Some Common Fixed Points Theorems of Four Weakly Compatible Mappings in Metric Spaces
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Abstract:
In this paper, we proved coincidence points theorems for two pairs mappings which are defined on nonempty subset $A$ in metric spaces $X$ by using condition (1.1). As application, we established a unique common fixed points theorems for these mappings by using the concept weakly compatible (R-weakly commuting) between these mappings.

Key words: Coincidence points, Common fixed points, Weakly compatible mappings.

Introduction:
The common fixed point theory is so powerful in Mathematics. It can be used in various fields, for example, variation al inequalities, optimization, and approximation theory. The common fixed point theorems for mappings achieving certain contractive conditions in metric spaces has been continually studied for decades (see (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)) and references contained therein.

In 2015 (7) Chahan, Imdad, Kadelbrg and Vetro proved a fixed and coincidence point theorem for a hybrid pair of compatible mappings via an implicit relation.

In 2017 (8) Xu, Chen and Aleksic proved common random fixed point theorems for the generalized quasi-contraction with the spectral radius $r(\lambda)$ of the quasi-contractive constant vector $\lambda$ satisfying $r(\lambda) \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ in the setting of cone $b$-metric spaces over Banach algebras, where the coefficient $\delta$ satisfies $\delta \geq 1$.

In 2018 (9) Tomar and Sharma proved common fixed point theorems for a pair of self-maps in metric space.

In 2019 (10), Mitrovic, Aydi, Hussain and Mukheimer proved certain common fixed point theorems in $F$-metric spaces.

Let $A$ be a nonempty subset of a metric space $X$ and let $f$ and $g$ be self-mappings of $A$. A point $a \in A$ is a common fixed [coincidence] point of $f$ and $g$ if $f(a) = g(a) = a \ [f(a) = g(a)]$ [9]”. The set of coincidence points of $f$ and $g$ is denoted by $(f, g)$, the set of fixed points of $f$ is denoted by $F(f)$ and the closure of the set $A$ is denoted by $\bar{A}$. The pair $(f, g)$ said to be

(a) " Commuting maps if $f g(a) = gf(a)$, for all $a \in A$." (11);
(b) " R-weakly commuting maps if for all $a \in A$ there exists $R > 0$ such that $d(f g(a), gf(a)) < R d(f(g(a)), g(a))$, if $R=1$, then the maps are called weakly commuting." (12);
(c) " weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is $f g(a) = gf(a)$ whenever $f(a) = g(a)$," (13).

In 2016 (14) Choudhury and Som proved unique fixed point results for quasi contraction mappings on a metric space satisfying some generalized inequality conditions and unique common fixed point result for asymptotically regular mappings of certain kind and satisfying a generalized contraction condition.

Main Results:

**Theorem (1.1).**
Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}: A \to A$ such that for all $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ and $0 \leq M < 1$, the pair $(f_{1}, f_{2})$ satisfy the following condition

\[
d(f_{1}(a_{1}), f_{2}(a_{2})) \leq M \max \{d(g_{1}(a_{1}), g_{2}(a_{2})), d(g_{1}(a_{1}), f_{1}(a_{1})), d(g_{2}(a_{2}), f_{2}(a_{2})), d(g_{1}(a_{1}), f_{2}(a_{2})), d(g_{2}(a_{2}), f_{1}(a_{1}))\},
\]

...
\[ d(g_2(a_2), f_1(a_1)) \] \( \cdots \) \( (1.1) \)

If \( f_1(A) \subseteq g_2(A) \), \( f_2(A) \subseteq g_1(A) \) and one of the subsets \( f_1(A), f_2(A), g_1(A) \) or \( g_2(A) \) is complete, then \( c(f_1, g_1) \neq \varnothing \) and \( c(f_2, g_2) \neq \varnothing \).

**Proof:**

Let \( a \) be arbitrary. Using \( f_1(A) \subseteq g_2(A) \) and \( f_2(A) \subseteq g_1(A) \), there exists two sequences \( \{b_{2k}\} \) and \( \{b_{2k+1}\}, k \in \mathbb{N} \), such that
\[ b_{2k} = f_1(g_2(b_{2k-1})) \quad \text{and} \quad b_{2k+1} = f_2(g_1(b_{2k+1})) \]
(1.2) and (1.3)

Now, from (1.2) and (1.3), we have
\[ d(b_{2k}, b_{2k+1}) = d(f_1(g_2(b_{2k-1})), f_2(g_1(b_{2k+1}))) \]
\[ \leq M \max(d(g_1(b_{2k-1}), g_2(b_{2k-1}))), \]
\[ d(g_1(b_{2k-1}), f_1(a_{2k-1})), \]
\[ d(g_2(b_{2k-1}), f_2(b_{2k-1}))) \]
(1.1)

We have
\[ M \max\{d(g_1(b_{2k-1}), g_2(b_{2k-1})), \]
\[ d(g_1(b_{2k-1}), f_1(a_{2k-1})), \]
\[ d(g_2(b_{2k-1}), f_2(b_{2k-1}))) \]
(1.1)

Using the following condition of metric space \( (x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \), we have
\[ M \max\{d(b_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1}), d(b_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1}), \]
\[ d(b_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1}) \]
(1.1)

Hence, \( d(b_{2k}, b_{2k+1}) \) \( \leq \gamma d(b_{2k-1}, b_{2k}) \), where
\[ \gamma = \frac{M}{1-M} < 1, \text{ by similar way we have} \]
\[ d(b_{2k-1}, b_{2k}) \leq \gamma d(b_{2k-2}, b_{2k-1}) \]
(1.1)

To prove \( (b_k) \) is a Cauchy sequence, for \( k, z \in \mathbb{N}, k > z \)
\[ d(b_k, b_z) = d(b_k, b_{k-1}) + d(b_{k-1}, b_{k-2}) + \cdots + d(b_0, b_1) \]
(1.1)

Using (1.2) and (1.1), we have
\[ d\left(b_{2k}, f_2(a_{2k})\right) = d\left(f_1(a_{2k}), f_2(a_{2k})\right) \leq M \max\{d(g_1(b_{2k}), g_2(b_{2k})), d(g_1(a_{2k}), f_1(a_{2k})), d(g_2(f_2(a_{2k})), d(g_2(a_{2k}), f_2(a_{2k}))) \]
(1.1)

From (1.2), we have
\[ d(b, f_2(a)) \leq M \max\{d(b, g_2(a)), d(b, f_2(a)), d(g_2(a), f_2(a)) \]
(1.1)

Hence, \( b = f_2(a) = g_2(a) \) \( \cdots \) \( (1.4) \)

Therefore \( c(f_2, g_2) \neq \varnothing \).

Finally, if \( f_2(A) \subseteq g_1(A) \), then \( b \in g_1(A) \). We are obtained \( w \in A \) such that \( g_1(w) = b \) \( \cdots \) \( (1.5) \)

Using (1.4), (1.1) and (1.5), we have
\[ d\left(f_1(w), b\right) = d\left(f_2(a), f_2(a)\right) \]
(1.1)

This implies that \( b = f_2(w) = 0 \) therefore \( b = f_1(w) = g_1(w) \). Hence \( w \) is a coincidence point of \( g_1 \) and \( f_1 \), we conclude that \( f_2(w) = g_1(w) = b = f_2(a) = g_2(a) \) \( \cdots \) \( (1.6) \)

**Theorem (1.2):**

Let \( f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2, f_1(A), f_2(A), g_1(A) \) and \( g_2(A) \) as in theorem (1.1). If the pairs \( (f_1, g_1) \) and \( (f_2, g_2) \) are weakly compatible (R-weakly commuting), then \( F(f_1) \cap F(f_2) \cap F(g_1) \cap F(g_2) \) is a single element.

**Proof:**

By theorem (1.1), there exists coincidence point \( a \) of \( f_2 \) and \( g_2 \) such that \( f_2(a) = g_2(a) \) and coincidence point \( w \) of \( f_1 \) and \( g_1 \) such that \( f_1(w) = g_1(w) \). If the pairs \( (f_1, g_1) \) and \( (f_2, g_2) \) are weakly compatible, then
\[ f_2(g_1(w)) = g_1(f_2(w)) \]
and
\[ f_2(g_2(a)) = g_2(f_2(a)) \]
By (1.6), we get
\[ f_1(b) = g_1(b) \]
and
\[ f_2(b) = g_2(b) \]
Using (1.6), (1.1) and (1.7), we get
\[ d(b, f_2(b)) = d(f_1(w), f_2(b)) \]
(1.1)

\[ \leq M \max\{d(g_1(w), g_2(b)), d(g_1(w), f_1(w)), d(g_2(b), f_2(b)), d(g_2(b), f_1(w)) \}
(1.1)

\[ = M \max\{d(b, f_2(b)), d(b, f_2(b)), d(g_2(b), g_2(b)), d(b, f_2(b)) \}
(1.1)

Then we get, \( (1 - M)d(b, f_2(b)) \leq 0 \), this implies \( b = f_2(b) \), using (1.7) we have
\[ b = g_2(b) = f_2(b) \] \( \cdots \) \( (1.8) \)
Again, by (1.8), (1.1) and (1.7), we have
\[ d(f_1(b), b) = d(f_1(b), f_2(b)) \leq M \max\{d(g_1(b), g_2(b)), d(f_1(b), g_1(b)), d(g_2(b), f_2(b))\} \]

**Conclusion:**

In this work, common fixed (coincidence) points of two pairs mappings which are defined on nonempty subset \( A \) of metric space \( X \) are found using the condition (1.1) and some relations between the pair of mappings.
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الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث، سنثبت مبرهنات نقاط التطابق لزوجين من التطبيقات متواقيفة على مجموعة جزئية غير خالية A من الفضاء المتري X باستخدام الشرط (1.1). كتطبيق سنثبت مبرهنات وحدانية النقاط الصامدة المشتركة لهذه التطبيقات باستخدام مفهوم التطابق بضعف أو R.

الكلمات المفتاحية: نقاط التطابق، النقاط الصامدة المشتركة، تطبيقات متواقيفة بضعف.