the fact that it is a Hilbert space. Let φ be a holomorphic function that take the unit ball U into itself (which is called homomorphic self-map of U). The composition operator $C_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$ induced by ϕ is defined on H² by the equation $C_{\varphi} f = f \circ \varphi (f \in H^2) [1].$

We state very loosely some basic facts on composition operator on H^2 .

Theorem 1:- Every composition operator C_{ϕ} is bounded.

Theorem 2:- C_{φ} is normal if and only if $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$, $|\lambda| \le 1$.

Theorem 3:- $C_{\phi} C_{\psi} = C_{\psi \circ \phi}$.

Furthermore an important special family of function in H^2 , namely $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in U}$. For each $\alpha \in U$,

Shapiro in [1], defined $K_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha}z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha}^n z^n$.

It is clear for each $f \in H^2$, $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) z^n$ that $\langle f, K_{\alpha} \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) \alpha^n = f(\alpha)$. Shapiro in [1] gives the adjoint of a composition operator on $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in U}$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 4:- Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of U, then for all $\alpha \in U$, C_{φ}^{*} $K_{\alpha} = K_{\varphi(\alpha)}$.

Finally, Bourdon in [2] gives an exact value of the H²-norm of composition operators induced by $\varphi(z)$ $\|C_{\varphi}\| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1+|s|^2 - |t|^2 + \sqrt{(1-|s|^2 + |t|^2})^2 - 4|t|^2}}$

The adjoint of composition operator C_{ω}

Let H^{∞} denote the collection of bounded holomorphic functions on U. The norm on H^{∞} is defined by $\| f \|_{\infty} =$ $\sup_{z \in U} |f(z)| [1].$

Recall that for $g \in H^{\infty}$, the toeplitz operator T_g is the operator on H^2 given by $(T_g f)(z) = g(z)f(z), f \in H^2$, $z \in U$ [3].

In this section we will try to calculate the adjoint of composition operator C_{ϕ}

^{*}Department of mathematics/ College of Science for women / University of Baghdad

induced by $\varphi(z) = sz + t$ for which $|s| \le 1$, |t| < 1 and $|s| + |t| \le 1$.

Theorem 5:- $C_{\phi}^{*} = T_g C_{\delta}$, where $g(z) = (1 - \bar{t}z), \ \delta(z) = \frac{sz}{1 - \bar{t}z}$.

Proof:- Since $|\mathbf{s}|+|\mathbf{t}| \le 1$, then $|1 - \bar{t}z| > |$ $1 - |\mathbf{t}| \ge |\mathbf{s}| (|\mathbf{z}| < 1 \text{ and } |\mathbf{t}| < 1)$. Hence | $\delta(z) |<1 (z \in U)$. Thus clearly δ maps U into itself. Moreover, $\|g\|_{\infty} =$ $\sup_{z \in U} |1 - \bar{t}z| < \infty$ (since $|\mathbf{t}| < 1$). Thus $g \in H^{\infty}$. This means that the formula makes sense . Now, for each $\alpha \in U$, we have by theorem $4 \quad C_{\phi}^* \quad K_{\alpha}(z) = K_{\phi(\alpha)}(z) =$ $\frac{1}{1 - (\bar{s}\alpha + \bar{t})z} = \frac{1}{1 - (\bar{s}\alpha + \bar{t})z} =$ $\frac{1}{1 - \bar{t}z - \bar{s}\alpha z} = (1 - \bar{t}z) \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}(\frac{\bar{s}z}{1 - \bar{t}z})}$

Let $g(z) = (1 - \bar{t}z), \ \delta(z) = \frac{sz}{1 - \bar{t}z}$. Thus $C_{\phi}^{*} K_{\alpha}(z) = T_{g} C_{\delta} K_{\alpha}(z)$. Therefore, $C_{\phi}^{*} K_{\alpha}(z) = T_{g} C_{\delta} K_{\alpha}(z)$. ($z \in U$). Since $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in U}$ span a dense subset of H², the desired equality holds. **Proposition 6**:- $C_{\delta}^{*} = T_{\tilde{g}}^{*} C_{\phi}$ where $\tilde{g} = 1 - \bar{t}z$. <u>**Proof**:- By theorem (1.), $C_{\delta}^{*} K_{\alpha}(z) =$ $K_{\delta(\alpha)}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\delta(\alpha)}z} = \frac{1}{1 - (\frac{s\alpha}{1 - t\alpha})z} =$ $\frac{1}{1 - t\alpha} = \frac{1 - t\alpha}{1 - t\alpha} = \frac{1}{1 - t\alpha} =$ </u>

 $= (1 -t \overline{\alpha})$ $\frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha}(sz + t)} = \frac{-t}{(1 - t\alpha)} = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha}\vartheta(z)}$

 $= T_{\tilde{g}} K_{\alpha}(\varphi(z))$ = $T_{\tilde{g}}^{*} C_{\varphi} K_{\alpha}(z)$ (since $T_{h}^{*}f = T_{\bar{h}} f$, by [2]).

Since $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in U}$ span a dense subset of H^2 , the desired equality holds.

 $\begin{array}{c|c} The & compactness & of \\ composition & operator & C_{\phi} \\ induced & by & \phi(z) = sz + t, \ for \ which \\ |s| \leq 1, \quad |t| < 1 \quad and \quad |s| + |t| \leq 1, \ on \\ Hardy \ space \ H^2. \end{array}$

Recall that an operator T on Hilbert space H is compact if it maps every bounded set into a relativity compact one (one whose cloure in H is compact set) [1]. We start this section by the following result which is proved in [1] by Shapiro.

Theorem 7:- Let ψ be a liner fractional self-map of U, that is $\psi(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ where a,b,c and d are complex numbers. Then C_{ψ} is not compact if φ maps a point of the unit circle ∂ U to a point of ∂ U.

Now, we give the sufficient and necessary condition for compactness of C_{ϕ} .

Proposition 8:- C_{ϕ} is not compact if and only if |s|+|t|=1.

<u>Proof</u>:- Assume that C_{ϕ} is not compact, then by theorem 7 there exist $z_1, z_2 \in \partial U$ such that $\phi(z_1) = z_2$. Hence 1 =

 $\begin{array}{l|l} | \ \phi(z_1)| \ = \ | \ sz_1 \ + \ t \ | \ \leq \ |s| \ |z_1| \ + \ |t| \ = \\ |s|+|t| \leq 1. \ Therefore \ |s|+|t| = 1. \end{array}$

Conversely assume that |s|+|t|=1. Since $|\phi(z)| = |sz + t| \le |s| |z| + |t| = |s|+|t|\le 1$, then by Maximum principle of analytic function [4]. We have for each $z \in \partial U$, then there exists $z_1 \in \partial U$ such that $|\phi(z_1)| = 1$. Hence by theorem 7 C_{ϕ} is not compact.

Notation :- We use the notation $\varphi_n = \varphi \circ \varphi \circ \cdots \circ \varphi$ (n times). To denote the n-th iterate of φ for n a positive integer.

Remark 9:- By theorem 3 we can conclude that $C_{\phi}^{n} = C\phi_{n}$ for each positive integer.

Now, we study the compactness of n-th power of C_{ϕ} .

Theorem 10:- C_{ϕ}^{n} is compact operator for every positive integer n if and only if |s| + |t| < 1 where |s| < 1 and |t| < 1.

Proof:-By using mathematical induction of $\varphi(z)$ we get $\varphi_n(z) = s^n z + (\sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} z + 0 s^n)t$. Since the $\sum_{n=1}^{\alpha} = 0 S^{n}$ geometric series convergent if |s| < 1. Then ϕ_n is a linear-fractional self map of U where $|\mathbf{s}| < 1$. First suppose that $|\mathbf{s}| + |\mathbf{t}| < 1$, then by proposition 8 C_{ϕ} is compact, so C_{ϕ}^{n} is compact for every positive integer n.

Conversely, assume that C_{ϕ}^{n} is compact for every positive integer n. To show that |s| +|t| < 1, assume the converse that $|s| +|t| \ge 1$. This implies by proposition 8 C_{ϕ} is not compact which is a contradiction. Thus |s| +|t| < 1.

Now, we give the following results.

Proposition 11:- Suppose that Φ is a linear-fractional self-map of U. Then $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}$ is compact if and only if $C_{\Phi} C_{\phi}^{*}$ is compact, where $C_{\phi}^{*} = T_{g} C_{\delta}$. **Proof**:- Suppose $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}$ is compact. Note that, $C_{\Phi} C_{\phi}^{*} = C_{\Phi} T_{g} C_{\delta} = T_{g \circ \Phi} C_{\phi}$ C_{δ} (by theorem 5 and $C_{\phi} T_{g} = T_{g \circ \Phi} C_{\phi}$). Since $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}$ is compact operator. Moreover, $T_{g \circ \Phi}$ is bounded, then C_{Φ} C_{ϕ}^{*} is compact. Conversely, if $C_{\Phi} C_{\phi}^{*}$ is compact. Note that $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta} = C_{\Phi} (C_{\delta}^{*})^{*}$ $= C_{\Phi} (T_{\tilde{g}}^{*} C_{\phi})^{*}$ (by proposition 6).

$$= C_{\Phi} C_{\phi}^* T$$

 $= \mathbf{C}_{\Phi} \, \mathbf{C}_{\varphi}^{*} \, \overline{T}_{\overline{g}} \, (\text{ since } T_{\overline{g}}^{*} = T_{\overline{g}} \,).$

Since $C_{\Phi} C_{\phi}^{*}$ is compact and $T_{\tilde{g}}$ is bounded then $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}$ is compact.

Proposition 12:- Suppose that Φ is a linear-fractional self-map of U. Then $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}^*$ is compact if and only if $C_{\Phi} C_{\phi}$ is compact.

<u>Proof</u>:- Suppose that $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}^{*}$ is compact. Then

 $C_{\Phi} C_{\phi} = C_{\Phi} (C_{\phi}^{*})^{*} = C_{\Phi} (T_{g} C_{\delta})^{*} (by$ theorem 5)

$$= C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}^{*} T_{g}^{*}$$
$$= C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}^{*} T_{\bar{g}} \quad (T_{g}^{*} = T_{\bar{g}})$$

Since $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}^*$ is compact and $T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded it follows that $C_{\Phi} C_{\phi}$ is compact.

Conversely, if $C_{\Phi} C_{\phi}$ is compact, $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}^* = C_{\Phi} T_{\tilde{g}}^* C_{\phi}$ (by proposition 6)

 $= C_{\Phi} T_{\bar{g}} C_{\varphi} \text{ (since } T_{\bar{g}}^* = T_{\bar{g}} \text{) [3]}$

 $= T_{\bar{\tilde{g}}\circ\varphi} C_{\Phi} C_{\varphi} (C_{\Phi} T_{\bar{\tilde{g}}} = T_{\bar{\tilde{g}}\circ\varphi} C_{\varphi})[3]$

Since $C_{\Phi} \ C_{\phi}$ is compact and $C_{\Phi} \ C_{\phi}$ is bounded, then $C_{\Phi} \ C_{\delta}^*$ is compact.

Proposition 13:- Let Φ be a linear fractional self-map of U. Then $C_{\delta} C_{\Phi}$ is compact, if and only if $C_{\phi}^* C_{\Phi}$ is compact, where $C_{\phi}^* = T_g C_{\delta}$.

<u>Proof</u>:- suppose that $C_{\delta} C_{\Phi}$ is compact. Then $C_{\phi}^{*}C_{\Phi} = T_{g} C_{\delta} C_{\Phi}$ (by theorem 5). Since $C_{\delta} C_{\Phi}$ is compact, then $C_{\phi}^{*}C_{\Phi}$ is compact.

Conversely, assume that $C_{\phi}^* C_{\Phi}$ is compact. Since the family $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in U}$ span a dense subset in H^2 , then it is enough to prove the compactness on this family. Hence for each $\alpha \in U$,

$$C_{\delta} C_{\Phi} K_{\alpha}(z) = (C_{\delta}^{*})^{*} C_{\Phi} K_{\alpha}(z)$$

= $(T_{\tilde{g}} C_{\phi})^{*} C_{\Phi} K_{\alpha}(z) (C_{\delta}^{*} = T_{\tilde{g}}^{*} C_{\phi})$
= $C_{\phi}^{*} T_{\tilde{g}}^{*} C_{\Phi} K_{\alpha}(z)$
= $C_{\phi}^{*} T_{\tilde{g}} C_{\Phi} K_{\alpha}(z)$ (since $T_{\tilde{g}}^{*} = T_{\tilde{g}}^{*}$)
[3]
= $C_{\phi}^{*} T_{\bar{g}} K (\Phi(z))$

 $= C_{\varphi}^{*} \frac{T_{\tilde{g}} K_{\alpha}(\Phi(z))}{\widetilde{g(\alpha)}} K_{\alpha}(\varphi(z)) (T_{\tilde{g}}^{*} K_{\alpha} = \overline{\widetilde{g(\alpha)}} K_{\alpha})$

= $\widetilde{g(\alpha)} C_{\phi}^* C_{\Phi} K_{\alpha}(z)$ (C_{ϕ}^* is linear) Since $C_{\phi}^* C_{\Phi}$ is compact, moreover, g $\in H^{\infty}$, then $C_{\delta} C_{\Phi}$ is compact on $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ $\in U$. But $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in U}$ span a dense subset in H². Hence $C_{\delta} C_{\Phi}$ is compact on H². Similarly to the proof of the previous

Similarly to the proof of the previous proposition we can get the following result.

Proposition 14:- Let Φ be a linearfractional self-map of U. Then $C_{\delta}^* C_{\Phi}$ is compact, if and only if $C_{\phi}C_{\Phi}$ is compact, where $C_{\phi}^* = T_g C_{\delta}$.

Corollary 15:- Suppose that Φ is a linear fractional self-map of U such that $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}^*$ is not compact, then there exist $w_1, w_2 \in \partial U$ such that $\phi \circ \Phi(w_1) = w_2$.

Proof:- By proposition 12, if $C_{\Phi} C_{\delta}^*$ is not compact, then $C_{\Phi} C_{\phi}$ is not compact. But each of Φ and ϕ are linear- functional self-map of U, then also $\phi \circ \Phi$. Then by theorem 7 $C_{\phi \circ \Phi} =$ $C_{\phi} \circ C_{\Phi}$ is not compact, if and only if ϕ $\circ \Phi$ maps a point of the unit circle onto the unite circle. So, there exist $w_1, w_2 \in \partial U$ such that $\phi \circ \Phi(w_1) = w_2$. Similarly to the proof of corollary 15. We have by proposition 14 and

We have by proposition 14 and theorem 4 the next result.

Corollary 16:- Suppose that Φ is a linear-fractional self-map of U such that

 $C_{\delta}^* C_{\Phi}$ is not compact, then there exist $w_1, w_2 \in \partial U$ such that $\Phi \circ \phi(w_1) = w_2$.

References:

- 1. Shapiro J. H., 1993, Composition Operator and Classical Function Theory, springer-verlag, first edition, New York, pp179.
- 2. Bourdon P. F., Fry E. E., Hammond C. and Fpofford C. H., 2003, Norms of linear fractional composition operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (6): 2459-2480
- **3.** Deddens J. A., 1972, Analytic Toeplitz and Composition Operator, Can. J. Math., vol XXIV, 5: 859-865.
- Brown J. W. and Churchill, R. V., 2009, Complex Variables and Applications, Eighth Edition, McGraw- Hill International Edition, pp 468.

المؤثر التركيبي المتولد بالدالة φ(z) = sz + t فك |s|+|t|≤l و |s|+|t|≤l المؤثر التركيبي المتولد بالدالة

*قسم الرياضيات / كلية العلوم للبنات / جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث أعطي وصف للمؤثر مرافق للمؤثر C_{φ} المتولد بواسطة الدالة z = sz + t بحيث ان [s] |s| = |s|1>|t|و 1≥|t|+|t|. بالحقيقة برهن انه المرافق هو عبارة عن ضرب المؤثرات نتوبلتز مع مؤثر تركيبي . و كذلك درسنا تراص المؤثر التركيبي C_{φ} مع بعض النتائج التي هي حسب علمنا جديدة.