

## G- Cyclicity And Somewhere Dense Orbit

Zeana Zaki Jamil\*

Date of acceptance 12/5 / 2009

### Abstract:

let  $H$  be an infinite – dimensional separable complex Hilbert space, and  $S$  be a multiplication semigroup of  $\mathcal{C}$  with 1. An operator  $T$  is called G-cyclic over  $S$  if there is a non-zero vector  $x \in H$  such that  $\{\alpha T^n x \mid \alpha \in S, n \geq 0\}$  is norm-dense in  $H$ . Bourdon and Feldman have proved that the existence of somewhere dense orbits implies hypercyclicity. We show the corresponding result for G-cyclicity.

**Key words:-** Hypercyclic operators, hypercyclic vectors, semigroup, somewhere dense set, everywhere dense set.

### Introduction:

Let  $H$  be an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space, and  $B(H)$  be the Banach algebra of all linear bounded operator on  $H$ .

Let  $S$  be a multiplication semigroup of  $\mathcal{C}$  with 1, an operator  $T \in B(H)$  is called G-cyclic over  $S$  if there is a vector  $x$  in  $H$  such that  $\{\alpha T^n x \mid \alpha \in S, n \geq 0\}$  is norm-dense in  $H$ . In this case  $x$  is called a G-cyclic vector for  $T$  over  $S$  [1]. Clearly, every hypercyclic operator is G-cyclic and every G-cyclic operator is supercyclic. Bourdon and Feldman [2] proved that every somewhere dense orbit is everywhere dense, and they used this result to give another proof of "Ansari's theorem" if  $T$  is hypercyclic, then for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $T^n$  is hypercyclic, moreover  $T$  and  $T^n$  share the same collection of hypercyclic vectors" [3]. Also they use their theorem and give another proof of "Multihypercyclicity Theorem" If  $T$  is multihypercyclic then  $T$  is hypercyclic " [4]. Our purpose in this paper is to obtain the corresponding results for G-cyclic over  $S$ .

**§1** Somewhere dense orbit is everywhere dense:

The aim of this section is to prove that the existence of somewhere dense orbit implies G-cyclicity. Next we fix notation required for the discussion.

**Notation :** Let  $S$  be a semigroup of  $\mathcal{C}$  with 1 then

1.  $\text{Sorb}(T, x) \equiv \{\alpha T^n x \mid \alpha \in S, n \geq 0\}$ .
2.  $\mathcal{C} \text{ orb}(T, x) = \{\alpha T^n x \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{C}, n \geq 0\}$ .
3.  $F(x) = \overline{\text{Sorb}(T, x)}$
4.  $U(x) = \text{int}(F(x))$ .
5.  $X^c \equiv$  complement of  $X$  in  $H$ .

Clearly from the definition of G-cyclic [1], that every G-cyclic operator is supercyclic operator, so we get:

**Proposition 1.1:** Suppose that  $x \in H$  such that  $\text{Sorb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in  $H$ , then  $\mathcal{C} \text{ orb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in  $H$ .

**Proof:** Since  $S$  is a semigroup of  $\mathcal{C}$  with 1, then  $\overline{\text{Sorb}(T, x)} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C} \text{ orb}(T, x)}$ .

Now since  $U(x) \neq \emptyset$  and

$U(x) \subseteq \text{int}(\overline{\mathcal{C} \text{ orb}(T, x)})$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C} \text{ orb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense.

From [2] we get immediately the following two lemmas:

\*University of Baghdad –College of Science – Dept. of Mathematics

**Lemma 1.2:** Suppose that  $x \in H$  such that  $\text{Sorb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in  $H$ . Then  $T^*$  may have at most one eigenvalue.

**Lemma 1.3:** Suppose that  $\text{Sorb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in  $H$ , then for each  $\alpha \in S, j \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha T^j x$  is a cyclic vector for  $T$ .

Peries in [4] proved the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.4 [4]:** Let  $p$  be a complex polynomial,  $p(T)$  has a dense range if and only if  $p(\lambda) \neq 0$  for every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T^*$ .

The next lemma provides the crucial element of the argument.

**Lemma 1.5:** Let  $x \in H$ , then for every  $\lambda \in S, U^c(x)$  is invariant under  $\lambda T$ .

In addition  $U^c(x)$  is invariant under multiplication by any  $\alpha \in S$ .

**Proof:** Since  $U(x)$  is nonempty, then there is a positive integer  $j$  and a non-zero  $\beta \in S$  such that  $\beta T^j x$  belongs to  $U(x)$  and set  $x_j = \beta T^j x$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Sorb}(T, T^k x_j)$  is dense in  $U(x)$ , thus  $x_j$  is a limit point of  $\text{Sorb}(T, T^k x_j)$  and  $U(x) = U(T^k x_j)$ . By lemma (1.3)  $x_j$  is cyclic vector for  $T$  i.e.  $\{p(T)x_j | p \text{ is polynomial}\}$  is dense in  $H$ .

Fix  $\alpha \in S$ . assume that  $U^c(x)$  is not  $\lambda T$ -invariant, i.e. there is  $y \notin U(x)$  but  $Ty \in U(x)$ . We may assume  $y \notin F(x)$ , if not, then  $y \in \partial F(x)$ , also since  $\lambda T$  is continues, hence there is point  $y' \in F(x)$  close enough to  $y$  and  $\lambda Ty$  to keep it in  $U(x)$ . Thus rename  $y'$  as  $y$ .

Because  $F^c(x)$  is open and  $\{p(T)x_j | p \text{ is polynomial}\}$  is dense in  $H$ , Thus there is a polynomial  $p$  so that  $p(T)x_j$  is closed enough to  $y$  ensure  $p(T)x_j \in F^c(x)$  and  $\lambda T p(T)x_j \in U(x)$ . Since  $U(x) \subset F(x)$  and  $F(x)$  is  $\lambda T$ -invariant, then  $\text{Sorb}(T, \lambda T p(T)x_j) \subseteq F(x)$ . However  $\text{Sorb}(T, \lambda T p(T)x_j) = \text{Sorb}(T, p(T)Tx_j)$ . Because  $x_j$  is a limit point of  $\text{Sorb}(T, Tx_j)$ , the continuity of  $p(T)$

yield  $p(T)x_j \in F(x)$ . Thus  $p(T)x_j \in F(x)$  and its complement, a contradiction.

It is easy, by notation, to prove that  $U^c(x)$  is invariant under multiplication  $\alpha \in S$

**Remark:** The preceding show that if  $y \in \text{Sorb}(T, x)$ , then  $U(x) = U(y)$ .

Now we will prove the main result.

**Somewhere Dense Theorem 1.6:** Suppose  $T \in B(H)$ , and  $\text{Sorb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in  $H$ , then  $T$  is G-cyclic operator.

**Proof:** Assume that  $\overline{\text{Sorb}(T, x)} \neq H$ . Since  $x$  is cyclic vector for  $T$  (1.3), then  $\{p(T)x_j | p \text{ is polynomial}\}$  is dense in  $H$ . Then there is a subcollection  $Q$  of polynomial such that  $\{q(T)x/q \in Q\}$  is dense subset of  $U^c(x)$ . By (1.5)  $U^c(x)$  is  $\lambda T$ -invariant for all  $\lambda \in S$ , so  $q(T)\text{orb}(T, x) \subset U^c(x)$  for all  $q \in Q$ , hence, by continuity of  $T$ ,  $q(T)F(x) \subset q(T)\text{orb}(T, x) \subset U^c(x)$ .

Let  $W$  denote the collection of non-zero polynomials not having the (possible) eigenvalue of  $T^*$  as a zero and let  $p \in W$ .

Now put  $D := U(x) \cup \{q(T)x | q \in Q\}$ , since  $\{q(T)x | q \in Q\}$  is dense in  $U^c(x)$ , hence  $D$  is dense set in  $H$ . Because  $p(T)$  has dense range in  $H$  (1.4), therefore  $p(T)D$  is dense in  $H$ .

Suppose in order to obtain a contradiction, that  $p(T)x \in \partial U(x)$ , hence  $p(T)x \notin U(x)$ , then  $p(T)x \in U^c(x)$ . Thus  $p(T)U(x) \subset U^c(x)$ . On the other hand, since  $U^c(x)$  is  $\lambda T$ -invariant for all  $\lambda \in S$ , thus  $p(T)\{q(T)x/q \in Q\} \subset U^c(x)$ . Therefore  $p(T)D \subset U^c(x)$  which contradicting the density of  $p(T)D$ . Thus  $p(T)x \notin \partial U(x)$ . Because  $\{p(T)x | p \in W\}$  is connected, contains points in  $U(x)$  and contains no boundary point of  $U(x)$ , thus  $\{p(T)x | p \in W\} \subset U(x)$ . Given a coefficient n-tuple  $c$  for any polynomial, there is a sequence for coefficient n-tuple of a polynomials in  $Q$  converging componentwise to  $c$ , and

since  $x$  is cyclic vector for  $T$ , then  $\{p(T)x | p \in W\}$  is dense in  $H$ . Since  $\{p(T)x | p \in W\} \subset U(x) \subset F(x)$ , therefore  $F(x) = H$ . Thus  $T$  is G-cyclic operator.

## \$2 Applications to the somewhere dense Theorem:

In this section we give two applications to the somewhere dense theorem. First we need the following fact, let  $X$  be a topological space and  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$  a finite family of closed subset of  $X$ ;

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i, \text{ if } \text{int}(F_i) = \emptyset, \text{ then } X = \bigcup_{i=2}^n F_i \quad [4]$$

**Proposition 2.1:** If  $T$  is a G-cyclic operator over  $S$  then for every positive integer  $n$ ,  $T^n$  is G-cyclic operator over  $S$ . Moreover,  $T$  and  $T^n$  share the same collection of G-cyclic vectors.

**Proof:** Let  $x$  be a G-cyclic vector for  $T$  over  $S$ , and fixed  $n > 1$ , then  $\text{Sorb}(T, x) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{Sorb}(T^n, T^j x)$  will be

$$\text{dense in } H, \text{ thus } H = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{Sorb}(T^n, T^j x)$$

Thus at least one of the sets  $\text{Sorb}(T^n, T^j x)$  must be somewhere dense. Therefore by (1.6)  $T^n$  is a G-cyclic operator over  $S$ . Now because  $T$  must have dense range [1], the set  $T^{n-j}[\text{Sorb}(T^n, T^j x)] = \text{Sorb}(T^n, T^n x)$  will be dense in  $H$ , from which it followed that  $x$  is also G-cyclic vector for  $T^n$ .

## مؤثر الدواري من نمط G والمدار الكثيف في مكان ما

زينة زكي جميل\*

\*جامعة بغداد – كلية العلوم – قسم الرياضيات

### الخلاصة:

ليكن  $H$  فضاء هلبرت على حقل الاعداد العقدية قابل للفصل غير منته البعد و  $S$  شبه زمرة جدائية من  $\mathcal{C}$  تحتوي على 1. يقال للمؤثر الخطي  $T$  انه دوري من النمط  $G$  على  $S$  اذا وجد متجه غير صفري  $x \in H$  بحيث ان  $\{\alpha T^n x | \alpha \in S, n \geq 0\}$  كثيفة في  $H$ . بوردن وفيلدمان برهنا وجود مدار كثيف في مكان ما يؤدي الى فوق الدوارية. في هذا البحث اعطينا نتائج مماثلة في حالة دواري من النمط  $G$ .

An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is a multi-G-cyclic operator over  $S$  provided there is a finite subset  $\{x_i\}_1^n$  in  $H$  such that

$$\bigcup_1^n \text{Sorb}(T, x_i) \text{ is dense in } H. \text{ Clearly}$$

every G-cyclic operator is multi-G-cyclic operator. A question arises: **Is the converse true?**

**Proposition 2.2:** Any multi-G-cyclic operator over  $S$  is G-cyclic operator over  $S$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{x_j\}$  be a multi-G-cyclic vector for  $T$  over  $S$ , then  $\bigcup_1^n \text{Sorb}(T, x_j)$

is dense in  $H$ . By [4], there is at least  $j$ ;  $1 < j < n$ , such that  $\text{Sorb}(T, x_j)$  has somewhere dense in  $H$ . Thus by (1.6)  $T$  is G-cyclic operator over  $S$ .

## References:

1. Naoum, A. G. and Jamil, Z. Z., (2005). *G-cyclicity*. Journal of Al-Nahrain University, 8 (2), 103-108.
2. Bourdon, P. and Feldman, N. (2003) *Somewhere dense orbits are everywhere dense*, Indiana Univ. math. J., 52(3), 11-189.
3. Ansari, S., (1995) *Hypercyclic and cyclic vectors*, J. Funct. Anal. 12, 374-383.
4. Peris, A., (2001) *Multi-hypercyclic operators are hypercyclic*, Math. 2.236 779-786.