Best Multiplier Approximation of Unbounded Periodic Functions in $L_p,\phi_n(B), B = [0,2\pi]$ Using Discrete Linear Positive Operators

Saheb K. AL- Saidy  Naseif J. AL-Jawari  Ali H. Zaboon*

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq.

Corresponding author: sahebsaidy@gmail.com, nsaiif642014@yahoo.com, ali.zaboon1@gmail.com

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4956-5312, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6755-0483, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1540-684X

Received 16/1/2019, Accepted 29/12/2019, Published 1/9/2020

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract:

The purpose of this paper is to find the best multiplier approximation of unbounded functions in $L_p,\phi_n$ – space by using some discrete linear positive operators. Also we will estimate the degree of the best multiplier approximation in term of modulus of continuity and the averaged modulus.
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Introduction:

Here we will review some researchers who have studied the approximation of unbounded functions and who have obtained important results in this field, for example In (2015), S. K. Jassim and (1) studied the approximation of unbounded functions in locally –global space $L_{p,\phi_n}(X,\mathbb{R})$, In(2015),S. K. Jassim and Alaa A. Auad (2) estimated the degree of the best one –sided approximation of unbounded functions by using some discrete operator in $L_{p,\phi_n}$-space (weighted space). Also the researchers have studied the approximation of bounded functions and obtained important results. For example, in (2016), Jafarov S. (3) studied the approximation of bounded functions by de la vallee-poussin sums in weighted Orlicz spaces. Again in (2016), Sadigova S. (4) studied the approximation of bounded functions by Shifting operators in Morrey Type Space. In this paper we will deal with unbounded functions by multiplier approximation and we shall use the Jackson polynomials and the Korovkin polynomials to find the best multiplier approximation of periodic unbounded functions in $L_{p,\phi_n}(B), B = [0,2\pi]$ in terms of the modulus of continuity and the Averaged modulus. First we introduce some definitions and some results that are used throughout this work.

Definition 1 (5):

A series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n$ is called a multiplier convergence if there is a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \phi_n < \infty$, and we will say that $\{\phi_n\}$ is a multiplier for the convergence, for example.

The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ divergent series and the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ convergent sequence since 

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ which is convergent series then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ is a multiplier convergence.

Note 1:

If $\sum a_n$ is convergent series then it is multiplier convergent, this by taken $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{1\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, but the reverse is not true

Definition 2 (5):

For any real valued function $f$ if there is a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that 

$\int_B f \phi_n(x) < \infty$, then we say that $\phi_n$ is a multiplier for the Integral

Definition 3:

Let $L_{p,\phi_n}(B), 1 \leq p < \infty$ be the space of all real valued unbounded functions $f$ such that $\int_B f \phi_n(x) \, dx < \infty$ with the following norm.

$\|f\|_{L_{p,\phi_n}} = \sup \left\{ (\int_B |f \phi_n(x)|^p \, dx)^{\frac{1}{p}} : x \in B \right\}$

where $\phi_n$ is the multiplier for the integral, and $B = [0,2\pi]$.

For simplicity let us denote the norm $\|f\|_{L_{p,\phi_n}}$ by $\|f\|_{p,\phi_n}$.
Definition 4:
For \( f \in L_p, \Phi_n(B) \) and \( \delta > 0 \) we will define the following concepts.

- \( \omega (f, \delta)_{p,\Phi_n} = \sup_{|h| < \delta} \| f(x + h) - f(x) \|_{p,\Phi_n} \), the multiplier modulus of continuity of function \( f \).
- \( \tau^k(f, \delta)_{p,\Phi_n} = \| \omega^k(f, \delta) \|_{p,\Phi_n} \) for \( 1 \leq p < \infty, \delta > 0, k \in \mathbb{N} \).

Is the multiplier Averaged modulus of smoothness of \( f \) of order \( k \), where \( k^{th} \) modulus of smoothness for \( f \) is defined by

\[
\omega^k(f, \delta)_{p,\Phi_n} = \sup_{|h| < \delta} \left\{ \| \Delta^k(f, t) \|_{p,\Phi_n} : t + kh \in [x - \frac{kh}{2}, x + \frac{kh}{2}] \right\}
\]

where

\[
\Delta^k(f, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} f \left( x - \frac{kh}{2} + ih \right), x \in \mathbb{R}
\]

\( \Delta^k(f, x) \) is the \( k^{th} \) symmetric difference of the function \( f \).

Definition 5:
Let \( f \in L_p, \Phi_n(B) \) then the degree of best multiplier approximation of a function \( f \) with respect to trigonometric polynomial \( g_n \in \Pi_n \) is given by

\[
E_n(f)_{p,\Phi_n} = \inf \{ \| f - g_n \|_{p,\Phi_n} : g_n \in \Pi_n \}
\]

where \( \Pi_n \) be the set of all trigonometric polynomials.

Definition 6 (6):
For \( f \in L_p, \Phi_n(B) \), \( B = [0, 2\pi] \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) let define the multiplier Jackson’s operator which is generated by the following kernel

\[
\Phi_n(t) = \frac{3}{2n(2n^2+1)} \left( \frac{\sin^2 \frac{nt}{2n^2}}{\sin^2 \frac{nt}{2n}} \right)^4 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2n(n^2-1)}{2n^2+1} \cos t + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \rho_k \cos kt
\]

Where \( \rho_k \) is a constant depends on \( k, n \) and \( \rho_{1,n} = \frac{2n(n^2-1)}{2n^2+1} \), \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), \( n \geq 1 \) as follows

\[
K_n(f, x) = \frac{3}{2n^2(2n^2+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_n(t^*_k,n)(\frac{\sin^2 \frac{nt^*_k,n-x}{2n^2}}{\sin^2 \frac{nt^*_k,n}{2n}})^4
\]

Note 2: For \( t^*_k,n = \frac{2\pi k}{n} \), \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) we have

\[
K_n(f, x) = \frac{3}{2n^2(2n^2+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_n(t^*_k,n)(\frac{\sin^2 \frac{nt^*_k,n-x}{2n^2}}{\sin^2 \frac{nt^*_k,n}{2n}})^4
\]

Definition 7 (6):
For every trigonometric polynomial \( T \) of order \( n < n - 1 \) we have

\[
\frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} T\left( \frac{2\pi k}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{2\pi} T(t) dt 
\]

Proposition 8:
For \( f \in L_p, \Phi_n(B) \), \( B = [0, 2\pi] \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) we have

\[
K_n(f, x) = \frac{3}{n} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f \Phi_n(t) \Phi_n(t - x) dt
\]

Proof:
\[
K_n(f, x) = \frac{3}{2n^2(2n^2+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_n(t^*_k,n)(\frac{\sin^2 \frac{nt^*_k,n-x}{2n^2}}{\sin^2 \frac{nt^*_k,n}{2n}})^4
\]

Then using (1) we get

\[
K_n(f, x) = \frac{3}{2n^2(2n^2+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_n(t^*_k,n)(\frac{\sin^2 \frac{nt^*_k,n-x}{2n^2}}{\sin^2 \frac{nt^*_k,n}{2n}})^4
\]

Remark 9 (6):
For \( f \in L_p, \Phi_n(B) \), \( B = [0, 2\pi] \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) let us define

the multiplier linear positive Korovkin’s operator which is generated by the following kernel

\[
\Phi_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sin^2 \left( \frac{n t}{2n} \right) \left( \frac{\cos \left( \frac{n t}{2n} \right)}{\cos t} \right)^2 \]

where \( \rho_{k,n} \) is a constant depends on \( k, n \) as follows

\[
K_n(f, x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \left( \frac{n t}{2n} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_n\left( t^*_k,n \right) \left( \frac{\cos \left( \frac{n t^*_k,n-x}{2n} \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{n t^*_k,n}{2n} \right) - \cos \frac{n t}{2n}} \right)^2
\]

Note 3: For \( t^*_k,n = \frac{2\pi k}{n} \), \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) we have

\[
K_n(f, x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \left( \frac{n t}{2n} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_n\left( t^*_k,n \right) \left( \frac{\cos \left( \frac{n t^*_k,n-x}{2n} \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{n t^*_k,n}{2n} \right) - \cos \frac{n t}{2n}} \right)^2
\]

Proposition 10:
For \( f \in L_p, \Phi_n(B) \), \( B = [0, 2\pi] \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) we have

\[
K_n^{**}(f, x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \left( \frac{n t}{2n} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_n\left( t^*_k,n \right) \left( \frac{\cos \left( \frac{n t^*_k,n-x}{2n} \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{n t^*_k,n}{2n} \right) - \cos \frac{n t}{2n}} \right)^2
\]

Proof:
By using (1) we have

\[
K_n^{**}(f, x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \left( \frac{n t}{2n} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi_n\left( t^*_k,n \right) \left( \frac{\cos \left( \frac{n t^*_k,n-x}{2n} \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{n t^*_k,n}{2n} \right) - \cos \frac{n t}{2n}} \right)^2
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \left( \frac{n t}{2n} \right) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \Phi_n(t) \left( \frac{\cos \left( \frac{n t}{2n} \right)}{\cos \left( \frac{n t}{2n} \right) - \cos \frac{n t}{2n}} \right)^2 dt
\]
For \( f \in L_{p,\mathbb{S}_n}(B), B = [0,2\pi], 1 \leq p < \infty \) we have \( \tau^k(f, \delta), p, \mathbb{S}_n \) ≤ \( \tau^{k-1}(f', \delta), p, \mathbb{S}_n \)

**Proof:**

Let us use the identity in (7)

\[ \Delta^k_h f(t) = \int_0^h \Delta^{k-1}_h (f'(t + u)) du, h > 0 \]

Then

\[ \tau^k(f, \delta), p, \mathbb{S}_n = \|\omega^k(f, \delta)\|_{p, \mathbb{S}_n} = \sup \left\{ \left( \int_0^2 \|\omega^k(f, \delta)\|_{p} \right)^{1/p} \right\} \]

\[ = \sup \left\{ \left( \int_0^2 \sup \left\{ \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta^k_h (f(x)) \|_{p} dx \right)^{1/p} \right\} \Phi_n(t) \right) \right\} \]

\[ \leq \sup \left\{ \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta^k_h (f'(t + u)) \|_{p} dt \right)^{1/p} \right\} \]

\[ \leq \sup \left\{ \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta^k_h (f'(t + u)) \|_{p} dt \right)^{1/p} \right\} \]

\[ \leq \sup \left\{ \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta^k_h (f'(t + u)) \|_{p} dt \right)^{1/p} \right\} \]

Thus

\[ \tau^k(f, \delta), p, \mathbb{S}_n \leq \tau^{k-1}(f', \delta), p, \mathbb{S}_n \]

**Lemma 6:**

For \( f \in L_{p,\mathbb{S}_n}(B), B = [0,2\pi], 1 \leq p < \infty \) we get

\[ \tau^k(f, \delta), p, \mathbb{S}_n \leq \delta^k \|f^{(k)}\|_{p, \mathbb{S}_n} \]

**Proof:**

From Lemma (5) we have

\[ \tau^k(f, \delta), p, \mathbb{S}_n \leq \delta^k \|f^{(k)}\|_{p, \mathbb{S}_n} \]

Then using lemma (4) we have
\[
\tau^k(f), \delta, p, n \leq \delta \delta \cdots \delta \tau^k \left( f^{(k-1)}, \delta \right) p, n \leq \delta \delta \cdots \delta \tau^k \left( f^{(k-1)} + 1 \right) p, n.
\]

Thus
\[
\tau^k(f), \delta, p, n \leq \delta \kappa \| f^{(k)} \|_{p, n} \]

Lemma 7 (6):
For any linear positive operator \( L_n(f, x) \) of 2\( \pi \)–periodic and continuous functions \( f \) we have
\[
| L_n(f, x) - f(x) | \leq \left( L_n(1, x) + \pi \sqrt{L_n(1, x)} \right) \omega(f, \beta_n(x)) + | f(x) | | L_n(1, x) - 1 |
\]

Where \( \beta_n(x) = \sqrt{L_n(\sin^2 \left( \frac{x}{n} \right), x)} \)

Lemma 8 (7):
If \( f \) is a measurable bounded function on \([a, b]\) then
\[
\omega^k(f, \delta) p \leq \tau^k(f, \delta) p \leq (b - a)^{\frac{1}{k}} \omega^k(f, \delta) p
\]

Lemma 9:
For \( f \in L_p(\omega_p(B), B = [0, 2\pi]) \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty, 1 \leq k \leq n \) we get
\[
\omega^k(f, \delta, p, n) \leq \tau^k(f, \delta, p, n)
\]

Proof:
\[
\omega^k(f, \delta, p, n) = \sup \left\{ \| \Delta_k^h(f)(p, n) \| \right\}
\]
\[
= \sup \left\{ | h | < \delta \left( L_0 \left( \frac{2\pi}{k} \right) \left( \omega^k(f(x), x \pm \frac{k h}{n} \cdot p dx \right) \right) \right\}
\]
\[
\leq \sup \left\{ | h | < \delta \left( L_0 \left( \frac{2\pi}{k} \right) \omega^k(f(x), x \pm \frac{k h}{n} \cdot p dx \right) \right\}
\]
\[
\omega^k(f, \delta, p, n) \leq \sup \left\{ \| \omega^k(f, \delta, p, n) \| \right\} \leq \sup \tau^k(f, \delta, p, n) \leq \tau^k(f, \delta, p, n)
\]

Main Results

We shall prove the direct inequality of \( f \in L_p(\omega_p(B), B = [0, 2\pi]) \) by Jackson and Korovkin polynomials in terms of Average modulus and the modulus of continuity.

Theorem 1:
For \( f \in L_p(\omega_p(B), B = [0, 2\pi]) \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty, 1 \leq k \leq n \) we get
\[
E_n(f, p, n) \leq \frac{1}{n^k} \| f^{(k)} \|_{p, n}
\]

Proof:
Let \( \Pi_n \) be the set of all trigonometric polynomial, \( f \in L_p(\omega_p(B), B = [0, 2\pi]) \), then
\[
\| f \Phi_n(\cdot) \|_{p} = \left[ \int_B | f \Phi_n(x) |^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, exists
\]

Then by (Sendov Theorem in (8)) there is a polynomial \( T_n \in \Pi_n \) such that
\[
\| f \Phi_n(\cdot) - T_n \Phi_n(\cdot) \|_{p} \leq C_1(k) \omega^k(f \Phi_n(x), \frac{1}{n^k})
\]

Thus
\[
\| f \Phi_n(\cdot) - T_n \Phi_n(\cdot) \|_{p} = \| f - T_n \|_{p, n} \leq C_1(k) \omega^k \left( \Phi_n(x), \frac{1}{n^k} \right)
\]

\[
= C_1(k) \omega^k \left( \frac{1}{n^k} \right)
\]

Now using lemma 9 and lemma 6 we get
\[
E_n(f, p, n) = \inf_{r \in \Pi_n} \| f - T_n \|_{p, n} = \| f - T_n \|_{p, n} \leq C(k) \omega^k \left( \frac{1}{n^k} \right)
\]

\[
\leq C(k) \| f \|_{p, n} \leq \frac{1}{n^k} \| f \|_{p, n}
\]

Thus
\[
E_n(f, p, n) = \| f \Phi_n(\cdot) \|_{p, n} \leq \| f \|_{p, n}
\]

where \( \beta_n(x) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{4n^2 + 2}} \)

Proof:
Since \( K_n(f, x) \) is a linear positive operator we can using lemma 7

First we must prove the following

1- \( K_n^p(1, x) = 1 \)

2- \( \beta_n^p(1) = \sqrt{K_n^p(\sin^2 \left( \frac{x}{n}, x \right)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{4n^2 + 2}} \)

Since \( K_n(f, x) = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} f \Phi_n(t) \Phi_n(t - x) dt \)

then
\[
K_n^p(1, x) = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_n(t - x) dt \]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{sin(n^2 - 1)}{2n^2 + 1} \cos t + \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \rho_k \cos kt \right) dt
\]

\[
K_n^p(1, x) = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} \cos t + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{2n^2 - 1}{2n^2 + 1} \cos t dt + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \rho_k \cos kt \cos t dt
\]

\[
K_n^p(1, x) = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{2n^2 - 1}{2n^2 + 1} \sin t \right) + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \rho_k \sin kt \sin t dt
\]

\[
K_n^p(1, x) = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{2n^2 - 1}{2n^2 + 1} \sin t \right) + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \rho_k \sin kt \sin t dt
\]

Now
\[
(\beta_n^p(x))^2 = K_n^p \left( \sin^2 \left( \frac{t-x}{2}, x \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 \left( \frac{t-x}{2}, \Phi_n(t) dt \right.
\]

\[
(\beta_n^p(x))^2 = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 \left( \frac{t-x}{2}, \Phi_n(t) dt \right.
\]
Using theorem (2) and lemma (4) we have

\[ \|f\|_{L_{p;\varnothing}} \quad \text{for} \quad f \in L_{p;\varnothing}(B). \]

Corollary 3:
For \( f \in L_{p;\varnothing}(B), B = [0,2\pi], 1 \leq p < \infty \) we have

\[ \|K_n(f,.) - f(.)\|_{p;\varnothing} \leq (1 + \pi)\tau_1(f,\beta^*_n)_{p;\varnothing} \leq (1 + \pi)\beta^*_n\|f\|_{p;\varnothing} \]

Thus

\[ \|K_n(f,.) - f(.)\|_{p;\varnothing} \leq \frac{(1+\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{4\pi^2+2}} \|f\|_{p;\varnothing} \]

Corollary 4:

For \( f \in L_{p;\varnothing}(B), B = [0,2\pi], 1 \leq p < \infty \) we have

\[ K_n(f,.) \xrightarrow{u.c.} f(x) \]

Proof:

By theorem (2) we have

\[ \|K_n(f,.) - f(.)\|_{p;\varnothing} \leq (1 + \pi)\tau_1(f,\beta^*_n)_{p;\varnothing} \]

Then

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|K_n(f,.) - f(.)\|_{p;\varnothing} = (1 + \pi)\tau_1(f,\beta^*_n)_{p;\varnothing} \]

Thus

\[ \|K_n(f,.) - f(.)\|_{p;\varnothing} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \]

Then

\[ K_n(f,.) \xrightarrow{u.c.} f(x) \]

Theorem 5:

For \( f \in L_{p;\varnothing}(B), B = [0,2\pi], 1 \leq p < \infty \) we have

\[ \|K_n^{**}(f,.) - f(.)\|_{p;\varnothing} \leq (1 + \pi)\tau_1(f,\beta^*_n)_{p;\varnothing} \]

where \( \beta^*_n = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \pi^2}}{\sqrt{2}} \)

Proof:

Since \( K_n^{**}(f,.) \) is linear positive operator we can using lemma (7)

First we must prove the following

1- \( K_n^{**}(1,.) = 1 \)

Thus

\[ K_n^{**}(1,.) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(t) \, dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(t) \, dt \]

Since

\[ K_n^{**}(f,.) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\phi_n(t)) \varphi_n(t - x) \, dt \]

Thus

\[ K_n^{**}(1,.) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(t - x) \, dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(t) \, dt \]

Thus

\[ K_n^{**}(1,.) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(t) \, dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos t \, dt \]

\[ K_n^{**}(1,.) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \cos t \, dt + \int_0^{2\pi} \cos t \, dt \right) \]

Thus

\[ K_n^{**}(1,.) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{2\pi}{2} \right) \]

Now

\[ K_n^{**}(1,.) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{2\pi}{2} \right) + 0 + 0 = 1 \]
Using theorem (5) and lemma (4) we have
\[ ||K_n^*(f,.) - f(.)||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \leq \left(1 + \pi \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n}}}{\sqrt{2}} ||f'||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \]

Thus
\[ ||K_n^*(f,.) - f(.)||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \leq \left(1 + \pi \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n}}}{\sqrt{2}} ||f'||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \]

\[ \text{Corollary 7:} \]
For \( f \in L_{p, \mathfrak{n}}(B), B = [0,2\pi] \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) we have
\[ K_n^*(f, x) \xrightarrow{u. c.} f(x) \]

\[ \text{Proof:} \]
Using corollary (6) we get
\[ ||K_n^*(f,.) - f(.)||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \leq \left(1 + \pi \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n}}}{\sqrt{2}} ||f'||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \text{ Then} \]
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} ||K_n^*(f,.) - f(.)||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \leq \left(1 + \pi \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n}}}{\sqrt{2}} ||f'||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} = \]
\[ \left(1 + \pi \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n}}}{\sqrt{2}} ||f'||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n}} = \]
\[ \left(1 + \pi \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n}}}{\sqrt{2}} ||f'||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \sqrt{1 - 1} \text{ Thus} \]
\[ ||K_n^*(f,.) - f(.)||_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{n}} \to 0 \text{ Then} \]
\[ K_n^*(f, x) \xrightarrow{u. c.} f(x) \]

\[ \text{Conclusion:} \]
By using the multiplier Jackson's operator\( K_n^*(f, x) \) and by the multiplier linear positive Korovkin's operator \( K_n^*(f, x) \) we obtained the best Multiplier approximation of \( f \in L_{p, \mathfrak{n}}(B), B = [0,2\pi] \) and we estimated the degree of the best multiplier approximation in terms of modulus of continuity and the averaged modulus.
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صاحب كحيط جاسم
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الخلاصة:

الغرض من هذا البحث إيجاد أفضل تقريب لمضروب الدوال الغير مقيدة في الفضاءات الدورية باستخدام المؤثرات الخطية الموجبة وكذلك تقدير درجة أفضل تقريب مضاعف من ناحية نموذج الاستمرارية ونموذج المتوسط.


