DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.2.0417</u>

Improved Image Security in Internet of Thing (IOT) Using Multiple Key AES

Khadeeja Gebor Salim^{*} Saif M. Kh. Al-alak

Majid Jabbar Jawad

Department of Computer Science, College of Science for Women, University of Babylon, Hillah, Iraq *Corresponding author: <u>khadeejagebor@gmail.com</u>*, <u>saif.shareefy@gmail.com</u>, <u>majid_al_sirafi@yahoo.com</u> *ORCID ID: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1990-3691</u>*, <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0042-9400</u>, <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9142-3815</u>

Received 26/3/2020, Accepted 23/8/2020, Published Online First 11/1/2021, Published 1/6/2021

Abstract:

Image is an important digital information that used in many internet of things (IoT) applications such as transport, healthcare, agriculture, military, vehicles and wildlife. etc. Also, any image has very important characteristic such as large size, strong correlation and huge redundancy, therefore, encrypting it by using single key Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) through IoT communication technologies makes it vulnerable to many threats, thus, the pixels that have the same values will be encrypted to another pixels that have same values when they use the same key. The contribution of this work is to increase the security of transferred image. This paper proposed multiple key AES algorithm (MECCAES) to improve the security of the transmitted image through IoT. This approach is evaluated via applying it on RGB bmp images and analyzing the results using standard metrics such as entropy, histogram, correlation, Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) and Mean Square Error (MES) metrics. Also, the time for encryption and decryption for the proposed MECCAES is the same time consumed by original single key AES is 12 second(the used image size is 12.1MB therefore time is long). The performance experiments show that this scheme achieves confidentiality also it encourages to use effectively in a wide IoTs fields to secure transmitted image.

Key words: AES, Asymmetric, Cryptography, IoT, MECC, RGB Color Image, Symmetric, Security, WiFi, WiMAX.

Introduction:

The IoT involves diverse link layer technologies and an enormous collection of devices (1). The number of IoT applications is growing. The applications comprise smart home, smart city, smart agriculture, utilities, healthcare monitoring, and animal farming, smart water, security and emergencies, industrial control, smart transportation, environment monitoring (2). It tackles the highly sensitive information about people and companies, which must not be revealed to those unauthorized persons and attackers.

Security is a very important issue that could confront the IoT development and providing security for IoT technology is a great real challenge. As the IoT technology has a wide spread scope, there are many variables areas of research that focuses on security challenges. Security in requirements the IoT environment are confidentiality, integrity, authentication, authorization, access control, and availability.

One of the most important methods to provide data security, especially to protect end-to-

end data sent over networks is Cryptography. Cryptography is the system in which text or any type of data is converted from readable data to unreadable (encrypted) data that can only be decrypted by someone who has the decryption key therefore, the purpose of the encryption is to ensure that the privacy of the data is not allowed to tamper with or view it, because it is either confidential or private and very important. Symmetric (the key used to encrypt and decrypt is the same) and asymmetric (the key used to encrypt is differed from the key used to decrypt) are basic types in cryptography and lightweight appear as modern type which is focused on the lower end devices.

A few lightweight security mechanisms currently in use are based on number of security techniques and cryptographic algorithms. They include hash functions like access control, XOR encryption, lightweight public-key cryptographic schemes based on ECC(Elliptic Curve Cryptography) (3) and symmetric AES 128 algorithm (that used as default in Wireless Fidelity (Wi-Fi), Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access X (WiMAX) and Bluetooth(4)(5).

Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) is the more secure public key cryptography algorithm because it uses fully exponential computations to solve the problems. It is used to generate smaller, faster, and more efficient cryptographic keys. ECC generates the keys through the properties of an elliptic curve equation instead of the conventional generation method as a result of very large initial numbers. Because ECC helps create equivalent security with less power to use the computer and use battery resources.

The <u>Advanced Encryption Standard</u> (AES) is one of the most symmetric block cipher algorithm that is used commonly in ciphering. When encrypting data by AES algorithm it is very difficult for hackers to get the original data. There are three key sizes allowed for the AES algorithm: 128, 192, and 256 bit; but the block size of the message allowed is fixed which is 128 bit

Security in transmission of the digital images has its importance in the present image communications due to the increasing use of image industrial process, transport, throughout the healthcare like Connected MRI(Magnetic Resonance Imaging) scanner (6), industrial (7) agriculture(8), military, vehicles and wildlife .. etc. It is necessary to protect the confidentiality of image data from unauthorized access, therefore image security is a critical issue and that is obvious from researchers who tried in many papers to enhance image security by use different encryption schemes.

The previous studies that attempted to enhance image security by using symmetric single key AES are many. Alireza etal. suggested chaos system to generate key rounds and make modifications on standard AES(9). Also, Ahmed et.al suggested mixing of a shifting technique and AES scheme (10), and Jha suggested image encryption approach corresponding to AES and 2-D logistic map (11). All (9)(10)(11) attempted to enhance the weakness of single key standard AES by increasing the randomness of key or make some modifications on original AES. On other hand, Mohsen and khizrai used multiple keys schemes (12) (13) to increase security level. The contribution of this work is to increase the security of transferred image by combining the good randomness of key with using AES multiple keys.

This study tries to enhance the security of transmitted image through IOT which is secured by using AES 128 single key. The proposed **MECC** - **AES** (Multiple key Elliptic Curve Cryptography)

achieves high levels of security and integrity according to statistical metrics such as Entropy, PSNR, MSE and correlation.

The Proposed Scheme:

The main objectives of this work are improving the security and the accuracy of the transmitted image through IOT. The work suggested a multiple key symmetric scheme. At the first use MECC technique to generate multiple secret keys which is used with AES for improving the security and accuracy of image of IOT.

MECC Technique

The proposed MECC (14) technique can generate N keys by using multiple ECC public keys. The proposed MECC is two sets key generator. In this model, a different number of initial sequences and different ECC public keys are used, two initial random key seeds r0 and s0 are used to generate two sets of keys: r1, r2 ... rn; s1, s2 ... sn, where the sets are generated according to the Equations (1 and 2).

Then, the xor function f equation (3) is used to obtain the final keys, which are used in the encryption and decryption process.

$r_i = ECCen(TCK1, r_{i-1})$	$0 < i \leq n$	(1)
$s_i = ECCen(TCK2, s_{i-1})$	$0 < i \leq n$	(2)
Where:		

ECCen is an elliptic curve ciphering (ECC algorithm).

TCK1and TCK2: are trust center public keys which are different keys.

The trust center must satisfy the values of r0 and s0 in the sender and receiver sides.

Algor	ithm (1):MECC Algorithm (Two Sets)
Input	: s0, r0; key sets PK1, PK2; generated public
keys	
Outpu	ut: K1; proposed generated keys
1	Begin
2	For $i = 1$ to n (Where n: is number of
	the secret keys)
3	$si = ECC (s_{i-1}, PK_{1})$
4	ri = ECC (ri - 1, PK2)
5	End for
6	For $i = 1$ to n
7	K1i = f(si, rn-i+1)
8	End for
9	End



Figure 1. The Proposed MECC Technique with Two Sets Key generator model

MECC -AES Scheme

Where:

The MECC -AES technique is suggested to improve the strength of the security system that is available when using keys generated by the MECC technique. The MECC -AES technique consists of a MECC technique that generates secret keys and the AES algorithm which uses these secret keys in the encryption and decryption operations .In order to encrypt the plain image by the MECC -AES technique after separating the plain image into three matrix of R,G,B colors, each matrix must be divided into the same number of n blocks, as



Key: Secret key which is generated by the MECC technique.

Figure 2 shows a general view of the proposed MECC-AES encryption and decryption technique.

Algorithms 2 and 3 demonstrates the encryption of the proposed MKE-AES technique .

Algorithm (2):M ECC-AES Encryption	Algorithm (3): MECC-AES Decryption		
Input :RGB Image(separate RGB color image into three	Input: RGB Ciphered Image(separate RGB color image		
matrix Red, Green, Blue);	into three matrix Red, Green, Blue));		
Fifty(50) keys; secret keys	Fifty(50) keys; secret keys		
Output : C1, C10,C20,C30,C40,C50; the cipherd	Output : DC1,DC10,DC20,DC30,DC40,DC50; the		
image(depending on the number of secret keys)	Deciphered image(depending on the number of secret		
1 Begin	keys)		
2 Block-size = 16 byte	1 Begin		
3 No-of-Blocks($R G B$)= (Height*width) /	2 $Block-size = 16 byte$		
block-size	3 No-of-Blocks(R,G,B)= (Height*width) / block-		
4 No-of-Blocks-in-Groun-No-of-Blocks / n	size		
(Where n: is number of the secret keys)	4 No-of-Blocks-in-Group= No-of-Blocks / n		
(where h. is number of the secret keys)	(Where n: is number of the secret keys)		
$\mathbf{S} l=1$	5 $i=1$		
o For $j = 1$ to No-of-Blocks(R,G,B)	6 For $j = 1$ to No-of-Blocks(R,G,B)		
7 CRj = AES (Bj, Keyi)	7 $DCRj = AES(Bj, Keyi)$		
$\boldsymbol{8} \qquad CGj = AES (Bj, Keyi)$	8 $DCGj = AES(Bj, Keyi)$		
$9 CB_j = AES (B_j, Key_i)$	9 $DCBj = AES(Bj, Keyi)$		
10 If $(i \mod (No-of-Blocks-in-Group) = 0)$	10 If $(j \mod (No - of - Blocks - in - Group) = 0)$		
11 i=i+1	$11 \ i=i+1$		
12 End If	12 End If		
12 Enu Ij 12 December 12 in no e	13 Reconstruct image		
15 Keconstruct image	14 End For		
14 End For	15 End		
15 End			



Result and Discussion:

Based on the algorithms discussed in the previous section, an encryption quality is evaluated by using Sun image shown in Fig.3 which has high redundancy and it is taken from website (<u>https://www.hlevkin.com/06testimages.htm</u>) (15). The proposed scheme is implemented on configuration such as OS : Windows 7 ultimate 32

bit ,Processor : Intel Celeron ,Memory : 4 GB ,Software used : Java , Matlab 2008a , Excel and Input image: RGB image with **Dimensions** 2096*2030 and size 12.1 MB Bit depth 24 bit . After applying the proposed scheme, Fig.4 shows the ciphered images that belongs to the original RGB Sun image.



Figure 3.original Sun image.

Ciphered 1 key	Ciphered 10 keys	Ciphered 20 keys
Ciphered 30 keys	Ciphered 40 keys	Ciphered 50 keys
Ciphered 30 keys	Ciphered 40 keys	Ciphered 50 keys
Ciphered 30 keys	Ciphered 40 keys	Ciphered 50 keys
Ciphered 30 keys	Ciphered 40 keys	Ciphered 50 keys
Ciphered 30 keys	Ciphered 40 keys	Ciphered 50 keys
Ciphered 30 keys	Ciphered 40 keys	Ciphered 50 keys
Ciphered 30 keys	Ciphered 40 keys	Ciphered 50 keys
Ciphered 30 keys	Ciphered 40 keys	Ciphered 50 keys

Also, in this section the results of the proposed MKE-AES method are discussed as follows: Figure 4. The ciphered images with different number of secret keys corresponding to plain Sun image.

Entropy

Entropy (H) is a statistical measure of uncertainty (randomness) in communication theory (<u>16</u>). Information theory (Entropy) is concerned with network security, cryptography, data compression, communication systems, error

correlation and other related topics. The Eq. (6) is used to calculate the entropy as follows: H=- $\sum p(xi)\log 2 p(xi)......(6)$

Where p(xi) is the probability density function of the occurrence of the symbol xi. Entropy of an

image indicates how grey level values are distributed. When an image has equal probabilities, the entropy will be calculated to 8 which is an ideal result. If the entropy is less than eight (8) this means there is a certain degree of predictability (17) For a cryptosystem to resist the entropy attacks. Table 1 for Sun image illustrates how the entropy is increased when number of keys is increased. The entropy values in each ciphered image is increased and be more close to ideal value(8) which mean highly randomness. As a result all entropy values are better than entropy for ciphered image with one key.

Table 1. Evaluation of entropy to Sun image				ge
Entropy	Red	Green	Blue	AVG(RGB)
Original Sun	7.350198419	4.706794447	1.5760462	4.5443464
Ciphered 1 key	7.999960541	7.9949104	7.9981814	7.9976841
Ciphered 10 keys	7.999953581	7.999339879	7.9997501	7.9996812
Ciphered 20 keys	7.999959587	7.999637732	7.9998085	7.9998019
Ciphered 30 keys	7.999958022	7.999772259	7.9998683	7.9998662
Ciphered 40 keys	7.999960651	7.999807619	7.9999037	7.9998906
Ciphered 50 keys	7.99995235	7.999836309	7.9999058	7.9998982

PSNR & MSE

PSNR is abbreviation to Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio that reveals the encryption quality and displays the changes in the pixel values between the plain image and the ciphered image (18). The result of PSNR is a single number in decibels (dB) and if this number is smaller than 30dB that mean the encrypted image is dissimilar to the original. Mathematically PSNR is founded by putting the Mean Squared Error (MSE) in relation to the maximum possible value of luminance (for a typical 8-bit value this is $2^8 - 1 = 255$) as follows:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (f(i, j) - F(i, j))^2 \dots (7)$$

$$PSNR = 10 \cdot log 10(\frac{MAX^2}{MSE}) dB \text{ (formula no)} \dots (8)$$

Where f(i,j) represent the original pixel (i, j), while F(i, j) is the ciphered pixel, and $M \times N$ refer to the picture size. MSE as part of equation 6, provides a quantitative score to describe the degree of similarity between two signals (19).

Table 2 show the values of PSNR is highly below 30dB and that prove the encrypted image is highly secure and MSE values is very high and far from 0 with significant value. Therefore PSNR and MSE proved that the ciphered image is completely different from original one. At the same time the values of PSNR & MSE are Inf and 0 successively prove that the deciphered image is identical to the original image ,by which this scheme is lossless way.

Image	Avg.MSE	Avg.PSNR	
Original Sun with decrypted image	0	Inf dB	
Ciphered 1 key	16262.76	6.1761 dB	
Ciphered 10 keys	16329.59	6.1598 dB	
Ciphered 20 keys	16335.59	6.1586 dB	
Ciphered 30 keys	16365.47	6.1511 dB	
Ciphered 40 keys	16341.59	6.1571 dB	
Ciphered 50 keys	16347.52	6.1551 dB	

 Table 2. Evaluation of PSNR and MSE to Sun image.

Histogram

Histogram is one of the significant statistical characteristics that illustrates how many times the pixel intensity value occurs inside an image. A respectable encryption scheme provides a uniform histogram of ciphered image contrasting to the original image histogram. (20) The below Fig.5 shows the histogram of original and ciphered images. Histogram of ciphered image in contrast to the original image histogram is uniform in RGB channels and when number of keys is increased histogram is more uniform and this proves the encryption with multiple keys increases defense toward statistical attacks.

Image name	Red channel	Green channel	Blue channel	RGB image
Original Sun	x 10 ⁴	2.5	4.5 4.	×11 ⁰
Ciphered 1 key				
0- p				
Ciphered 10 keys	4	x 10' 4 3.5	4	x 10' 12 10
Ciphored 20 kove				
Cipilereu 20 Reys	35 3	35	35-	50 · · ·
Ciphered 30 keys			4 - 36 - 36 - 37 - 38 - 39 - 30 - 31 - 32 - 33 - 34 - 35 - 36 - 37 - 38 - 39 -	
Ciphered 40 keys	o 60 100 150 280 280	0 50 100 150 200 200 × 10 ⁴	0 50 108 158 280 250 x 10 ⁶	0 50 190 160 280 280 x 18 ⁴
Ciphered 50 keys	4	4 4 34 30 30 30	24 ⁴ 11 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	19 19 10 1 1 1 1

Figure 5. Histogram of original and encrypted Sun image with different number keys

Correlation

Highly correlation inherently is guaranteed in plain image data between pixels (close to one) in horizontal, vertical or diagonal directions. The encryption aim is to destroy the strong correlation between neighboring pixels of the ciphered image (near to zero). It is strongly related if |rxy| > 0.8, otherwise weakly related if |rxy| < 0.3. In image, the correlation coefficient between each pair of pixels uses equation (9) to be calculated as follow:

$$D(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x \ i - E(x))^2$$

Where,

$$Cov (x, y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x \ i - E(x))(y \ i - E(y))$$
$$E(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x \ i$$
$$r \{x, y\} = \frac{Cov (x, y)}{\sqrt{D(x)}\sqrt{D(y)}}$$

.....(9)

Where cov(x:y), D(x) and D(y) are covariance and variances of variable x and y respectively. E(x) and E(y) are the expected values of the variables x and y. Where N is the whole pels of an image, N=rows \times cols, x is a vector of length N and xi is the intensity values of original image (20,21).

Tables 3,4,5 and Figs. 6,7,8 show correlation between pixel pairs adjacent. Original Sun image show strong correlation while encrypted images show low correlation in three directions horizontal, vertical and diagonal for selected 3000 pixel pairs in a random way. The low values of correlation nearly to zero and less than 0.3 between pixels in ciphered image proved the power of MECC-AES scheme.

Table 3. Evaluation of correlation metric in horizontal, vertical and diagonal for Sun image in Red channel.

Red	horizontal	vertical	diagonal
Original Sun	0.997	0.9969	0.9953
Ciphered 1 key	0.0005	-0.0234	-0.0152
Ciphered 10 keys	0.0049	0.0583	-0.0022
Ciphered 20 keys	0.0089	-0.0066	0.004
Ciphered 30 keys	-0.0098	-0.0409	-0.0492
Ciphered 40 keys	0.0057	0.0038	0.01
Ciphered 50 keys	0.0152	0.0101	-0.0138

Table 4. Evaluation of correlation metric in horizontal, vertical and diagonal for Sun image in Green channel.

Green	horizontal	Vertical	diagonal
Original Sun	0.9937	0.994	0.9892
Ciphered 1 key	-0.0168	0.0224	0.0066
Ciphered 10 keys	-0.0302	-0.0072	-0.0256
Ciphered 20 keys	0.04	0.007	0.0017
Ciphered 30 keys	0.0078	-0.005	-0.0172
Ciphered 40 keys	-0.0231	-0.0083	-0.012
Ciphered 50 keys	0.0034	0.0149	0.0375

Table 5. Evaluation of correlation metric in horizontal, vertical and diagonal for Sun image in Blue channel.

Blue	Horizontal	Vertical	diagonal
Original Sun	0.9754	0.9857	0.9551
Ciphered 1 key	0.0187	-0.0038	0.0027
Ciphered 10 keys	-0.00005	0.0074	-0.0153
Ciphered 20 keys	-0.018	0.0241	-0.0095
Ciphered 30 keys	0.0084	-0.0284	-0.0367
Ciphered 40 keys	0.0112	0.0034	0.0178
Ciphered 50 keys	0.018	-0.0031	0.0029

Image name	Red _horizontal	Red _vertical	Red _diagonal
Original Sun			
Ciphere d 1 key			
Ciphere d 10 keys			500 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Ciphere d 20 keys			
Ciphere d 30 keys	300 250 150 50 0 50 150 50 50 150 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5		
Ciphere d 40 keys			
Ciphere d 50 keys			

Figure 6. Correlation in Red channel of original and encrypted(Sun) image with different number keys

Image name	Green_horizontal	Green _vertical	Green _diagonal
Original Sun			
Ciphere d 1 key	300 220 0 150 0 0 50 10 150 200 220 300		300 250 200 150 50 0 50 50 100 150 200 250 300
Ciphere d 10 keys			
Ciphere d 20 keys			
Ciphere d 30 keys			
Ciphere d 40 keys	200 200 100 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Ciphere d 50 keys			

Figure 7. Correlation in Green channel of original and encrypted (Sun) image with different number keys

Image name	Blue_ horizontal	Blue _vertical	Blue _diagonal
Original Sun	200 200 150 150 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	300 259 150 100 50 50 100 150 200 250 250 250 200 250 250 250 250 2	200 100 140 140 100 100 100 100 1
Ciphere d 1 key			
Ciphere d 10 keys			
Ciphere d 20 keys			
Ciphere d 30 keys			
Ciphere d 40 keys			
Ciphere d 50 keys			

Figure 8. Correlation in Blue channel of original and encrypted (Sun) image with different number keys

Conclusion:

It is concluded that the standard encryption method which has been proposed to enhance image security and integrity which uses multiple keys proved that security is increased throughout using entropy, MSE, PSNR and correlation. Also it is concluded that the accuracy of transmitted image increases by using this approach which is lossless thus the decrypted image is the identical to the original image. At the end, the suggested MECC_AES will be perfect and appropriate to be used for securing image in a widespread range of visual applications in IoT.

As mentioned above, the limitation of this work is that it will be used in IoT technologies that use or have the ability to use AES algorithm for security, thus, there are IoT technologies that need lightweight security scheme. The future work is using MECC-AES technique in parallel mode to enhance power consumption and using MECC with lightweight encryption algorithms.

Authors' declaration:

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- We hereby confirm that all the Figures and Tables in the manuscript are mine ours. Besides, the Figures and images, which are not mine ours, have been given the permission for republication attached with the manuscript.
- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee in University of Babylon.

Reference:

- 1.Vadivukarasi K, Krithiga S. Home security system using IOT. IJPAM. 2018;119(15):1863-8.
- Medagliani P, Leguay J, Duda A, Rousseau F, Duquennoy S, Raza S, et al. Internet of Things Applications - From Research and Innovation to Market Deployment, The River Publishers, 2014, 287-313, Series in Communications. (hal-01073761).
- 3.Samaila MG, Neto M, Fernandes DA, Freire MM, Inácio PR. Challenges of securing Internet of Things devices: A survey. **Secur Priv**. 2018;1(2):e20.
- 4.Lackner G. A Comparison of Security in Wireless Network Standards with a Focus on Bluetooth, WiFi and WiMAX. Int. J Netw. Secur. 2013;15(6):420-36.
- 5.Loksai Pothineni GSSK, V R Venuu Maadhav, A R S Yaswanth4, Dr.Manikandan K. Wi-Fi, WiMAX & WiGig: A Comparative Study. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET). Mar-2018 Volume: 05(Issue: 03): 2228
- 6. Lu D, Liu T. The application of IOT in medical system. In2011 IEEE International Symposium on IT in Medicine and Education 2011 Dec 9 ; 1: 272-275. IEEE.
- 7.Ciora RA, Simion CM. Industrial applications of image processing. Acta Universitatis Cibiniensis. 2014;64(1):17-21.
- 8.Lakshmi K, Gayathri S. Implementation of IoT with image processing in plant growth monitoring system. IJISR. 2017;6(2):80-3.

- 9.Arab A, Rostami MJ, Ghavami B. An image encryption method based on chaos system and AES algorithm. J. Supercomput. 2019;75(10):6663-82.
- 10.Bashir A, Hasan ASB, Almangush H. A new image encryption approach using the integration of a shifting technique and the AES algorithm. IJCA. 2012;975:8887.
- 11.Jha Y, Kaur K, Pradhan C, editors. Improving image encryption using two-dimensional logistic map and AES. 2016 International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing (ICCSP); 2016: IEEE.
- 12.Mohsen AH, Shaker SH. Images Encryption Using Symmetric Encryption Algorithm Based On Random Keys Generatoe. IJRt I E R, 2016;01;08;1-13.
- 13.Khizrai MSQ, Bodkhe S. Image encryption using different techniques for high security transmission over a network. IJ E R GS. 2014;2(4):299-306.
- 14. .Mohammed S, Alalak S, Lafta H. ECC and AES based hybrid security protocol for wireless sensor networks. J E A S. 2018;13:10356-63.
- 15. (<u>https://www.hlevkin.com/06testimages.htm</u>).
- 16.Zhou N, Pan S, Cheng S, Zhou Z. Image compression–encryption scheme based on hyperchaotic system and 2D compressive sensing. Opt Laser Technol. 2016;82:121-33.
- 17.Shannon CE. Communication theory of secrecy systems. Bell Syst. tech. j. 1949;28(4):656-715.
- 18.Al-Husainy MAF. A novel image encryption algorithm based on the extracted map of overlapping paths from the secret key. RAIRO-THEOR INF APPL. 2016;50(3):241-9.
- 19.Omoruyi O, Okereke C, Okokpujie K, Noma-Osaghae E, Okoyeigbo O, John S. Evaluation of the quality of an image encrytion scheme. TELKOMNIKA. 2019;17:2968-74.
- 20.Jiao G, Peng X, Duan K. Image Encryption with The Cross Diffusion of Two Chaotic Maps. TIIS. 2019;13(2):1064-79.
- 21. Rostami MJ, Shahba A, Saryazdi S, Nezamabadipour H. A novel parallel image encryption with chaotic windows based on logistic map. Comput Elect Eng. 2017;62:384-400.

تحسين امنية الصورة في انترنت الاشياء (IoT) باستخدام AES متعددة المفاتيح

سيف محمود خلف العلاك

خديجة جبر سالم الجنابي

ماجد جبار جواد الفنهراوي

قسم علوم الحاسبات، كلية العلوم للبنات، جامعة بابل، الحلة، العراق.

الخلاصة:

الصورة هي معلومات رقمية مهمة تستخدم في العديد من تطبيقات إنترنت الأشياء (IoT) مثل النقل والرعاية الصحية والزراعة والتطبيقات العسكرية والمركبات والحياة البرية .. إلخ. كذلك تتميز الصورة بسمات مهمة جدًا مثل الحجم الكبير والارتباط القوي والتكرار الهائل وبالتالي تشفيرها باستخدام معيار التشفير المتقدم (AES) بمفتاح واحد من خلال تقنيات اتصالات إنترنت الأشياء تجعله عرضة للعديد من التهديدات. مساهمة هذا العمل هي لزيادة أمن الصورة المنقولة. لذلك افترحت هذه الورقة خوارزمية AES متعددةالمفاتيح (MECCAES) لتحسين الأمان للصورة المرسلة من خلال إنترنت الأشياء. يتم تقييم هذا النهج من خلال تطبيقه على صور RGB bmp وتحليل النتائج باستخدام المقاييس القياسية مثل الإنتروبيا(Entropy) ،المدرج التكراري (histogram)، الارتباط (correlation)) مقاييس نسبة الذروة للأشارة إلى الضوضاء (PSNR) ومتوسط مربع خطأ (MES). تظهر نتائج التجارب أن الطريقة المقترحة تحقق مقاييس نسبة الذروة للأشارة إلى الضوضاء (PSNR) ومتوسط مربع خطأ (MES).

الكلمات المفتاحية :معيار التشفير المتقدم ،غير متماثل، التشفير ، انترنت الاشياء، تشفير منحني الاهليجي المتعدد، الصور الملونة(RGB)، متماثل، الأمنية,WiFi, WiMAX.