

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2020.17.4.1250>

## A Generalization of $t$ -Practical Numbers

Saad A. Baddai

Department of mathematics, College of Science for Women University of Baghdad, Iraq

E-mail: [baddai1955@gmail.com](mailto:baddai1955@gmail.com)

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7943-6955>

Received 10/10/2019, Accepted 4/2/2020, Published 1/12/2020



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Abstract:

This paper generalizes and improves the results of Margenstren, by proving that the number of  $t$ -practical numbers  $n, n \leq x, (t \geq 1)$ , which is defined by  $N(x)$  has a lower bound in terms of  $t$ . This bound is more sharper than Mangenstern bound when  $t = 1$ . Further general results are given for the existence of  $t$ -practical numbers, by proving that the interval  $\left(x, x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2}\right), t \geq 1$  contains a  $t$ -practical for all  $x \geq \frac{t}{3}$ .

**Keywords:** Bound for the  $t$ -practical numbers, Existence of  $t$ -practical numbers in an interval, Practical numbers,  $t$ -practical numbers.

### Introduction:

The  $t$ -practical numbers  $n, (t \geq 1)$  is a generalization of practical numbers when  $t = 1$  which is defined in (1). Nicholas Schwab and Lola Thompson (2) adopted the multiplicative function  $f(d)$ , where  $d$ 's the divisors of  $n$  and referring to each  $n$  as  $f$ -practical proved series of results related to the distribution of  $f$ -practical numbers. P. Leonetti and C.Sanna (3) proved that the most of the binomial coefficients  $\binom{n}{k}, 0 \leq k \leq n$  are practical numbers and

$$f(n) < n^{1 - (\log 2 - \delta) / \log \log n}$$

when  $f(n)$  denotes the number of coefficients  $\binom{n}{k}$  that are not practical for all  $n \in [3, x], x > 3, 0 < \delta < \log 2$ . Further results proved by Wang. L-Y and Sun. Z-W (4) showing that  $n^2 + bn + c$  is practical for some integer  $n > 1, b \geq 0$  and  $c > 0$ . They proved that there are infinitely many practical numbers of the form  $q^4 + 2$  with  $q$  practical number.

Shapiro (5), Saias (6) prove that

$$p(x) \geq \frac{cx}{(\log x)}$$

which is analogous with the asymptotic behavior of primes. In (7) Weingartner gave non explicit bound by proving that

$$p(x) = \frac{cx}{\log x} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right) \right].$$

Margenstren (1) noted that the number of practical number  $n, n \leq x$  is

$$p(x) \geq \frac{Ax}{\exp\left[\frac{1}{2 \log 2} (\log \log x)^2 + 3 \log \log x\right]},$$
$$A = \frac{2^{5/2}}{5}$$

In this paper the bound above is generalized and improved in Theorem (3), for all  $t \geq 1$ .

Finally, in this paper the bound given by Theorem (3) sharper than the bound mentioned above. Further general result proved for the  $t$ -practical number is by showing that there exists a  $t$ -practical number in an interval  $\left(x, x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2}\right), t \geq 1$ , where the case  $t = 1$  represent the result of (5).

### Preliminary Results and Definitions:

**Definition (1) (1):** Let  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $n$  be called a practical number if for every integer  $m, 1 \leq m < n$  having the form

$$m = \sum_{d|n} c_d d, \quad c_d = 0, 1$$

**Definition (2):** The number  $n, (n \geq 1)$  is called a  $t$ -practical number if every integer  $m, 1 \leq m \leq tn, (t \geq 1)$  is of the form

$$m = \sum_{d|n} c_d d, \quad 1 \leq c_d \leq t.$$

**Definition (3):** Define  $N(x)$  to be the number of  $t$ -practical numbers  $n, n \leq x$ .

The following lemmas will be required

**Lemma (1):** For any  $t$ -practical,  $t \geq 1$ ,  
 $(t + 1)n \leq t\sigma(n) + 1 \dots (1)$

where some  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum of positive divisors of  $n$ .

**Proof:** If  $n = 1$ , then (1) follows. Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r$  and all positive divisors of  $n$ , then from Theorem (1)

$$\begin{aligned} d_r &< t\sigma_{r-1} + 1, \\ d_r + td_r &\leq t\sigma_{r-1} + td_r + 1 \\ (t + 1)d_r &\leq t\sigma_r + 1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_r = n, \sigma_r = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_r$   
 $(t + 1)n \leq t\sigma(n) + 1$

**Lemma (2):** Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(t + 1)^\ell, (t \geq 1)$  is a  $t$ -practical number.

**Proof:** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq m \leq (t + 1)^\ell$ , then we can write

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} c(t + 1)^i, \quad (1 \leq c_i \leq t)$$

since  $(t + 1)^i$  are distinct divisors of  $(t + 1)^\ell$ , then  $(t + 1)^\ell$  is a  $t$ -practical.

**Lemma (3):** The number  $s^\ell, 1 \leq s \leq t + 1$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  is  $t$ -practical.

**Proof:** For any integer  $m, 1 \leq m < s^\ell$ , we can write

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} c_i s^i, \quad 1 \leq c_i \leq t.$$

then  $s^i$  are distinct divisors of  $s^\ell$  and therefore  $s^\ell$  is  $t$ -practical.

The following theorems are required.

**Theorem (1) (4):** Let  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$  be distinct primes and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$  is practical number if and only if  $p_1 = 2$  and

$$p_j < \sigma(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_{j-1}^{a_{j-1}}) + 1, \quad (1 \leq j \leq k),$$

where  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum of all positive divisors of  $n$ . Robinson (8) prove the following

**Theorem (2) (8):** Let  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r$  be the positive divisors of  $n$ . Then  $n$  is a practical number if and only if

$$d_{k+1} \leq \sigma_k + 1, \quad (1 \leq k \leq r - 1)$$

where  $\sigma_k = d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_k$ .

A generalization of Robinson's results (8) given by the following:

**Theorem (3):**  $n$  is  $t$ -practical if and only if

$$d_{k+1} \leq t\sigma_k + 1, \quad (0 \leq k \leq r - 1)$$

**Proof:** Suppose that

$$d_{k+1} \leq t\sigma_k + 1.$$

In fact, by proving that for any  $k, 0 \leq k \leq (r - 1)$  every integer  $m$  such that  $t\sigma_k < m \leq t\sigma_{k+1}$  can be express as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i d_i, \quad 0 \leq c_i \leq t \quad \dots (1)$$

where  $t\sigma_0 = 0$  and  $t\sigma_r = t(d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_r), d_r = n$ , this shows that every integer  $m, 1 \leq m \leq n$  has the required representation for  $n$  to be  $t$ -practical number. The

proof of (1) is by induction on  $k$ . If  $k = 0$ , then (1) implies that every integer

$m, 0 < m \leq t$  is of the form  $c_1 d_1, 0 \leq c_1 \leq t$ , where  $d_1 = 1$  and hence  $m$  is  $t$ -practical. Assume that (1) is true for  $k = K$ , with  $K < r - 1$ , then we will show that (1) is true for  $k = K + 1$ . Therefore, let

$$t\sigma_{K+1} < m \leq \sigma_{K+2}$$

since  $t\sigma_{K+2} < m \leq t\sigma_{K+1} + 1$ , then

$$d_{K+2} \leq m \leq t\sigma_{K+2},$$

and if  $m < (t + 1)d_{K+2}, m$  can be written as

$$m = ud_{K+2} + v$$

with  $0 \leq v \leq d_{K+2}$  and  $0 \leq u \leq t$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq v \leq d_{K+2} &\leq t\sigma_{K+1} + 1 \\ v &\leq t\sigma_{K+1} \end{aligned}$$

where by induction hypothesis,

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{K+1} c_i d_i, \quad 0 \leq c_i \leq t$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m &= ud_{K+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{K+1} c_i d_i \\ \therefore m &= \sum_{i=1}^{K+2} c_i d_i \end{aligned}$$

with  $c_{K+2} = u$  which is the required form. If  $m \geq (t + 1)d_{K+2}$ , then

$$d_{K+2} \leq m - td_{K+2} \leq t\sigma_{K+2} = t\sigma_{K+1}$$

Hence, induction hypothesis,  $m$  is  $t$ -practical and

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{K+2} c_i d_i, \quad 0 \leq c_i \leq t.$$

Conversely, if  $n$  is  $t$ -practical, then for any  $k, 0 \leq k \leq (r - 1)$ , it follows that

$$d_{K+1} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i d_i, \quad 0 \leq c_i \leq t$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{K+1} - 1 &= \sum_{i=1}^K c_i d_i \leq t \sum_{i=1}^K d_i = t\sigma_K \\ \therefore d_{K+1} &\leq t\sigma_K + 1. \end{aligned}$$

**The Number of  $t$ -Practical Numbers:**

Margenstren (1) proved the following.

**Theorem (4) (1):** The number of practical numbers  $n, n \leq x$  and  $x$  large real number is at most,

$$\frac{Ax}{\exp\left[\frac{1}{2 \log 2} (\log \log x)^2 + 3 \log \log x\right]}$$

where  $= \frac{2^{5/2}}{5}$ .

a generalization of Theorem (4) (1) for the  $t$ -practical is given by the following.

**Theorem (5):** Let  $x$  be a large real number. Then

$$N(x) \geq (2 \log 2)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{x}{\exp[\frac{1}{2 \log 2} (\log \log x)^2 + (\log \log x) + \log(t+1)]}$$

**Proof:** Let  $x \geq (t+1)^3$ . Then we can take  $x$  such that

$$(t+1)^{2^{r+1}} \leq x < (t+1)^{2^{r+1}+1}, \quad \dots (1)$$

with  $r \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 1$ . Let  $y = \frac{x}{t+1}$ , then by writing (1) as

$$(t+1)^{2^r} \leq y \leq (t+1)^{2^{r+1}}, \quad \dots (2)$$

from Theorem (1), if  $n = p_1 \dots p_r$ , where  $p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_r$  are distinct primes then

$$p_{j+1} \leq t\sigma(p_1 p_2 \dots p_j) + 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r-1 \quad \dots (3)$$

where  $1 < p_1 < t+1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r$  is a  $t$ -practical number. Therefore by writing

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 1 < p_1 < t+1 \\ t+1 < p_2 < 2(t+1) \\ (t+1)^2 < p_3 < 2(t+1)^2 \\ \vdots \\ (t+1)^{2^r} < p_r < 2(t+1)^{2^r} \end{array} \right\} \dots (4)$$

from (4), since  $1 < p_1 < t+1$ , then by Lemma (3)  $p_1$  is a  $t$ -practical and by Lemma (1), it follows that

$$t\sigma(p_1) + 1 > (t+1)p_1, \quad p_1 \geq 2$$

then  $p_1 \cdot p_2$  is also  $t$ -practical. Now, as induction hypothesis, assuming that

$p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_i$ , ( $2 \leq i \leq r$ ) is a  $t$ -practical and

$$(t+1)^{2^k} \leq p_i \leq 2(t+1)^k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r$$

then from the L.H.S of (4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_i &\geq 2(t+1)^{1+2+2^2+\dots+2^k} \\ &= 2(t+1)^{2^{k+1}-1} \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_i \geq 2(t+1)^{2^{k+1}-1} \quad \dots (5)$$

and by Lemma (1)

$$t\sigma(p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_i) + 1 > p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_i$$

using (5) to get

$$t\sigma(p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_i) \geq 2(t+1)^{2^{k+1}} > p_{i+1}.$$

Hence,  $p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_{i+1}$  is a  $t$ -practical. From the R.H.S of (4) we have also

$$\begin{aligned} n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \dots p_r &\leq 2^{r-1} \cdot (t+1)^{2^{r+1}} \\ \therefore n &< (t+1)^{2^{r+1}+1} \end{aligned}$$

Since the number of  $p_1$ ,  $1 < p_1 \leq (t+1)$  is estimated to be at least

$$\frac{(t+1)}{\log(t+1)}$$

and the number of  $p_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq r$  is at least

$$\frac{(t+1)^{2^m}}{2^m \cdot \log(t+1)}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N((t+1)^{2^{r+1}+1}) &\geq \frac{(t+1) \cdot (t+1) \cdot (t+1)^2 \dots (t+1)^{2^r}}{\log(t+1) \cdot \log(t+1) \cdot 2^2 \log(t+1) \dots 2^r \log(t+1)} \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$N((t+1)^{2^{r+1}+1}) \geq \frac{(t+1)^{2^{r+1}}}{2^{r(r+1)/2} \cdot [\log(t+1)]^r} \quad \dots (6)$$

from inequality (2),

$$\log(t+1) \leq \frac{\log y}{2^r}$$

and this implies that

$$\frac{1}{[\log(t+1)]^r} \geq \frac{2^{r^2}}{(\log y)^r} \quad \dots (7)$$

where from (2)

$$y < (t+1)^{2^{r+1}} \quad \dots (8)$$

using (7), (8) in (6) to get

$$N((t+1)^{2^{r+1}+1}) \geq 2^{r(r-1)/2} \cdot \frac{y}{(\log y)^r} \quad \dots (9)$$

by using (2), then

$$2^r \log(t+1) \leq \log y < 2^r \log(t+1)^2 \dots (10)$$

since  $x = (t+1)y$ , then

$$\log y \leq \log x \leq 2 \log y \quad \dots (11)$$

and both (9), (11) implies that the number of  $t$ -practical numbers  $n$ ,  $n \leq x$  is

$$N(x) \geq 2^{r(r-1)/2} \cdot \frac{x}{(t+1)(\log x)^r} \quad \dots (12)$$

from (10) above, by taking

$$2^r = \frac{\log y}{\log 2}$$

and from R.H.S of (11)

$$2^r \geq \frac{\log x}{2 \log 2} \quad \dots (13)$$

using (13) in (12), then

$$(2 \log 2)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{x}{(2 \log 2)^{r/2} \cdot (t+1)(\log x)^{(r+1)/2}} \dots (14)$$

from the L.H.S of (10),

$$2^r \leq \frac{\log y}{\log(t+1)}$$

where  $\log(t+1) \geq \log 2$ , using L.H.S of inequality (11), then

$$2^r \leq \frac{\log y}{\log 2} \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2}$$

$$\therefore r \leq \frac{1}{\log 2} [\log \log x - \log \log 2] \quad \dots (15)$$

using (15), and write

$$2^{r/2} = \exp\left[\frac{r}{2} \log 2\right]$$

$$\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \log x - \frac{1}{2} \log \log 2\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\log 2)^{r/2} &= \exp\left[\frac{r}{2} \log \log 2\right] \\
 &\leq \exp\left[\frac{\log \log 2}{2 \log 2} \cdot \log \log x\right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{(\log \log 2)^2}{2 \log 2}\right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and since,

$$(\log x)^{r+1/2} = \exp\left[\frac{r+1}{2} \log \log x\right]$$

then by (15),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\log x)^{r+1/2} &= \exp\left[\frac{r+1}{2} \log \log x\right] \\
 &\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{2 \log 2} (\log \log x)^2\right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\log \log 2}{2 \log 2} \log \log x + \frac{1}{2} \log \log x\right] \\
 \therefore (2 \log 2)^{r/2} \cdot (\log x)^{r+1/2} \\
 &\leq \exp\left[\frac{1}{2 \log 2} (\log \log x)^2\right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \log \log x\right] \\
 \therefore \frac{1}{(2 \log 2)^{r/2} \cdot (\log x)^{r+1/2}} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{\exp\left[\frac{1}{2 \log 2} \cdot (\log \log x)^2 + \log \log x\right]} \quad \dots (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

Insert (16) in (14), then the number of  $t$ -practical numbers  $n, n \leq x$  is  $N(x)$  and

$$N(x) \geq (2 \log 2)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{x}{\exp\left[\frac{1}{2 \log 2} \cdot (\log \log x)^2 + \log \log x + \log(t+1)\right]}$$

This end the proof. This bound is more sharper than Margenstren result in (1) even when  $t = 1$ , for  $x \geq 64$ .

### **$t$ -Practical Numbers in an Interval**

In (5) Hausman and Shapiro proved the following:

**Theorem (6) (5):** For all  $x \geq \frac{1}{3}$  the interval  $(x, x + 2x^{1/2})$  contains a practical number.

A generalization of Theorem (6) (5) given by the following:

**Theorem (7):** The interval  $\left(x, x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2}\right), (t \geq 1)$  contains a  $t$ -practical number for all  $x \geq \frac{t}{3}$ .

**Proof:** Considering  $x > 4t, t \geq 1$  and  $\frac{t}{3} \leq x \leq 4t$ . For if  $x > 4t$  and

$$2^a < \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} \leq 2^{a+1}, \quad a \in \mathbb{N} \quad \dots (1)$$

Then the interval  $\left(x, x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2}\right)$  is of the length

$\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2}$ , therefore it contains at least one multiple of  $2^a$  such as  $2^a m$  on the other word

$$x < 2^a m < x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} \quad \dots (2)$$

Thus, either  $m$  or  $m + 1$  is even integer, where since

$$2^a(m+1) = 2^a m + 2^a < x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2},$$

then

$$x < 2^a m < 2^a(m+1) < x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Now, by showing that one of these integers  $2^a m, 2^a(m+1)$  is a  $t$ -practical, suppose that neither is a  $t$ -practical number and, without loss of generality, let  $m$  be an even integer. Then since  $2^a m$  is not  $t$ -practical number.

then there exists a prime  $p_1$  of  $2^a m$  such that

$$p_i > t\sigma(n_{i-1}) + 1 \quad \dots (3)$$

with  $2^a m = p_1^{k_1} \cdot p_2^{k_2} \dots, p_i^{k_i}, p_1 = 2, n_{i-1} = p_1^{k_1} \cdot p_2^{k_2} \dots p_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}}, i \geq 2$  and  $n_0 = 1$ .

Particularly, (3) implies that

$$p_i > t + 1$$

and  $p_i$  is odd prime for  $i \geq 2$ . Therefore  $2^{a+1} | n_{i-1}$  and

$$p_i > t\sigma(2^{a+1}) + 1 = t(2^{a+2} - 1) + 1,$$

$$p_i \geq t\sigma(2^{a+2} - 1) + 2,$$

$$2^a m \geq 2 \cdot 2^{2(a+1)} \cdot t - 2^{a+1} \cdot t + 2^{a+2}, \quad \dots (4)$$

and from (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 x + \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} &\geq 2x - 2^{a+1} \cdot t + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} \\
 2^{a+1} \cdot t &\geq x + \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} \quad \dots (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the L.H.S of (1), that

$$2x^{1/2} \cdot t > 2^{a+1} \cdot t$$

therefore (5) implies that

$$2x^{1/2} \cdot t^{1/2} > x + \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$2t^{1/2} - \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/2} > x^{1/2}$$

$$2t^{1/2} > x^{1/2}$$

and this implies that  $x < 4t$  a contradiction. A similar procedure can be followed for  $2^a(m+1)$  which also leads to a contradiction.

If  $t < x \leq 4t$ , then

$$2 \leq 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} \leq 4$$

and

$$x + 2 \leq x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} \leq 4 + x$$

$$1 < t + 1 < x + 2 \leq x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} \leq 4(t+1) \quad \dots (6)$$

By Lemma (2), the inequality (6) shows that the interval

$$\left(x, x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2}\right)$$

contains the integer  $(t+1)$  which is a  $t$ -practical. If  $\frac{t}{3} \leq x < t$ , then

$$2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{1/2} < 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} < 2$$

i.e

$$x + 2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{1/2} < x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} < x + 2 < t + 2,$$

since  $x > \frac{t}{2}$ , then

$$1 < \frac{t}{3} + 1 < x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2} < t + 2$$

and

$$1 < \frac{t}{3} + 1 \leq t + 1.$$

Therefore, by Lemma (3)  $m = \left(\frac{t}{3} + 1\right)$  is a  $t$ -practical number which end the proof.

Theorem (6) (5) follows immediately when  $t = 1$ .

**Corollary:** The interval  $(x^2, (x + \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^2), t \geq 1, x \geq 1$  contains a  $t$ -practical number.

**Proof:** From Theorem (7) we have  $(x, x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2})$  that contains a  $t$ -practical number for  $x \geq \frac{t}{3}$ , then this implies  $(x^2, x^2 + 2\left(\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^2\right)^{1/2})$  that also contains a  $t$ -practical number for  $x \geq 1$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(x^2, x^2 + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2}\right) &\subseteq \left(x^2, x^2 + 2\frac{x}{t} + \frac{1}{t^2}\right) \\ &= \left(x^2, \left(x + \frac{1}{t}\right)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

this shows that there is a  $t$ -practical number between  $x^2$  and  $(x + \frac{1}{t})^2$ .

### Conclusion:

This work gives a new lower bound for all  $t$ -practical numbers to be at most

$$(2 \log 2)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{x}{\exp. \left[ \frac{1}{2 \log 2} (\log \log x)^2 + \log \log x + \log(t + 1) \right]}$$

and this lower bound is the sharper bound in which one can determine these numbers  $n, n \leq x$  for any real number  $x$ . Further results in this paper show that the interval  $(x, x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2})$ , containing  $t$ -practical numbers for all  $x \geq \frac{t}{3}, (t \geq 1)$ .

### Author's declaration:

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- I hereby confirm that all the Figures and Tables in the manuscript are mine. Besides, the Figures and images, which are not mine, have been given the permission for re-publication attached with the manuscript.
- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee in University of Baghdad.

### References:

1. Margenstren. M. Les Nombres Pratiques; Theorie, Observations et Conjectures. JNT, 37, 1991; 1-36.
2. Nicholas S, Lola Th. A Generalization of the Practical Numbers", IJNT, 14(05) 2018; 1487-1503.
3. Leonetti P, Sanna C. Practical Numbers Among the Binomial Coefficients. 2019 (to appear).
4. Wang LY, Sun ZW. On practical numbers of some special forms. arXiv :1809.01532. 11 Jul 2019 .
5. Hausman M, Shapiro HN. On practical numbers. COMMUN PUR APPL MATH. 1984 Sep; 37(5):705-13.
6. Saias E. Entiers  $a'$  diviseurs denses 1. JNT, 62 1997; 163-191.
7. Weingartner A. Practical Numbers and Distribution of Divisors. Q. J. Math, 66 2015; 743-758.
8. Robinson D. F. Egyptian Fraction Via Greek Number Theory", The New Zeal. Math. Magazine. 16  $N_2^0$  1979; 47-52.

## تعميم في الاعداد العملية ذات التكرار $t$

سعد عبود بداي

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم للبنات، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق.

### الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث تم تعميم وتحسين نتائج Margenstren باثبات ان  $N(x)$  التي تمثل عدد الاعداد العملية  $n \leq x$ , ذات التكرار  $t$ , ( $t \geq 1$ ) له الحد الأدنى

$$N(x) \geq (2 \log 2)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{x}{\exp. \left[ \frac{1}{2 \log 2} (\log \log x)^2 + \log \log x + \log(t + 1) \right]}$$

وهذا الحد الأدنى يعتبر افضل من الحد المعطى من قبل Margenstren عندما  $t=1$ .

وعلاوة على ذلك تم برهنت وجود اعداد عملية بتكرار  $t$ , ( $t \geq 1$ ) في الفترة  $(x, x + 2\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2})$  لعدد حقيقي  $x$  عندما  $x \geq \frac{t}{3}$ .

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** الحد الأدنى لعدد الاعداد العملية ذات تكرار  $t$ , الاعداد العملية، الاعداد العملية بتكرار  $t$ , وجود الاعداد العملية بتكرار  $t$

من فترة.