

On En- Prime Compactly Packed Acts over Monoid

Samira Najji Kadhim¹  , Uhood S. AL-Hussani²  , Muna Jasim Mohammed Ali^{*1}  

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

²Department of Computer Science, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

*Corresponding Author.

Received 21/04/2024, Revised 04/10/2024, Accepted 06/10/2024, Published Online First 20/11/2024



© 2022 The Author(s). Published by College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

This work studies the concept of En-prime compactly packed ($En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$) modules. Some properties and characterizations have been studied. Put \mathbb{W} is an \mathcal{Y} -module and every submodule is En-pure, then \mathbb{W} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ if and only if each proper submodule (ω) of \mathbb{W} is cyclic. If \mathbb{W} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$. \mathbb{W} which has at least one maximal submodule then \mathbb{W} satisfies the ACC on En-p-radical submodule. The generalization of this idea has been given for S-Acts. if for each family $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ of En-prime subact of \mathbb{D} with $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} P_\alpha$, $\mathbb{X} \subseteq P_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$. An S-Act \mathbb{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$, if every subact is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$. Various properties of $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ modules and S-Acts have been studied, like, \mathbb{W} is an R-module and every submodule is En-pure, then \mathbb{W} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ if and only if each proper submodule (ω) of \mathbb{W} is cyclic. The general is, if \mathbb{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ S-Act which has at least one maximal subact then \mathbb{D} satisfies the ACC on En-p-radical subact. and suppose that \mathbb{D} is an $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ S-Act. If the CST is satisfied for \mathbb{D} , then $\dim \mathbb{D} \leq 1$, and prove that, If \mathbb{D} is a multiplication S-Act that satisfies the ACC on En-p-radical subact, then for every proper subact \mathbb{X} of \mathbb{D} there exists a finite number of minimal En-prime subact of \mathbb{X} . Let $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}'$ be an epimorphism. If \mathbb{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ then so is \mathbb{D}' . The converse is true when \mathbb{D} is finitely generated or (multiplication) S-Act and $\ker f \subseteq \text{rad}\{0\}$.

Keywords: En- Prime subacts, En-prime submodules, En-Pure subacts, En-prime compactly packed S-Act, Multiplication S-act.

Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with 1 and let \mathbb{W} be a unitary R -module. An ideal I of R is said to be compactly packed if for each family $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ of prime ideals with $I \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} P_\alpha$, there exists $\beta \in \lambda$, such that $I \subseteq P_\beta$. In ¹ a ring \mathcal{Y} in which every ideal is compactly packed is said to be compactly packed rings. A proper submodule (ω) of module \mathbb{W} is said to be En-prime if $f(x)R \in \omega$ implies that either $x \in$

\mathbb{W} or $f(\mathbb{W}) \subseteq \omega$, ² An ideal I of \mathcal{Y} is said to be $c.\mathcal{P}$ if for every family $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ of prime ideals with $I \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} P_\alpha$, there is $\beta \in \lambda$, such that $I \subseteq P_\beta$. Thus we say that a proper submodule (ω) of \mathbb{W} is prime compactly packed if for each family $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ of prime submodule of \mathbb{W} with $\omega \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} P_\alpha$, $\omega \subseteq P_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$. ³ Generalize the concept of $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ modules to $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$.

Results and discussion

En-prime Compactly Packed R-modules.

Definition 1: A proper submodule ω of R-module \mathbb{W} is said to be $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ if whenever ω is contained in the union of a family of En-prime subact of \mathbb{W} , then ω is included in one of the members of the family. And \mathbb{W} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ R-module if every proper submodule of \mathbb{W} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$.

Let ω be a submodule of an R- module \mathbb{W} , if there exists En-prime submodule that contains ω , then the intersection of all En-prime submodule containing ω is called the En-p- radical of ω and denoted by $En-p- rad(\omega)$. If there is no En-prime submodule containing ω , then $En-p-rad (\omega) = \mathbb{W}$. A submodule ω is called an En-p- radical submodule if $En-p-rad(\omega) = \omega$ ⁴.

Theorem 1: Let \mathbb{W} be an R-module. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1- \mathbb{W} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$.
- 2-For each proper submodule ω of \mathbb{W} , there exists $a \in \omega$ such that $En-p-rad(\omega) = En-p-rad(Ra)$.
- 3-For each proper submodule ω of \mathbb{W} , if $\{ \omega_\alpha \}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)}$ is a family of submodules of \mathbb{W} and $\omega \subseteq \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \omega_\alpha$ then $\omega \subseteq En-p-rad(\omega_\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$.
- 4-For each proper subact ω of \mathbb{W} , if $\{ \omega_\alpha \}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)}$ is a family of En-p-radical submodule of \mathbb{W} and $\omega \subseteq \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \omega_\alpha$ then $\omega \subseteq \omega_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$.

Proof: (1 \rightarrow 2) Let ω be a proper submodule of \mathbb{W} . Suppose $En-p-rad(\omega) \not\subseteq En-p-rad(Ra)$ for each $a \in \omega$, there exists an En-prime submodule P_a which contains Ra and $\omega \not\subseteq P_a$. But $\omega = \bigcup_{(a \in \omega)} Ra \subseteq \bigcup_{(a \in \omega)} P_a$, that is \mathbb{W} is not $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ which contradicts (a).

(2 \rightarrow 3) Let ω be a proper submodule of \mathbb{W} and let $\{ \omega_\alpha : (\alpha \in \lambda) \}$ be a family of submodule of \mathbb{W} such that $\omega \subseteq \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \omega_\alpha$. By (b) there exists $a \in \omega$ such that $En-p-rad(\omega) = En-p-rad(Ra)$. Then $a \in \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \omega_\alpha$ and hence $a \in \omega_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$, so that $Ra \subseteq \omega_\beta$ and $\omega \subseteq En-p-rad(\omega) = En-p-rad(Ra) \subseteq En-p-rad(\omega_\beta)$

(3 \rightarrow 4) & (4 \rightarrow 1) are clear.

Proposition 1: Put \mathbb{W} is an R-module and every submodule is En-pure, then \mathbb{W} is $En - p.c.\mathcal{P}$ if and only if each proper submodule ω of \mathbb{W} is cyclic.

Proof: The sufficiency is clear. To prove the necessity, let ω be a proper submodule of \mathbb{W} . Since \mathbb{W} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ then by theorem 1, there exists $a \in \omega$ such that $En-p- rad(\omega) = En - p - rad(Ra)$. But every submodule is En-pure, $\omega = Ra$.

Put \mathbb{W} is module. A submodule ω of \mathbb{W} is said to be En-pure in \mathbb{W} if for every endomorphism f , $\omega \cap f(\mathbb{W}) = f(\omega)$ ⁴

Theorem 2: If \mathbb{W} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ R-module which has at least one maximal submodule then \mathbb{W} satisfies the ACC on En-p-radical submodule.

Proof: let $\omega_1 \subseteq \omega_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of En-p-radical submodule of \mathbb{W} and let $L = \bigcup_i \omega_i$. If $L = \mathbb{W}$ and \hat{A} is a maximal submodule of \mathbb{W} , then $\hat{A} \not\subseteq \bigcup_i \omega_i$. Since \mathbb{W} is $En - p.c.\mathcal{P}$ then $\hat{A} \subseteq \omega_j$ for some j . Therefore $\hat{A} \subseteq \omega_j$ and therefore $\bigcup_i \omega_i \subseteq \omega_j$, that is $\mathbb{W} \subseteq \omega_j$ which is impossible. Thus L is a proper submodule of \mathbb{W} . Thus $L \subseteq \omega_j$ for some j and therefore $\omega_1 \subseteq \omega_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \omega_j = \omega_{j+1} = \omega_{j+2} = \dots$, thus the ACC is satisfied for En-p-radical submodule.

Recall that a module \mathbb{W} is called a multiplication module if each submodule ω of \mathbb{W} has the form $\omega = I\mathbb{W}$ for an ideal I of R . In fact $\omega = [\omega : \mathbb{W}]\mathbb{W}$ ⁴. Because every finitely generated module and every multiplication module has a proper maximal submodule⁽³⁾ then the directly by theorem 2, the proof of the following result have been found:-

Corollary 1: If \mathbb{W} is finitely generated or multiplication $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ module, then \mathbb{W} satisfies the ACC on En-prime radical submodule.

En-Prime Compactly Packed S-Acts ($En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$)

Definition 2: A proper subact \mathbb{X} of S-act \mathbb{D} is said to be $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$ if whenever \mathbb{X} is contained in the union of a family of En-prime subact of \mathbb{D} , then \mathbb{X} is included in one of the members of the family. And \mathbb{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$, if every proper subact of \mathbb{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$.

Let \mathcal{K} be a subact of an S-Act \mathcal{D} , if there exists En-prime subact that contains \mathcal{K} , then the intersection of all En-prime subact containing \mathcal{K} is called the En-prime radical of \mathcal{K} and denoted by $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K})$. If there is no En-prime subact containing \mathcal{K} , then $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{D}$. A subact \mathcal{K} is called an En-p-radical subact if $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$ ⁵.

Theorem 3: Put \mathcal{D} is an S-Act. The following statements are equivalent:

- a- \mathcal{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$.
- b- For every proper (appropriate) subact \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{D} , there is $a \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{En-p-rad}(Sa)$.
- c- For every proper (appropriate) sub act \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{D} , if $\{\mathcal{K}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ is a family of sub act of \mathcal{D} and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ then $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K}_\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$.
- d- For every proper (appropriate) subact \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{D} , if $\{\mathcal{K}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ is a family of radical subact of \mathcal{D} and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ then $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$.

Proof: (a→b) Put \mathcal{K} is a proper subact of \mathcal{D} . Suppose $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K}) \not\subseteq \text{En-p-rad}(Sa)$ for each $a \in \mathcal{K}$, there is an En- prime subact \mathcal{P}_a which contains Sa and $\mathcal{K} \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}_a$. But $\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{K}} Sa \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{P}_a$, that is \mathcal{D} is not En-prime compactly packed which contradicts (a).

(b→c) Put \mathcal{K} is a proper subact of \mathcal{D} and let $\{\mathcal{K}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ be a family of subact of \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mathcal{K}_\alpha$. By (b) there is $a \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{En-p-rad}(Sa)$. Then $a \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ and hence $a \in \mathcal{K}_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$, so that $Sa \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\beta$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{En-p-rad}(Sa) \subseteq \text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K}_\beta)$

(c→d) & (d→a) are evident.

Recall that an S-Act \mathcal{D} is called a multiplication S-Act if each subact \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{D} has the form $\mathcal{K} = I\mathcal{D}$ for an ideal I of S . In fact $\mathcal{K} = [\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{D}]\mathcal{D}$ ⁶.

Recall that if \mathcal{D} is a multiplication S-Act and \mathcal{K} is a maximal subact of \mathcal{D} , then \mathcal{K} is En-prime, therefore \mathcal{K} is prime ⁶ with $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} \mathcal{K}_\alpha$, where λ is a finite set, then $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$ ⁷. If \mathcal{D} is a multiplication S-Act containing finite number of En-prime subact then \mathcal{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$.

The example that follows provides an S-Act that isn't $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$

Example 1: Put \mathcal{O} be an infinite set. Let S be the commutative Boolean monoid $(P(\mathcal{O}), \Delta, \cap)$, where $P(\mathcal{O})$ is the power set of \mathcal{O} , and the operation Δ is the usual operation. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\acute{A} : \acute{A} \text{ is finite set of } \mathcal{O}\}$. Since S is commutative Boolean monoid, then for each $\acute{A} \in \mathcal{U}$, $\langle \acute{A} \rangle$ is radical ideal, therefore is En-prime radical ⁶, then $\langle \acute{A} \rangle = \cap \{ \omega : \omega \text{ is En-prime ideal containing } \acute{A} \}$. Because \mathcal{U} is not principal ideal then $\mathcal{U} \not\subseteq \langle \acute{A} \rangle$, that is, there exists an En-prime $\omega_{\acute{A}}$ containing \acute{A} and $\mathcal{U} \not\subseteq \omega_{\acute{A}}$, but $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{\acute{A} \in \mathcal{U}} \langle \acute{A} \rangle \subseteq \bigcup_{\acute{A} \in \mathcal{U}} \omega_{\acute{A}}$, then \mathcal{U} is not En-prime compactly packed and hence S is not $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$.

Put \mathcal{D} is an S-Act. A subact \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{D} is said to be En-pure in \mathcal{D} if for every endomorphism f , $\mathcal{K} \cap f(\mathcal{D}) = f(\mathcal{K})$ ⁴.

Proposition 2: Put \mathcal{D} is an S-Act and every subact is En-pure, then \mathcal{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$ iff each proper subact \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{D} is cyclic.

Proof: The sufficiency is clear. To prove the necessity, let \mathcal{K} be a proper subact of \mathcal{D} . Since \mathcal{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$ then by theorem 3, there exists $a \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{En-p-rad}(Sa)$. But every subact is En-pure, then by ⁸, $\mathcal{K} = Sa$.

The proof of the following theorem by the same way of theorem (2)

Theorem 4: If \mathcal{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$ which has at least one maximal subact then \mathcal{D} satisfies the ACC on En-p-radical subact.

Because every finitely generated S-Act and every multiplication S-Act has a proper maximal subact, ^{8,9} thus:-

Corollary 2: If \mathcal{D} is finitely generated or multiplication $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$, then \mathcal{D} satisfies the ACC on En-prime radical subact.

Definition 3: An En- prime subact \mathcal{O} of an S-Act \mathcal{D} is called a minimal En-prime subact of a sub act \mathcal{K} if $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ and there exist no smaller En-prime sub act with this property.

Remember that Every En-prime subact is prime subact, therefore, if \mathcal{D} is an S-Act that satisfies the ACC on En-p-radical subact then the En- p-radical of any proper subact \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{D} is the intersection

of a finite number of minimal En-prime sub act of \mathfrak{X} ^{10,11,12}

We require the following lemma in order to derive another corollary:

Lemma 1: If \mathfrak{D} be a multiplication S-Act that satisfies the ACC on En-p- radical subact, then for every proper subact \mathfrak{X} of \mathfrak{D} there exists a finite number of minimal prime sub act of \mathfrak{X} .

Proof: let \mathfrak{X} be a proper sub act of \mathfrak{D} , then En-p-rad(\mathfrak{X}) is the intersection of a finite number of minimal En-prime subact of \mathfrak{D} say $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{D}_n$. We shall prove that these \mathfrak{D}_i 's are the only minimal En-prime subact of \mathfrak{N} . Suppose \mathfrak{U} is a minimal En-prime sub act. It is clear that $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathfrak{X}) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ that is $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{D}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ and hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^n [\mathfrak{D}_i : \mathfrak{D}] = [\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{D}_i : \mathfrak{D}] \subseteq [\mathfrak{U} : \mathfrak{D}]$. And $[\mathfrak{U} : \mathfrak{D}]$ is En-prime ideal⁸ then there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $[\mathfrak{D}_j : \mathfrak{M}] \subseteq [\mathfrak{U} : \mathfrak{D}]$, but \mathfrak{D} is a multiplication S-Act thus $\mathfrak{D}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ because \mathfrak{U} is minimal prime subact.

Corollary 3: If \mathfrak{D} is a multiplication $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$, then for every proper subact \mathfrak{X} of \mathfrak{D} there exist a finite number of minimal En-prime subact of \mathfrak{X} .

Definition 4: Let β be a En-prime subact of an S-Act \mathfrak{D} . The height of β equals n (denoted by $\text{ht}(\beta) = n$) if there exists a chain of distinct En- prime subact of

β_i of \mathfrak{D} of the form $\beta = \beta_0 \supset \beta_1 \supset \dots \supset \beta_n$ and it is the longest chain such that $\beta = \beta_0$.

Theorem 5: Put \mathfrak{D} is an S-act and every finitely generated subact is cyclic. If \mathfrak{D} satisfies the ACC on En-p-radical subact, then \mathfrak{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$.

Proof: Put \mathfrak{X} is a proper subact of \mathfrak{D} . By², there exists a finitely generated subact \mathfrak{U} of \mathfrak{D} such that $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathfrak{X}) = \text{En-p-rad}(\mathfrak{U})$ and hence \mathfrak{U} is cyclic sub act, by theorem 3 \mathfrak{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$

Definition 5: An S-Act \mathfrak{D} is said to be satisfy the Cyclic Subact Condition (CSC) if for each $x \in \mathfrak{D}$ and each En-prime subact \mathfrak{K} of \mathfrak{D} minimal over S therefore $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{K}) \leq 1$.

Proposition 3: Suppose that \mathfrak{D} is an $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$. If the CST is satisfied for \mathfrak{D} , then $\dim \mathfrak{D} \leq 1$.

Proof: Put \mathfrak{K} be a maximal subact of \mathfrak{D} , then by Theorem (3), there exists $a \in \mathfrak{D}$ such that $\mathfrak{K} = \text{En-p-rad}(Sa)$. This implies that \mathfrak{K} is minimal En-prime sub act over Sa. By CSC, $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{K}) \leq 1$, therefore $\dim \mathfrak{D} \leq 1$.

Proposition 4: Let $f: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}'$ be an S-epimorphism. If \mathfrak{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$ then so is \mathfrak{D}' . The converse is true when \mathfrak{D} is finitely generated or (multiplication) S-Act and $\ker f \subseteq \text{rad}\{0\}$.

Conclusion

In this work, the concepts of $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ modules and $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$ have been introduced and prove some properties which related to these concepts, proving that a- \mathfrak{D} is $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}.S.A$. b- For every appropriate subact \mathfrak{X} of \mathfrak{D} , there is $a \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\text{En-p-rad}(\mathfrak{X}) = \text{En-p-rad}(Sa)$. c- For every

appropriate subact \mathfrak{X} of \mathfrak{D} , if $\{\mathfrak{X}_\alpha\}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)}$ is a family of subact of \mathfrak{D} and $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \mathfrak{X}_\alpha$ then $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \text{En-p-rad}(\mathfrak{X}_\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$. d- For every appropriate subact \mathfrak{X} of \mathfrak{M} , if $\{\mathfrak{X}_\alpha\}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)}$ is a family of radical subact of \mathfrak{D} and $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} \mathfrak{X}_\alpha$ then $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$ are equivalent

Author's Declaration

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- No animal studies are present in the manuscript.
- No human studies are present in the manuscript.
- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee at University of Baghdad.

Author's Contribution

This work described in this study was performed in collaboration among the authors S. N. K.. Proposed

the concept of $En - \mathcal{P}.c.\mathcal{P}$ modules. U. S. A. and M. J. M. A.

References

1. Khudhayer O., Haibat K. "Restrict Nearly Primary Submodules". Wasit J Pure Sci. 2022; 1(3): 70-80. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378035168>
2. Sh ireen O. Dakheel, En-prime Subacts over Monoids with Zero, Iraqi J Sci. 2017; 58(1): 280-283.
3. Mohammed M. AL-Ashker, Arwa E. Ashour and Ahmed A. Abu Mallouh, On Primal Compactly Packed Modules, Palestine J Math. 2014; 3(1): 481-488.
4. Shireen O. Dakheel, S - Prime Submodules And Some Related concepts, MS.c thesis, University of Baghdad, College of Science, Department of Mathematics; 2010,
5. Shireen O. Dakheel, En-semi prime subacts over monoids with zero, Italian J Pure Appl Math. 2020; 43: 95-99.
6. Mehdi S. Abbas and Samer Adnan, The product of Gamma Subacts of Multiplication Gamma. Act J Phys: Conf Ser., 2021; 1804 012105: 1-7, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1804/1/012105>
7. Abbas MS, Gubeir SA. Idempotent and pure gamma subacts of multiplication gamma acts. IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng 2020; 871: 1e13. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/871/1/012038> .
8. Abbas MS, Gubeir SA. On the gamma spectrum of multipli-cation gamma acts. Kuwait J Sci 2021; 48(2): 2-11 <https://doi.org/10.48129/kjs.v48i2.10147> .
9. Adil GN, Ali SM. Weak cancellation modules. Kyungpook Math. J. 1997; 37(2): 73-82
10. Samira Naji Kadhim and Zainab Abed Atiya, The Act Of An Operator, Baghdad Sci J. 2016; 13(2): 388-393. <https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2016.13.2.0388>.
11. Nadia M. J. Ibrahim, Uhood S. AL-Hussani and Muna Jasim Mohammed Ali, Some Results about Acts over Monoid and Bounded Linear Operators, Baghdad Sci J. 2024; 21(9): 2951-2955. <https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2024.9116>.
12. Samer Adnan Jubair, Cancellation and Weak Cancellation S-acts, Al-Bahir J Eng pure Sci. 2023; 3(1): 28-33.

الأثار الاولية من النمط En المرصوصة المكتضة

سميرة ناجي كاظم¹، عهود سعدي الحسنی² و منى جاسم محمد علي¹

¹ قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم للبنات، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق.

² قسم علوم الحاسوب، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق.

الخلاصة

في هذا العمل تم دراسة المقاسات الاولية من النمط En المرصوصة المكتضة ودراسة تعيم هذا المفهوم الى مفهوم الأثار الاولية من النمط En المرصوصة المكتضة حيث تم دراسة بعض العلاقات والتشخيصات الخاصة بهذه المفاهيم حيث تم برهنت العلاقات الخاصة بمفهوم المقاسات الاولية من النمط En المرصوصة المكتضة ليكن \mathcal{P} مقاس و كل مقاس جزئي (x) هو اولي من النمط En فان المقاس \mathcal{P} هو مقاس اولي من النمط En مرصوص مكتض اذا و فقط اذا كل مقاس جزئي دائري و كذلك تمت برهنت اذا كان المقاس \mathcal{P} اولي من النمط En مرصوص مكتض يمتلك على الاقل مقاس جزئي اعظم فان \mathcal{P} يحقق خاصية ACC على المقاس الجزئي الاولي من النمط En -p-radical. قد تم دراسة مفهوم الأثار الاولية من النمط En المرصوصة المكتضة والتي هي تعميم لمفهوم المقاسات الاولية من النمط En المرصوصة المكتضة حيث تم برهنت بعض الخواص وبعض التشخيصات الخاصة بمفهوم الأثار الاولية من النمط En المرصوصة المكتضة , اذا كان الاثر \mathcal{D} اولي من النمط En مرصوص مكتض ويمتلك على الاقل اثر جزئي اعظم فان الاثر \mathcal{D} يحقق خاصية ACC على الاثر من النمط En -p-radical

الكلمات المفتاحية: الأثار الجزئية الاولية من النمط En والمقاسات الجزئية الاولية من النمط En و الأثار الجزئية المخلصة من النمط En , الأثار الاولية من النمط En المرصوصة المكتضة و الأثار الجداثية.