

Jordan left (θ, θ) -derivations Of σ -prime rings

*Ikram A.saed**

Received 15, March, 2010
Accepted 27 , September, 2010

Abstract:

It was known that every left (θ, θ) -derivation is a Jordan left (θ, θ) – derivation on σ -prime rings but the converse need not be true. In this paper we give conditions to the converse to be true.

Key words: σ - prime rings , σ - square closed lie idea, left (θ, θ) - derivation , Jordan left (θ, θ) -derivations .

Introduction:

In [1] Ashraf proved that every Jordan left (θ, θ) - derivation on prime ring is a left (θ, θ) - derivation on prime ring . In[2] Oukhtite and Salhi proved that every Jordan left derivation on σ -prime ring is a left derivation on σ -prime ring. In this paper we prove that every Jordan left (θ, θ) - derivation on σ -prime ring is a left (θ, θ) - derivation on σ -prime ring.

§ 1 Basic Concepts:

Definition 1.1 : [2]

A ring R is said to be 2-torsion-free if whenever $2x=0$ with $x \in R$, then $x=0$.

Definition 1.2 : [3]

Let R be a ring . Define a lie product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on as follows
 $[x, y] = xy - yx$, for all $x, y \in R$.

Properties 1.3: [3]

Let R be a ring . Then for all $x, y \in R$, we have

- $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y] z$.

- $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z] y$.

Definition 1.4 : [4]

A ring R is called a prime if for any $a, b \in R$,
 $aRb = \{0\}$ implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$

Definition 1.5 : [2]

A ring R with involution σ is said to be σ - prime if $aRb = aR \sigma(b) = \{0\}$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$

Definition 1.6 : [5]

A ring R with involution σ , we define
 $Sa_{\sigma}(R) = \{r \in R / \sigma(r) = \pm r\}$.

Definition 1.7 : [3]

A Lie ideal of a ring R is an additive subgroup U of ring R satisfying $[U, R] \subset U$.

Definition 1.8 : [4]

A Lie ideal U of a ring R is said to be σ - lie ideal , if $\sigma(U) = U$

Definition 1.9 : [2]

If U is a σ - Lie ideal of a ring R such that

*University of Technology

$u^2 \in U$ for all $u \in U$, then U is called a σ – square closed Lie ideal .

Definition 1.10 : [1]

Let R be a ring . An additive mapping $d:R \rightarrow R$ is called a left (θ, θ) - derivation

where $\theta : R \rightarrow R$ is a mapping of R , if

$$d(xy) = \theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ and}$$

we say that d is a Jordan left (θ, θ) - derivation

$$\text{If } d(x^2) = \theta(x) d(x) + \theta(x) d(x), \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

$$= 2\theta(x) d(x), \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

It is clear that every left (θ, θ) -derivation of R is a Jordan left (θ, θ) -derivation, but the converse is not true as the following example, shows:

Example 1.11:-

Let R be a commutative ring and let $a \in R$

Such that $\theta(x) a \theta(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in R.$

but $\theta(x) a \theta(y) \neq 0, \text{ for some } x \text{ and } y \in R, \text{ such that } x \neq y.$

Define a map $d:R \rightarrow R$ as follows

$$d(x) = \theta(x) a, \text{ for all } x \in R$$

Where $\theta:R \rightarrow R$ is an endomorphism mapping.

Then d is a Jordan left (θ, θ) -derivation but not a left (θ, θ) -derivation.

It is clear that d is an additive mapping. Now, we have to show that d is satisfies

$$d(x^2) = \theta(x) d(x) + \theta(x) d(x) =$$

$$2 \theta(x) d(x), \text{ for all } x \in R$$

$$d(x^2) = \theta(x^2) a$$

$$= \theta(x) a \theta(x) = 0, \text{ for all}$$

$$x \in R$$

$$2 \theta(x) d(x) = 2 \theta(x) \theta(x) a$$

$$= 2 \theta(x) a \theta(x) = 0, \text{ for}$$

$$\text{all } x \in R$$

$$\therefore d(x^2) = 2 \theta(x) d(x), \text{ for all}$$

$$x \in R$$

$\therefore d$ is a Jordan left (θ, θ) - derivation of $R.$

We must prove that d is not a left (θ, θ) - derivation of $R.$

$$d(xy) = \theta(xy) a$$

$$= \theta(x) a \theta(y), \text{ for all}$$

$$x, y \in R.$$

but

$$\theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x) =$$

$$\theta(x) \theta(y) a + \theta(y) \theta(x) a =$$

$$\theta(x) a \theta(y) + \theta(x) a \theta(y) =$$

$$2 \theta(x) a \theta(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Since $\theta(x) a \theta(y) \neq 0, \text{ for some } x \text{ and } y \in R.$

$$\therefore d(xy) \neq \theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y)$$

$$d(x), \text{ for some } x \text{ and } y \in R.$$

$\therefore d$ is not a left (θ, θ) - derivation of $R.$

Lemma 1.12: [2]

If $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$ is a σ - Lie ideal of a

2- torsion – free σ - Prime ring R and $a, b \in R$ such that

$$a U b = \sigma(a) U b = \{0\}, \text{ then } a=0 \text{ or } b=0.$$

Lemma 1.13:

Let R be a 2- torsion-free σ - prime ring and U be a σ - square closed Lie ideal of R. suppose that θ is an endomorphism of R. If $d:R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping satisfying $d(u^2) = 2 \theta(u) d(u)$, for all $u, v \in U$ then

(i) $d(uv+vu) = 2 \theta(u) d(v) + 2 \theta(v) d(u)$, for all $u, v \in U$.

(ii) $d(uvu) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)$, for all $u, v \in U$

(iii) $d(uvw + wvu) = \{ \theta(u) \theta(w) + \theta(w) \theta(u) \} d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(w) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(w) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(u)$,

for all $u, v, w \in U$

(iv) $[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(u) = \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u)$, for all $u, v \in U$.

(v) $[\theta(u), \theta(v)] d([u,v]) = 0$, for all $u, v \in U$.

(vi) $d(vu^2) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + (3 \theta(v) \theta(u) - \theta(u) \theta(v)) d(u) - \theta(u) d([u,v])$, for all $u, v \in U$.

Proof:

(i) Since $uv + vu = (u + v)^2 - u^2 - v^2$, we find that $uv + vu \in U$. for all $u, v \in U$

Hence by linearizing

$d(u^2) = 2 \theta(u) d(u)$ on u , we get $d(uv + vu) = 2 \theta(u) d(v) + 2 \theta(v) d(u)$, for all $u, v \in U$. ————1

(ii) Replacing v by $uv + vu$ in 1, we get $d(u(uv + vu) + (uv + vu) u) = 4\theta(u^2)d(v) + 6\theta(u)\theta(v)d(u)$

$+ 2 \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)$ ————2
 On the other hand,
 $d(u(uv + vu) + (uv + vu) u) = d(u^2v + vu^2) + 2d(uvu)$
 $= 2 \theta(u^2) d(v)$

$+ 4 \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u) + 2d(uvu)$.
 Combining the above equation with 2, we get

$d(uvu) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)$, for all $u, v \in U$.

(iii) By linearizing (ii) on u , we get $d((u + w) v(u + w)) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + \theta(w^2) d(v) + \{ \theta(u) \theta(w) + \theta(w) \theta(u) \} d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(w) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(w) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(w) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(w)$. ————3

on the other hand,
 $d[(u + w) v(u + w)] = d(uvu) + d(wvw) + d(uvw + wvu)$
 $= \theta(u^2) d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u) + \theta(w^2) d(v) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(w) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(w) + d(uvw + wvu)$. ————4

Combining 3 and 4, we get $d(uvw + wvu) = \{ \theta(u) \theta(w) + \theta(w) \theta(u) \} d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(w) + 3 \theta(w) \theta(v) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(w) - \theta(v) \theta(w) d(u)$, for all $u, v \in U$. ————5

(iv) Since $uv + vu$ and $uv - vu$ both belong to U

we find that $2uv \in U$ for all $u, v \in U$. Hence, by our hypothesis we find that $d((2uv)^2) = 2\theta(2uv) d((2uv))$

$4 d((uv)^2) = 8\theta(uv) d(uv)$. Since $\text{char } R \neq 2$, we have

$d((uv)^2) = 2 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(uv)$. Replace w by $2uv$ in 5, and use the fact that $\text{char } R \neq 2$, to get

$$d(uv(uv) + (uv)vu) = \{\theta(u^2) \theta(v) + \theta(u) \theta(v) \theta(u)\} d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(uv) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v^2) d(u) - \theta(v) \theta(u) d(uv) - \theta(v) \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u). \text{---}6$$

On the other hand,

$$d((uv)^2 + uv^2u) = 2 \theta(u) \theta(v) d(uv) + 2 \theta(u^2) \theta(v) d(v) + 3 \theta(u) \theta(v^2) d(u) - \theta(v^2) \theta(u) d(u). \text{---}7$$

Combining 6 and 7, we get

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(uv) = \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(v) + \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u) \text{---}8$$

Replacing $u + v$ for v in 8, we have

$$2[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(u) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(uv) = 2 \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u) + \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(v) + \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u).$$

Now application of 8 yields (iv) (v) linearize (iv) on u , to get

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(u) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(v) d(v) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(v) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(v) d(u) = \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u) + \theta(u) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(v) + \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u) + \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(v), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Now application of 8 and (iv) yields that

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(u) d(v) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \theta(v) d(u) = [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(uv) \text{ and hence } [\theta(u), \theta(v)] \{d(uv) - \theta(u) d(v) - \theta(v) d(u)\} = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}9$$

Combining 1 and 9 we find that,

$$[\theta(u), \theta(v)] \{d(vu) - \theta(u) d(v) - \theta(v) d(u)\} = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}10$$

Further, combining of 9 and 10 yields the required result.

(vi) replace v by $2vu$ in 1, and use the fact that $\text{char } R \neq 2$, to get

$$d(uvu + vu^2) = 2 \theta(\theta(u) d(uv) + \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)) \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}11$$

Again replacing v by $2uv$ in 1, we get

$$d(u^2v + uvu) = 2(\theta(u) d(uv) + \theta(u) \theta(v) d(u)) \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}12$$

Now, combining 11 and 12, we get

$$d(u^2v - vu^2) = 2(\theta(u) d([u,v]) + [\theta(u), \theta(v)] d(u)), \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}13$$

Replacing u by u^2 in 1, we have

$$d(u^2v + vu^2) = 2(\theta(u^2) d(v) + 2 \theta(v) \theta(u) d(u)), \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \text{---}14$$

Hence, subtracting 13 from 14 and using the fact that $\text{char } R \neq 2$, we find that

$$d(vu^2) = \theta(u^2) d(v) + \{3\theta(v) \theta(u) - \theta(u) \theta(v)\} d(u) - \theta(u) d([u, v]), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

§ 2 Jordan left (θ, θ) - derivations

on σ - square closed Lie ideals:

Theorem 2.1:

Let R be a 2-torsion-free σ - prime ring and let U be a σ - square closed Lie ideal of R . Suppose that θ is an automorphism of R . If $d: R \rightarrow R$ is an additive mapping satisfying

$$d(u^2) = 2 \theta(u) d(u), \text{ for all } u, \in U, \text{ then}$$

$$d(uv) = \theta(u) d(v) + \theta(v) d(u), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Proof

Suppose $[U, U] = 0$ and let $u, v \in U$.

From $d((u + v)^2) = 2 \theta(u + v) d(u + v)$, it follows that

$$2d(uv) = 2 \theta(u) d(u) + 2 \theta(v) d(v) - d(u^2) - d(v^2) + 2 \theta(u) d(v) + 2 \theta(v) d(u),$$

In such a way that

$$2d(uv) = 2(\theta(u) d(v) + 2\theta(v) d(u)),$$

for all $u, v \in U$.

As $\text{char } R \neq 2$, then

$$d(uv) = \theta(u) d(v) + \theta(v) d(u).$$

Hence we shall assume that $[U, U] \neq 0$

According to Lemma 1.13 (iv) we have

$$\{\theta(u^2)\theta(v) - 2\theta(u)\theta(v)\theta(u) + \theta(v)\theta(u^2)\} d(u) = 0$$

For all $u, v \in U$

Replacing $[u, w]$ for u in 1, where

$w \in U$, we get

$$[\theta(u), \theta(w)]^2 \theta(v) d([u, w]) - 2[\theta(u), \theta(w)] \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(w)] d([u, w])$$

$$+ \theta(v) [\theta(u), \theta(w)]^2 d([u, w]) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U.$$

Now, application of Lemma 1.13 (v) yields that

$$\theta^{-1}([\theta(u), \theta(w)]^2) \cup \theta^{-1}(d([u, w])) = \{0\}$$

which implies that $[u, w]^2 \cup$

$$\theta^{-1}(d([u, w])) = \{0\}, \text{ for all } u, w \in U.$$

let $x, y \in \text{Sa}_\sigma(R) \cap U$, we have

$$[x, y]^2 \cup \theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = \{0\} =$$

$$\sigma([x, y]^2) \cup \theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) \text{ and by}$$

Lemma 1.12 either $[x, y]^2 = 0$ or

$$\theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = 0$$

If $\theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = 0$, then $d([x, y]) = 0$,

applying Lemma 1.13 (i) together with

$\text{char } R \neq 2$, we find that $d(xy) =$

$$\theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x).$$

Now suppose that $[x, y]^2 = 0$

From Lemma 1.13 (iv) it follows that

$$\{\theta(u^2)\theta(v) - 2\theta(u)\theta(v)\theta(u) + \theta(v)\theta(u^2)\} d(v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

Linearizing this relation in u , we obtain

$$\theta(u)\theta(w)\theta(v) + \theta(w)\theta(u)\theta(v) - 2\theta(u)\theta(v)\theta(w) - 2\theta(w)\theta(v)\theta(u) + \theta(v)\theta(u)\theta(w) + \theta(v)\theta(w)\theta(u) d(v)$$

$$= 0, \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U.$$

Replacing v by $[x, y]$ and using Lemma 1.13 (v), we conclude that

$$(-2\theta(u)\theta([x, y])\theta(w) - 2\theta(w)\theta([x, y])\theta(w) - 2\theta(w)\theta([x, y])\theta(u) + \theta([x, y])\theta(w)\theta(u)) d([x, y]) = 0$$

Write $u[x, y]$ instead of u in 2, since $[x, y]^2 = 0$,

Lemma 1.13 (v) leads us to

$$\theta([x, y])\theta(u)\theta([x, y])\theta(w) d([x, y]) = 0, \text{ for all } u, w \in U.$$

$$\theta([x, y])\theta(u)\theta([x, y]) \cup d([x, y]) = \{0\}, \text{ for all } u \in U.$$

$$\theta^{-1}[\theta([x, y])\theta(u)\theta([x, y])] \cup$$

$$\theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = \{0\}, \text{ for all } u \in U.$$

$$[x, y] \cup [x, y] \cup \theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = \{0\}, \text{ for all } u \in U.$$

As $[x, y] \in U \cap \text{Sa}_\sigma(R)$, the fact that $\sigma(U) = U$ yields

$$[x, y] \cup [x, y] \cup \theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = \{0\} =$$

$$\sigma([x, y] \cup [x, y]) \cup \theta^{-1}(d([x, y]))$$

and using Lemma 1.12, either

$$\theta^{-1}d([x, y]) = 0 \text{ or}$$

$$[x, y] \cup [x, y] = 0, \text{ for all } u \in U.$$

If $\theta^{-1}(d([x, y])) = 0$ then $d([x, y]) = 0$

by Lemma 1.13 (i) together with

$\text{char } R \neq 2$,

we find that

$$d(xy) = \theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x)$$

If $[x, y] \cup [x, y] = 0$, for all $u \in U$, then

$$[x, y] \cup [x, y] = \{0\} = \sigma([x, y]) \cup [x, y].$$

Once again using Lemma 1.12, we get

$[x, y] = 0$ and

Lemma 1.13 (i) forces $d(xy) =$

$$\theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x).$$

Consequently, in both the cases we find that

$$d(xy) = \theta(x) d(y) + \theta(y) d(x), \text{ for all } x,$$

$$y \in U \cap \text{Sa}_\sigma(R) \text{ ————— 3}$$

Now, let $u, v \in U$, if we set

$u_1 = u + \sigma(u)$, $u_2 = u - \sigma(u)$
 $v_1 = v + \sigma(v)$, $v_2 = v - \sigma(v)$
 then we have $2u = u_1 + u_2$ and $2v = v_1 + v_2$.

Since $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in U \cap Sa_{\sigma}(R)$,

application of 3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} d(2u2v) &= d((u_1 + u_2)(v_1 + v_2)) \\ &= d(u_1 v_1 + u_1 v_2 + u_2 v_1 + u_2 v_2) \\ &= \theta(u_1) d(v_1) + \theta(v_1) d(u_1) + \\ &\theta(u_1) d(v_2) + \theta(v_2) d(u_1) + \theta(u_2) d(v_1) \\ &+ \theta(v_1) d(u_2) + \theta(u_2) d(v_2) + \theta(v_2) d(u_2) \\ &= 2 \theta(u) d(2v) + 2 \theta(v) d(2u) \end{aligned}$$

As $\text{char } R \neq 2$, it then follows

$$d(uv) = \theta(u) d(v) + \theta(v) d(u), \text{ for all } u, v \in U.$$

References:

1. Ashraf, M. 2005. On left (θ, \emptyset) – derivations of prime rings, Archivum

mathematicum (Brno). Toms 41:157-166.

2. Oukhtite, L. and Salhi. S. 2008. Centralizing automorphisms and Jordan left derivations of σ - Prime rings, ISSN. 1(1): 19-26.
3. Ashraf, M. and Rehman. N. 2000. On Lie ideals and Jordan left derivation of prime rings, Archivum mathematicum (Brno). 36:201-206.
4. Oukhtite, L., Salhi. S. and Tooufiq. L. 2007. Jordan Generalized Derivations on σ - prime Rings, International Journal of Algebra. 1(5):231-234.
5. Herstein, I.N. 1976. Rings with involution. The University of Chicago press, ed. No. 2, Chicago, pp. 34.

جوردان (θ, θ) – مشتقات يسرى على الحلقات σ -اولية

اكرام احمد سعيد *

*الجامعة التكنولوجية.

الخلاصة:

من المعروف ان كل (θ, θ) – مشتقة يسرى هي جوردان (θ, θ) – مشتقة يسرى على الحلقات σ -اولية لكن العكس غير صحيح . في هذا البحث قدمنا الشروط الكافية ليكون الاتجاه المعاكس صحيح .