

Quasi-posinormal operators

*Sadiq Naji Nassir**

Received 17, March, 2009

Acceptance 26, July, 2009

Abstract:

In this paper, we introduce a class of operators on a Hilbert space namely quasi-posinormal operators that contain properly the classes of normal operator, hyponormal operators, M-hyponormal operators, dominant operators and posinormal operators. We study some basic properties of these operators. Also we are looking at the relationship between invertibility operator and quasi-posinormal operator.

Key words: posinormal operators, Hyponormal operators, M-hyponormal operators, dominant operators.

Introduction:

Let $B(H)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , an operator T is said to be posinormal operator if there exists a positive operator $P \in B(H)$, such that $TT^* = T^*PT$. Also, T is posinormal operator if and only if $Range(T) \subseteq Range(T^*)$, [1,2]. An operator T is called hyponormal operator if $T^*T - TT^* \geq 0$, or equivalently $\|T^*x\| \leq \|Tx\|$ for all x in H [3], and T is called dominant operator if for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a number $M_\lambda > 0$ such that $\|(T - \lambda)^*x\| \leq M_\lambda \|(T - \lambda)x\|$ for all $x \in H$. Furthermore, if the set of constants M_λ are bounded by a positive number M then T is called M-hyponormal operator [4,5,6,p480]. Let $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_p(T)$, $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ and $r(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|, \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$ denote the spectrum, the point spectrum, the approximate point spectrum of T and

the spectral radius of T , [6,p196,502]. An operator is said to be normaloid if $\|T\| = r(T)$, [7,8, p267]. In this paper, we give some types of operators namely quasi-posinormal operators.

1- Some basic properties of quasi-posinormal operator.

We start this section by giving the definition of quasi-posinormal operator, and we give some basic properties of these operators

Definition 1.1

Let $T \in B(H)$. We call T is a quasi-posinormal operator if $Range(T^2) \subseteq Range(T^*)$.

Example 1.2

Let $H = \ell_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{x: x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, \dots)\}$:

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \infty\}$, the Unilateral shift operator on H is defined by $U(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$. It is known that $U^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$ and

*Department of Mathematics-College of Science-University of Baghdad

$U^2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = U(0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, 0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$.

Now let $y \in \text{Range}(U^2)$ then $y = (0, 0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ for some x in H . If we assume $x = (0, 0, 0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ then $U^*(x) = (0, 0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = y$, and $y \in \text{Range}(U^*)$. Hence U is quasi-positinormal operator.

Now we give an operator that is not quasi-positinormal operator.

Example 1.3

Let $H = \ell_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{x : x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, \dots) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \infty\}$, the Bilateral shift operator on H is defined by $B(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$. It is known that $B^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$. Now let $y = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$ then $y \in \text{Range}(B^2)$ and $B^*(x) \neq y$ for all x in H . Hence $y \notin \text{Range}(B^*)$ and therefore B is not quasi-positinormal operator.

The above example also shows that if T is quasi-positinormal operator then T^* is not quasi-positinormal operator.

In [9]. Douglas proved the following theorem

Theorem 1.4 [9]

For $A, B \in B(H)$ the following statements are equivalent :

- 1- $\text{Range}(A) \subseteq \text{Range}(B)$
- 2- $AA^* \leq \lambda^2 BB^*$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$
- 3- there exists a $T \in B(H)$ such that $A = BT$.

Moreover if one of 1, 2, and 3 holds then there is a unique operator T such that

a- $\|T\|^2 = \inf \{ \mu : \mu \geq 0 \text{ and } AA^* \leq \mu BB^* \}$

b- $\text{Ker}A = \text{Ker}T$; and

c- $\text{Rnage}(T) \subseteq \overline{\text{Range}(B^*)}$.

If we put $A = T^2$ and $B = T^*$ we get a special case from Douglas theorem

Which gives a characterization of totally quasi-positinormal operator.

Theorem 1.5

Let $T \in B(H)$, the following statement are equivalent ;

- 1- $\text{Range}(T^2) \subseteq \text{Range}(T^*)$, i.e. T is quasi-positinormal operator.
- 2- $T^2 T^{*2} \leq \lambda^2 T^* T$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$; and
- 3- there exists an operator $C_T \in B(H)$, such that $T^2 = T^* C_T$

Moreover if 1, 2, and 3 hold then there is a unique operator $C_T \in B(H)$ such that

a- $\|C_T\|^2 = \inf \{ \mu, T^2 T^{*2} \leq \mu T^* T \}$.

b- $\text{Ker}T^2 = \text{ker}C_T$; and

c- $\text{Range}(C_T) \subseteq \overline{\text{Range}(T)}$.

Let $[T] = \{AT : A \in B(H)\}$ the left ideals in $B(H)$ generated by T . We have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.6

T is quasi-positinormal operator if and only if $T^{*2} \in [T]$.

Proof :

Let T be a quasi-positinormal operator then $T^2 = T^* C$ for some bounded operator $C \in B(H)$ and $T^{*2} = C^* T$ implies $T^{*2} \in [T]$. Conversely, if $T^{*2} \in [T]$ then $T^{*2} = KT$ for some $K \in B(H)$, and hence $T^2 = T^* K^*$ so T is quasi-positinormal operator.

Proposition 1.7

Let $T \in B(H)$, then T is quasi-positinormal operator if and only if for each x in H , there exists a constant $M \geq 0$ such that $\|T^{*2}x\| \leq M \|Tx\|$.

Proof :

Let T be a quasi-positinormal then

$$\|T^{*2}x\|^2 = \langle T^* T^* x, T^* T^* x \rangle = \langle T^2 T^{*2} x, x \rangle$$

$\leq M \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle = M \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = M \|Tx\|^2$
 .for some $M \geq 0$.

Conversely, let $\|T^{*2}x\| \leq M \|Tx\|$
 $\langle T^2T^{*2}x, x \rangle = \langle T^{*2}x, T^{*2}x \rangle = \|T^{*2}x\|^2$
 $\leq M^2 \|Tx\|^2 = M^2 \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = M^2 \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle$
 ,this implies for each x in H , then
 $T^2T^{*2} \leq M^2 T^*T$, hence T is quasi-
 posinormal operator .

Proposition 1.8

Let $T \in B(H)$, if T is posinormal operator then T is quasi-posinormal.

Proof:

Since

$Range(T^2) \subseteq Range(T) \subseteq Range(T^*)$
 then T is quasi-posinormal .

Corollary 1.9

Every Dominant operator in particular every M -hyponormal operator, hyponormal operator, normal operator are quasi-posinormal operators.

The converse of the above Proposition is not true, see the following example .

Example 1.10

Let $H = \ell_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{x : x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, \dots)\}$:

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \infty$, we define T by

$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$.

It is easy to check that

$T^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ but

$T^2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = T(x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $Range(T^2) \subseteq Range(T^*)$

, hence T is quasi-posinormal operator.

Easily we see that $Range(T) \not\subseteq Range(T^*)$.

Therefore T is not posinormal operator.

2- Invertibility, translates and quasi-posinormal operator

In this section we are looking at the relationship between invertibility operators and quasi -posinormal operator .A quasi- posinormal operator

need not be an invertible operator (see example 1.2) ,we start this section by the following theorem

Theorem 2.1

Let $T \in B(H)$, be an invertible operator then

- 1- T is quasi-posinormal operator .
- 2- T^{-1} is quasi-posinormal operator.

Proof :

1- $\|T^{*2}x\| \leq \|T^{*2}\| \|x\| \leq \|T^{*2}\| \|T^{-1}\| \|Tx\|$

for all x in H ,we take $M = \|T^{*2}\| \|T^{-1}\|$,

hence T is quasi-posinormal operator

2-

$\|(T^{-1})^{*2}x\| \leq \|(T^{-1})^{*2}\| \|x\| \leq \|(T^{-1})^{*2}\| \|T\| \|T^{-1}x\|$

for all x in H , we take $M = \|(T^{-1})^{*2}\| \|T\|$,

hence T^{-1} is quasi-posinormal operator.

Corollary 2.2

Let $T \in B(H)$, and $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ then $T - \lambda I$ is quasi-posinormal operator.

Before we state the next theorem we need the following lemma which appeared in [10].

Lemma 2.3

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers , which satisfy the relation

$a_1^2 \leq a_2$ and $a_n^2 \leq a_{n-1}a_{n+1}$

for $n=2,3,\dots$ then $a_1^n \leq a_n$ for $n=1,2,3,4,5,\dots$.

Theorem 2.4

Let T be an invertible operator and $\|T^{-1}\| \leq 1$ then

1- $\|T^2x\|^{n+1} \leq M^{n(n+1)/2} \|T^{n+2}x\|$ for

$\|x\|=1$ and $n=1,2,\dots$, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

2- if $T^{n+1}x=0$ then $T^2x=0$ for all x in H .

Proof :

1-Let $k=n+1$. We want to show that

$$\|T^2x\|^k \leq M^{k(k-1)/2} \|T^{k+1}x\|$$

Let $a_1 = \|T^2x\|$,and

$$a_k = M^{k(k-1)/2} \|T^{k+1}x\| \quad k=2,3,\dots$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^2x\|^2 &= \langle T^2x, T^2x \rangle = \langle x, T^{*2}T^2x \rangle \\ &\leq \|T^{*2}T^2x\| \|x\| \leq M \|T^3x\| \end{aligned} \quad \text{then}$$

$$a_1^2 \leq a_2 .$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} a_k^2 &= M^{k(k-1)} \|T^{k+1}x\|^2 = M^{k(k-1)} \langle T^{k+1}x, T^{k+1}x \rangle \\ &= M^{k(k-1)} \langle T^{*2}T^{k+1}x, T^{k-1}x \rangle \\ &\leq M^{k(k-1)} \|T^{*2}T^{k+1}x\| \|T^{k-1}x\| \\ &\leq M^{k(k-1)} M \|T^{k+2}x\| \|T^{k-1}x\| \\ &\leq M^{k^2-k+1} \|T^{-1}\| \|T^{k+2}x\| \|T^kx\| \\ &\leq a_{k+1} a_{k-1} \quad \text{then by Lemma 2.3} \\ a_1^k &\leq a_k \quad \text{and} \quad \|T^2x\|^k \leq M^{k(k-1)/2} \|T^{k+1}x\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2- \|T^2x\|^n &= \|x\|^n \left\| T^2 \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\|^n \\ &\leq \|x\|^n M^{n(n-1)/2} \left\| T^{n+1} \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\|^n \leq 0 \quad , \quad \text{hence} \end{aligned}$$

$T^2x=0$ for all x in H .

Theorem 2.5

Let T be a quasi-positinormal operator and then

1- λT is a quasi-positinormal operator for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

2- the translate $T+\lambda I$ need not be a quasi-positinormal operator

Proof :

1-

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda T)^2x\| &= |\lambda|^2 \|T^2x\| \leq M |\lambda|^2 \|Tx\| \leq M |\lambda| \|\lambda Tx\| \\ &\text{for all } x \text{ in } H . \end{aligned}$$

2- consider the case $T=B-5I$ (where B is the operator defined in example1.3). Since $5 \notin \sigma(B)$, then T

is an invertible operator by theorem 2.1 T is quasi-positinormal operator. But $T+5I=B$ is not quasi positinormal operator

Definition 2.6

Let $T \in B(H)$, the quasi-spectrum of T , denoted $Q(T)$ is the set $\{\lambda: T - \lambda I \text{ is not quasi-positinormal operator}\}$

Proposition 2.7

let $T \in B(H)$, be a quasi- positinormal operator then

- 1- $Q(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$.
- 2- If $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ and $(T - \lambda)^{*2}x \neq 0$ for all $x \neq 0 \in H$ then $\lambda \in Q(T)$.
- 3- If $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ and $(T - \lambda)^{*2}x \neq 0$ for all $x \neq 0 \in H$ then $\lambda \in Q(T)$.

Proof :

(1) By corollary 2.2 makes that $Q(T)$ is a subset of $\sigma(T)$.

(2) Suppose $\lambda \notin Q(T)$ then $T-\lambda I$ is quasi-positinormal operator and $\|(T - \lambda I)^{*2}x\| \leq M \|(T - \lambda)x\|$ for all x in H . Now $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ then there exists $x \neq 0$ such that $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ and $(T - \lambda)^{*2}x = 0$ contradiction , hence $\lambda \in Q(T)$

(3) by the same way we can prove it .

Remark 2.8

The sum and the product of two quasi-positinormal operators need not be quasi-positinormal operator. We can see that by the following examples

1- Let $H= \ell_2(\mathbb{C})$, Let $T_1 =U$ the unilateral shift operator and T_2 is the operator defined on H by $T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, 0, 0, -x_3, -x_4, -x_5, \dots)$ it is clear that T_2 is hyponormal operator hence T_2 quasi-positinormal operator .Now $(T_1+T_2)(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = T_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) + T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $(T_1+T_2)^*($

$x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$. If we take $x = (0, 0, x_3, x_4, x_5, \dots)$ such that $x_3 \neq 0$, then $\|(T_1 + T_2)x\|^2 = \|0\|^2$ which implies $\|(T_1 + T_2)x\| = 0$, but $\|(T_1 + T_2)^*x\|^2 = \|(T_1 + T_2)^*(0, x_3, 0, 0, 0, \dots)\|^2 = \|(x_3, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)\|^2 = |x_3|^2$ then for all $M > 0$ that $\|(T_1 + T_2)^*x\| \geq M \|(T_1 + T_2)x\|$ and $(T_1 + T_2)$ is not quasi-positnormal operator.

2- Let $H = \ell_2(\mathbb{C})$, $T_1 = U$ the unilateral shift operator and T_2 be the operator defined on H by $T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ then T_2 is self-adjoint operator hence is quasi-positnormal operator but $T_1 T_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = T_1(x_1, x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $T_1 T_2$ is not quasi-positnormal operator by above example (1).

Remark 2.9

Let $T \in B(H)$ be a quasi-positnormal operator then T is not normaloid operator. i.e. the spectral radius of T is not necessarily equal to $\|T\|$, for example let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an orthogonal basis of a Hilbert space H and T be the a weighted shift defined by $T e_1 = e_2$, $T e_2 = 2e_3$ and $T e_i = e_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 3$, in [11]. Wadhwa, B.L proved that T is M -hyponormal operator, and not normaloid operator but by Corollary 1.9 T is quasi positnormal operator and not normaloid operator.

References:

1- Amelia Bucur 2003. "Posinormality versus hyponormality for cesaro

operators" General math. Vol11, no1-2, pp33-46.

- 2-B.P. Duggal and C.Kubrusly . 2006. " Weyls theorem for posinormal operators ", J Korean Math.Soc.No.3, pp.529-541.
- 3- S.Mecheri 2005 " ON normality of operators " Revista Colomiona de Math. Vol.39, pp.1-9.
- 4-Ahmed Bachir 2004 " Generalized Derivation" SUT Journal of Math. Vol.40, No.2 , pp111-116.
- 5- Stampfli J.G., and Wadhwa B.L..1976. " An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for dominant operators" . Indiana Univ.math.,J 25.359-365.
- 6- Balmohan V.Limaye, 2006. "functional analysis" second E ditor , New age international LTD.Delhi
- 7- Raul.E. Curto and Young Min Han 2003 " Weyls theorem for algebraically paranormal operators" Integral Equations and operator theory vol.47, pp.307-314.
- 8- Istratesca, V. 1981. "introduction to linear operator theory" Marcel Dekke, ing, New York
- 9- Douglas, R.G. 1966. "On majorization, Factorization and range Inclusion of Operators on Hilbert Space" , Proc Amer.Soc. 17, pp.413-416.
- 10- Stampfli, JG .1976. "Alocal spectral Theory for operators V:spectral subspaces for hyponormal operators ,Trans. Amer.Math.Soc.Vol.217:pp.285-298.
- 11-Wadhwa. B.L. 1974 . "M-hyponormal operators ".Duke, Math. J vol.41:pp.655-660.

