

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2022.19.3.0581>

Third Order Differential Subordination for Analytic Functions Involving Convolution Operator

Nihad Hameed Shehab*

Abdul Rahman S.Juma

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Anbar, Anbar, Iraq

*Corresponding author: nih19u2012@uoanbar.edu.iq, eps.abdulrahman.juma@uoanbar.edu.iq

*ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7017-4490>, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2048-9045>

Received 7/10/2020, Accepted 25/2/2021, Published Online First 20/11/2021 Published 1/6/2022



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract:

In the present paper, by making use of the new generalized operator, some results of third order differential subordination and differential superordination consequence for analytic functions are obtained. Also, some sandwich-type theorems are presented.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Differential subordination, Hadamard product, Univalent functions.

Introduction:

Let U be the open unit disk
 $U = \{w : w \in \mathbb{C}, |w| < 1\}$,
and let $Y(U)$ be the class of analytic functions in U .
For $n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$ define the subclass $Y(U)$ by

$$Y[b, n] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : f(w) = b + b_n w^n + b_{n+1} w^{n+1} + \dots \right\}$$

with $Y_1 = [1, 1]$ and $Y_0 = [0, 1]$.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of all analytic functions in U to satisfy the condition $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ and write in the form

$$f(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n w^n \quad (w \in U) \quad (1)$$

For a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1) and $g \in \mathcal{A}$ are defined by

$$g(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n w^n \quad (w \in U) \quad (2)$$

The convolution (or Hadamard product) of $f(w)$ and $g(w)$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n c_n w^n = (g * f)(w) \quad (w \in U).$$

For two functions f and g are analytic in U . A function f is subordinate to g (or g superordinate to f), written as :

$f \prec g$ in U or $g(w) \prec f(w)$, $(w \in U)$,
if there exists a Schwarz function $h(w)$ which (by definition) is analytic in U satisfies the following conditions (see ¹⁻⁹),

$h(0) = 0$ and $|h(w)| < 1$ for all $(w \in U)$,
such that $f(w) = g(h(w))$ $(w \in U)$.
Indeed it is known that, $f(w) \prec g(w)$,
 $(w \in U) \Rightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

In a special case, if g is a univalent function in open unit disk U , then the reverse implication also holds (see ¹⁰⁻¹¹),

$f(w) \prec g(w)$, $(w \in U) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

Definition 1.(see¹²). Let $\mu(w)$ is the univalent function in U , let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If $\lambda(w)$ be an analytic function in open unit disk U that satisfies the next third order differential subordination:

$$\psi(\lambda(w), w\lambda'(w), w^2\lambda''(w), w^3\lambda'''(w); w) \prec \mu(w) \quad (3)$$

From (3), $\lambda(w)$ is namely a solution of the differential subordination. Moreover, $q(w)$ is a univalent function which is namely a dominant of the solution of the differential subordination (3), or more simply, a dominant if $\lambda(w) \prec q(w)$ for all $\lambda(w)$ satisfying (3). A dominant $\tilde{q}(w)$ satisfies $\tilde{q}(w) \prec q(w)$ for all dominates $q(w)$ of (3) is called the best dominant. Note that the best dominant is unique up to a rotation of U .

Definition 2.(see ¹²). Let $\mu(w)$ is a univalent function in the open unit disk, let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If the function $\lambda(w)$ is analytic in U that satisfies the next third order differential subordination :

$$\mu(w) \prec \psi(\lambda(w), w\lambda'(w), w^2\lambda''(w), w^3\lambda'''(w); w). \quad (4)$$

Then $\lambda(w)$, is namely a solution of the differential superordination given by (4). Moreover, an analytic function $q(w)$ is namely a subordinate of the solutions of the differential superordination provided by(4), or more simply a subordinate if $q(w)$ subordination $\lambda(w)$ for all $\lambda(w)$ it should be satisfy (4). A univalent subordinate $\tilde{q}(w)$ that satisfies $q(w) \prec \tilde{q}(w)$ for subordination $q(w)$ of (4) is called the best subordinate of the differential superordination given by (4). Note that the best subordinate is unique up to a rotation off.

The process of admissible functions (also known as the differential subordinations method) was first introduced by Miller and Mocanu in 1978 (see ¹³), and the theory started to improve in 1981 (see ¹⁴). For more details, (see ¹⁵).

Definition 3. (see ¹⁶). For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the generalized derivative operator $\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m f(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + \eta(n-1)]^m C(s, n) b_n w^n, \quad w \in U \quad (5)$$

where $m, s \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \eta > 0$ and

$$C(s, n) = \binom{n + \eta - 1}{s} = \frac{(s+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}}.$$

It would be easily to see that $\mathcal{T}_{0,\eta}^0 f(w) = f(w)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{0,\eta}^1 f(w) = wf'(w)$

For $m, s \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \eta > 0$, it is easy from (5), that

$$\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^{m+1} f(w) = (1 - \eta)\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m f(w) + \eta w (\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m f(w))' \quad (6)$$

and

$$w(\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m f(w))' = (1 + s)\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta}^m f(w) - s\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m f(w) \quad (7)$$

Definition 4. (see ¹⁷). For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the Srivastava – Attiya operator is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{k,c} = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right)^k b_n w^n, \quad w \in U, \quad (8)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_0^-$.

From (8) it is easy that

$$w(\mathcal{N}_{k+1,c} f(w))' = (1 + c)\mathcal{N}_{k,c} f(w) - c\mathcal{N}_{k+1,c} f(w). \quad (9)$$

Definition 5. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the operator $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by convolution of the Srivastava –

Attiya operator $\mathcal{N}_{k,c}$ and the generalized operator $\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m$

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) = (\mathcal{N}_{k,c} * \mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m) f(w), \quad (w \in U)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) = w &+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right)^k [1 \\ &+ \eta(n-1)]^m C(s, n) b_n^2 w^n, \quad w \in U. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From (10), the following identity relations can be obtained:

$$w(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w))' = (1 + s)\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) - s\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \quad (11)$$

$$\text{also } \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w) = (1 - \eta)\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) + \eta w (\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w))' \quad (12)$$

and

$$w(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k+1} f(w))' = (1 + c)\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) - c\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k+1} f(w). \quad (13)$$

Note that, the following are special cases of operator $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m$

1- When $\mathcal{T}_{0,0}^m = 1$ include the Srivastava-Attiya operator $\mathcal{N}_{k,c}$ (see ¹⁷).

2- When $\mathcal{N}_{0,c}$ include the generalized derivative operator $\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m$ (see ¹⁸).

3- when $m = 0, \eta = 1$, $\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m$ reduces to $\mathcal{T}_{s,1}^0$ which is introduced by Ruscheweyh derivative operator (see ¹⁹).

4- When $s = 0, \eta = 1$, $\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m$ reduces to $\mathcal{T}_{0,1}^m$ which is introduced by Salagean derivative operator (see ²⁰).

5- When $s = 0$, $\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m$ reduces to $\mathcal{T}_{0,\eta}^m$ which is introduced by generalized Salagean derivative operator (or Al-Oboudi derivative) (see ²¹).

6- When $m = 0$, $\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m$ reduces to $\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^0$ which is introduced by generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator (or Al-Shaqsi – Darus derivative operator) (see ²²).

7- When $\eta = 0$, $\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta}^m$ reduces to $\mathcal{T}_{s,0}^m$ which is introduced by Srivastava- Attiya derivative operator (see ¹⁷).

8- When $k=1, c = 0$, $\mathcal{N}_{k,c}$ reduces to $\mathcal{N}_{1,0}$ which is introduced by Alexander integral operator (see ²³).

9- When $k = 1, c = \lambda$, $\mathcal{N}_{k,c}$ reduces to $\mathcal{N}_{1,\lambda}$ which is introduced by Bernardi integral operator (see ²⁴).

10- When $k = \sigma, c = 1$, $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma,1}$ reduces to $\mathcal{N}_{1,0}$ which is introduced by Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator (see ²⁵).

Definition 6. (see ²⁶) is symbolized by \mathbf{Q} , a collection of all function q that is univalent and

analytic on closed unit disk except $E(q)$ and denote $\bar{U}/E(q)$ where \bar{U} is the closed unit disk $\bar{U} = U \cup \{w \in \partial U\} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$

$$\text{and } E(q) = \left\{ \zeta : \zeta \in \partial U : \lim_{w \rightarrow \zeta} q(w) = \infty \right\} . \quad (14)$$

Such that $\min |q'(\zeta)| = \alpha > 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial U/E(q)$. $\mathbf{Q}(b)$ denote the subclass of \mathbf{Q} for which $q(0) = b$ with $\mathbf{Q}_{(0)} = \mathbf{Q}_0$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{(1)} = \mathbf{Q}_1$.

Definition 7. (see ⁶). Let Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} . Also, let $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}/\{1\}$, N be the set of positive integers. The class of admissible function $\psi_n[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\Psi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the next admissibility conditions :

$\Psi(v, u, t, r, w) \notin \Omega$,
whenever

$$v = q(\zeta) , \quad u = \kappa \zeta q'(\zeta)$$

$$\text{Re} \left(1 + \frac{t}{u} \right) \geq \kappa \text{Re} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{r}{u} \right) \geq \kappa^2 \text{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) ,$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U/E(q)$ and $\kappa \geq n$

Definition 8. (see ²²). Let Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} , let $q \in Y[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}]$ be in the subclass and $q'(w) \neq 0$. The class $\psi'_n[\Omega, q]$ of admissible function $\psi_n[\Omega, q]$ consists of function $\Psi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies the next admissibility conditions :

$\Psi(v, u, t, r : \zeta) \in \Omega$,

whenever

$$v = q(w), u = \frac{wq'(w)}{j}$$

$$\text{Re} \left(1 + \frac{t}{u} \right) \geq \frac{1}{j} \text{Re} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta q''(w)}{q'(w)} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{r}{u} \right) \leq \frac{1}{j^2} \text{Re} \left(\frac{w^2 q'''(w)}{q'(w)} \right) ,$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U$ and $j \geq n \geq 2$.

Lemma 1.(see ⁶). Let $p \in Y[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}]$ with $n \geq 2$ and $q \in \mathbf{Q}(b)$ satisfy the next condition:

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{wq'(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq m \quad \text{where}$$

$$\text{and } \{ \emptyset(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w) : w \in U \} \subset \Omega , \quad (16)$$

then

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w).$$

$w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U/E(q)$ and $\geq n$. If Ω is a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} , $\Psi \in \Psi_n[\Omega, q]$ and

$$\psi(\lambda(w), w\lambda'(w), w^2\lambda''(w), w^3\lambda'''(w); w) \subset \Omega ,$$

then $\lambda(w) < q(w)$.

Lemma 2. (see ⁶). Let $\lambda \in Y[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{n}]$ be in this subclass with $\psi \in \Psi'_n[\Omega, q]$. If

$\psi(\lambda(w), w\lambda'(w), w^2\lambda''(w), w^3\lambda'''(w); w)$, be univalent function in open unit disk U and $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}(b)$ satisfying the next condition

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{wq''(w)}{q'(w)} \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{w\lambda'(w)}{q'(w)} \right| \leq j ,$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U$ and $j \geq n \geq 2$,

then,

$$\Omega \subset \{ \psi(\lambda(w), w\lambda'(w), w^2\lambda''(w), w^3\lambda'''(w); w) : w \in U \},$$

it means that $q(w) < \lambda(w)$ ($w \in U$).

Third-Order Differential Subordination Results Involving the Operator: $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)$

In this section, some results of differential subordination are obtained. Also studying the class of admissible functions involving the generalized derivative operator and Srivastava – Attiya operator defined by (10)

Definition 9. Let Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} and $q \in Q_0 \cap Y[0,1]$. The class $\Phi_n[\Omega, q]$ of admissible function consists of those function $\emptyset: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy next admissibility conditions:

$\emptyset(a, b, d, e; w) \notin \Omega$,

whenever

$$a = q(\zeta), b = \frac{\kappa\zeta q'(w) + sq(w)}{(s+1)},$$

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{(s+1)^2 d - s^2 a}{(b(s+1) - ba)} - 2s \right) \geq \kappa \text{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{(s+1)^2 [(s+1)e - 3(s+1)d] + (2s^3 a + 3s^2 a) + 3s^2 + 6s + 2}{(s(b-a) + b)} \right) \geq \kappa^2 \text{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right)$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U/E(q)$ and $\kappa \geq 2$

Theorem 1. Let $\emptyset \in \Phi_n[\Omega, q]$ be in this class. If the function f belongs to \mathcal{A} and q belongs to \mathbf{Q}_0 satisfying the following condition :

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \kappa \quad (15)$$

proof: From the relation between (10) and (11), gives

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) = \frac{w(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w))' + s\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{(s+1)}. \quad (17)$$

Let $g(w)$ be analytic in open unit disk defined by $g(w) = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)$ (18)

Then

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) = \frac{wg'(w) + sg(w)}{(s+1)}. \quad (19)$$

Based on that

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) = \frac{w^2g''(w) + (2s+1)wg'(w) + s^2g(w)}{(s+1)^2} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) = \frac{w^3g'''(w) + 3(s+1)w^2g''(w) + (3s^2 + 3s + 1)wg'(w) + s^3g(w)}{(s+1)^3} \quad (21)$$

Now, the transformation from \mathbb{C}^4 to \mathbb{C} defined by

$$\psi(\lambda(w), w\lambda'(w), w^2\lambda''(w), w^3\lambda'''(w); w) = \phi \left(\begin{matrix} \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \\ \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w \end{matrix} \right). \quad (25)$$

Therefore, (16) gives

$$\psi(g(w), wg'(w), w^2g''(w), w^3g'''(w); w) \in \Omega$$

Such that $1 + \frac{t}{u} = \frac{(s+1)^2d - s^2a}{(b(s+1) - ba)} - 2s$ and

$$\frac{r}{u} = \frac{(s+1)^2[(s+1)e - 3(s+1)d] + (2s^3a + 3s^2a)}{(s(b-a) + b)}.$$

Now, since the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_n[\Omega, q] \equiv \Psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ given in Definition 7 with $n = 2$.

Thus, using (15) and Lemma 1, implies that $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w)$.

This complete proof Theorem 1.

$\phi(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w) \in \Omega = \mu(U)$ for some conformal mapping in open unit disk U onto Ω . In this case, the class $\Phi_n[\mu(U), q]$, it can be formed as $\Phi_n[\mu, q]$.

Then $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w)$.

Proof: Since q_ρ is an univalent function in U therefore, $E(q_\rho) = \emptyset$ and $q_\rho \in Q_b$.

The class $\phi \in \Phi_n[\Omega, q_\rho]$ is an admissible class and from Theorem 1 yields

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q_\rho(w) \quad w \in U$$

The consequences certain by Corollary 1 is just a summary from the next subordination quality

$q_\rho(w) < q(w)$, $w \in U$. Since $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q_\rho(w)$, get $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w)$, $w \in U$.

This is the end of the proof Corollary 1.

$$a(v, u, t, r) = v, \quad b(v, u, t, r) = \frac{u+sv}{s+1}, \quad d(v, u, t, r) = \frac{t+(2s+1)u+s^2v}{(s+1)^2} \quad (22)$$

and

$$e(v, u, t, r) = \frac{r+3(s+1)t+(3s^2+3s+1)u+s^3v}{(s+1)^3} \quad (23)$$

Let

$$\Psi(v, u, t, r) = \phi(a, b, d, e) = \phi \left(\begin{matrix} v, \frac{u+sv}{s+1}, \frac{t+(2s+1)u+s^2v}{(s+1)^2}, \\ \frac{r+3(s+1)t+(3s^2+3s+1)u+s^3v}{(s+1)^3}; w \end{matrix} \right) \quad (24)$$

The proof shall make use of Lemma 1. By using (18) to (21), and from equation (24), get

The next consequence is expansion of Theorem 1 for the case where the attitude of $q(w)$ on ∂U is unknown.

Corollary 1. Let $q(w)$ be an univalent function in open unit disk U with $q(0) = 0$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $\phi \in \Phi_n[\Omega, q_\rho]$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, where $q_\rho(w) = q(\rho w)$. If the function $f(w)$ belongs to \mathcal{A} and q_ρ satisfies:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \kappa \quad \text{where}$$

$w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U/E(q_\rho)$ and $\kappa \geq 2$ and

In case of $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ this means it is a connected domain Ω . In this case, the class $\Phi_n[\mu(U), q]$, it can be formed as $\Phi_n[\mu, q]$. This leads to the following immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $\phi \in \Phi_n[\mu, q]$. If the function f belongs to \mathcal{A} and $q \in Q_0$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \kappa, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\phi(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w),$$

$$\mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w) < \mu(w), \quad (27)$$

then $\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} fw < q(w) \quad w \in U$.

Corollary2. Let $q(w)$ be an univalent function in open unit disk U with $q(0) = 0$. Also, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a subset of the complex plane and $\emptyset \in \Phi_n[\mu, q_\rho]$ for some $\rho \in (0,1)$, where $q_\rho(w) =$

$$\emptyset(\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w) < \mu(w),$$

then $\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w) \quad w \in U$.

Proof :

Case 1. To prove this Corollary by using Theorem 1, and have $\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q_\rho(w)$ and since

$q(\rho w)$. If the function $f(w)$ belong to \mathcal{A} and q_ρ satisfies :

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right) \geq 0 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \kappa,$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U / E(q_\rho)$ and $\kappa \geq 2$ and

$q_\rho(w) < q(w)$ Implies that $\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w)$.

Case 2. Let $g_\rho(w) = \mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)_\rho(w) = \mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(\rho w) = g(\rho w)$. Then

$$\phi(g_\rho(w), w g'_\rho(w), w^2 g''_\rho(w), w^3 g'''_\rho(w); \rho w) = \phi(g(\rho w), w g'(\rho w), w^2 g''(\rho w), w^3 g'''(\rho w); \rho w) \in \mu_\rho(U)$$

By applying Theorem1, deduce:

$$\emptyset\left(\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); \lambda(w)\right)$$

where $\lambda(w) = \rho w$ is any mapping from U into itself, $g_\rho(w) < q_\rho(w)$ for $\rho \in (\rho_0, 1)$. By $\rho \rightarrow 1^-$, get $g(w) < q(w)$.

Hence $\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w)$.

Theorem 3. Let $\mu(w)$ be a univalent function in the open unit disk. Also let $\emptyset : \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and Ψ be given by (24). Suppose that

$$\psi(q(w), wq'(w), w^2q''(w), w^3q'''(w); w) = \mu(w), \quad (28)$$

the differential equation has a solution $q(w) \in Q_0$ which satisfies (15). If $f(w)$ belongs to \mathcal{A} satisfies condition (27) and if $\emptyset(\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w)$ is analytic in open unit disk U , then

$$\emptyset\left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{(\kappa + s)Me^{i\theta}}{s + 1}, \frac{L + [(2s + 1)\kappa + s^2]Me^{i\theta}}{(s + 1)^2}, \frac{N + (3s + 3)L + [(3s^2 + 3s + 1)\kappa + s^3]Me^{i\theta}}{(s + 1)^3}; w\right) \notin \Omega$$

, (29)

where

$$w \in U, \operatorname{Re}(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq$$

$$(\kappa - 1)\kappa M \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(Ne^{-i\theta}) \geq 0, \quad (\forall \theta \in$$

$\mathbb{R}, \kappa \geq 2)$.

Corollary3. Let $\emptyset \in \Phi_n[\Omega, M]$ be in this class. If the function f belongs to \mathcal{A} , then it satisfies the following conditions:

$$|\mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| \leq \kappa M \quad (w \in U, \kappa \geq$$

$2; M > 0)$ and

$$\emptyset(\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w),$$

$$\mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w) \in \Omega, \text{ then}$$

$$|\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| < M.$$

$\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w) \quad w \in U$, $q(w)$ is therefore, the best dominant.

Proof: From Theorem 1, it views q as a dominant of (27) because q satisfies (28), and also a solution of (27). Thus, q shall be dominated by all dominants. Hence q is the best dominate. This completes the proof of Theorem3.

From Definition 9, see that the special case when $q(w) = Mw$ ($M > 0$), the class $\Phi_n[\Omega, M]$, is stated as follows.

Definition10. Let Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} and $M > 0$. The class $\Phi_n[\Omega, M]$ of the admissible function consists of the function $\emptyset : \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

The special case of the above Corollary 3 when $\Omega = q(U) = \{y: |y| < M\}$, the class $\Phi_n[\Omega, M]$ is simply symbolized by its $\Phi_n[M]$. Corollary4 can be rewritten as follows.

Corollary4. Let $\emptyset \in \Phi_n[M]$ be in this class. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is a function, it would satisfy the following conditions:

$$|\mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| \leq \kappa M \quad (w \in U, \kappa \geq$$

$2; M > 0)$ and

$$\emptyset(\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w),$$

$$\mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w) \in \Omega, \text{ then}$$

$$|\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| < M.$$

Corollary 5. A non-zeros s belongs to the complex plane \mathbb{C} , let $\kappa \geq 2$ and $M > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ it would satisfy the conditions :

$$|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| \leq \kappa M \quad \text{and} \\ |\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) - \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| < \frac{M}{|s+1|}, \text{ then} \\ |\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| < M.$$

Proof: Let $\phi(a, b, d, e; w) = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) - \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) = b - a$ be equal and $\Omega = \mu(U)$, where

$$\mu(z) = \frac{Mw}{|s+1|}, \quad (M > 0).$$

Use Corollary3 that can be shown as $\phi \in \Phi_n[\Omega, M]$. This means that the admissibility condition (29), is satisfied. This follows easily, because

$$\left| \phi \left(\frac{Me^{i\theta}, \frac{(\kappa+s)Me^{i\theta}}{s+1}, \frac{L+[(2s+1)\kappa+s^2]Me^{i\theta}}{(s+1)^2}}{\frac{N+(3s+3)L+[(3s^2+3s+1)\kappa+s^3]Me^{i\theta}}{(s+1)^3}}; z \right) \right| = \\ \left| \frac{(\kappa-1)}{s+1} Me^{i\theta} \right| \geq \frac{M}{|s+2|},$$

where $w \in U, \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \kappa \geq 2$). The required results now follow from Corollary 3.

Definition11. Let $q \in Q_1 \cap Y_1[1,1]$ and Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} .The class $\phi_{n,1}[\Omega, q]$ of admissible function consists of those function $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies the next admissibility conditions:

$\phi(a, b, d, e; w) \notin \Omega$, whenever

$$a = q(\zeta), b = \frac{\kappa\zeta q'(w) + (s+1)q(w)}{(s+1)},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(s+1)^2 d - (s+1)^2 a - 2(s+1)}{((s+1)b - (s+1)a)} \right) \geq \kappa \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(s+1)^3 e - (3s+6)[(s+1)^2 d - (s+1)^2 a] - (s+1)^3 a}{(s+1)b - (s+1)a} + 3s^2 + 12s + 11 \right) \geq \kappa^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right)$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U / E(q)$ and $\kappa \geq 2$

Theorem4. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{n,1}[\Omega, q]$. If a function f belongs to \mathcal{A} and q belongs to Q_1 satisfying the following condition :

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \kappa, \quad (30)$$

and

$$\left\{ \phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}; w \right); w \in U \right\} \subset \Omega, \quad (31)$$

then $\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} < q(w)$.

proof: From the relation between (10) and (11), gives

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) = \frac{w(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w))' + (s+1)\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{(s+1)}. \quad (32)$$

Let $g(w)$ be analytic in open unit disk defined by :

$$g(w) = \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}. \quad (33)$$

$$\text{Then } \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} = \frac{wg'(w) + (s+1)g(w)}{(s+1)}. \quad (34)$$

Based on that

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} = \frac{w^2 g''(w) + (2s+3)wg'(w) + (s+1)^2 g(w)}{(s+1)^2} \quad (35)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} = \frac{w^3 g'''(w) + 3(s+2)w^2 g''(w) + (3s^2 + 9s + 7)wg'(w) + (s+1)^3 g(w)}{(s+1)^3} \quad (36)$$

Now, the transformation from \mathbb{C}^4 to \mathbb{C} defined by $a(v, u, t, r) = v, b(v, u, t, r) = \frac{u+(s+1)v}{s+1},$

$$d(v, u, t, r) = \frac{t+(2s+3)u+(s+1)^2 v}{(s+1)^2}, \quad (37)$$

$$\text{and } e(v, u, t, r) = \frac{r+3(s+2)t+(3s^2+9s+7)u+(s+1)^3 v}{(s+1)^3} \quad (38)$$

Let $\Psi(v, u, t, r) = \phi(a, b, d, e)$

$$= \phi \left(v, \frac{u+(s+1)v}{s+1}, \frac{t+(2s+3)u+(s+1)^2 v}{(s+1)^2}, \frac{r+3(s+2)t+(3s^2+9s+7)u+(s+1)^3 v}{(s+1)^3}; w \right). \quad (39)$$

The proof will make use of Lemma1 .Using (33) to (36) , from equation (39), get

$$\psi(\lambda(w), w\lambda'(w), w^2\lambda''(w), w^3\lambda'''(w); w) = \phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}; w \right). \quad (40)$$

Therefore, (31) gets

$$\psi(g(w), wg'(w), w^2g''(w), w^3g'''(w); w) \in \Omega, \quad (41)$$

such that

$$1 + \frac{t}{u} = \frac{(s+1)^2 d - (s+1)^2 a}{((s+1)b - (s+1)a)} - 2(s+1) \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{r}{u} = \frac{(s+1)^3 e - (3s+6)[(s+1)^2 d - (s+1)^2 a] - (s+1)^3 a}{(s+1)b - (s+1)a} + 3s^2 + 12s + 11$$

And since the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_{n,1}[\Omega, q] \equiv \Psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$, given in Definition 11 with $n = 2$.

Thus, using (30) and Lemma 1, it implies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} < q(w).$$

In case $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = \mu(U)$ for some conformal mapping in open unit disk onto Ω . In this case, the class $\Phi_{n,1}[\mu(U), q]$ is rewritten as $\Phi_{n,1}[\mu, q]$. Thus can show below the result of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{n,1}[\mu, q]$ be in this class. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and q belong to \mathbf{Q}_1 satisfying the following condition :

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \kappa \quad (42)$$

and

$$\left\{ \phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}; w \right); w \in U \right\} < \mu(w), \quad (43)$$

then $\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} < q(w)$, $w \in U$.

In a particular case where $q(w) = Mw$, where ($M > 0$), and in saw of Definition 11, the class $\Phi_{n,1}[\Omega, q]$ of admissibility functions and symbol by $\Phi_{n,1}[\Omega, M]$ is :

Definition 12. Let Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} and $M > 0$. The class $\Phi_{n,1}[\Omega, M]$ of the admissible function consists of the function $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\phi \left(\frac{Me^{i\theta}}{s+1}, \frac{(\kappa+1+s)Me^{i\theta}}{(s+1)^2}, \frac{L + [(2s+3)\kappa + (s+1)^2]Me^{i\theta}}{(s+1)^2}, \frac{N + (3s+6)L + [(3s^2+9s+7)\kappa + (s+1)^3]Me^{i\theta}}{(s+1)^3}; z \right) \notin \Omega \quad (44)$$

where

$$w \in U, \operatorname{Re}(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (\kappa - 1)\kappa M \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(Ne^{-i\theta}) \geq 0, \quad (\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \kappa \geq 2)$$

Corollary 6. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $\phi \in \Phi_{n,1}[\Omega, M]$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} \right| \leq \kappa M \quad (w \in U, \kappa \geq 2; M > 0) \text{ and}$$

$$\phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}; w \right) \in \Omega, \text{ then}$$

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} \right| < M.$$

The particular case of above Corollary 6 when $\Omega = q(U) = \{y: |y| < M\}$, the class $\Phi_{n,1}[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_{n,1}[M]$. Corollary 6 can be rewritten as shape.

Corollary 7: Let $\phi \in \Phi_{n,1}[M]$ be in this class. If the function f belong to \mathcal{A} satisfying the following conditions:

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} \right| \leq \kappa M \quad (w \in U, \kappa \geq 2; M > 0)$$

and

$$\left| \phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}; w \right) \right| < M,$$

then $\left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} \right| < M$.

Some Properties of the Third-Order Differential Subordination Results Involving the Operator: $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)$

Note that, making use of the recurrence relation (12), certain differential subordination consequences associated with the operator $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)$ are obtained. Because the proofs of the consequences contained in this part are similar to those from the prior part, they will be omitted.

Definition 13. Let $q \in Q_0 \cap Y[0,1]$ and Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} . The class $\phi_n[\Omega, q]$ of admissible function consists of the function $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

$$\phi(a, b, d, e; w) \notin \Omega, \text{ whenever}$$

$$a = q(\zeta), \quad b = \frac{\kappa \zeta q'(w) + \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right) q(w)}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)},$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)^2 d - \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right)^2 a}{\left(b\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) - ba\right)} - 2\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right) \right] \geq \kappa \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) e - 3\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) d \right] + \left(2\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right)^3 a + 3\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right)^2 a\right)}{\left(\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right)(b-a) + b\right)} + 3\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right)^2 + 6\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right) + 2 \right] \geq \kappa^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right),$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U / E(q)$ and $\kappa \geq 2$.

Theorem 6. If the function $\in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\phi \in \Phi_n[\Omega, q]$ and q that belongs to \mathbf{Q}_0 satisfy the next condition :

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \kappa,$$

(45)

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi(\mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w), \\ \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+2,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+3,k} f(w); w) : w \in U \end{array} \right\} \subset \Omega,$$

(46)

then $\mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w)$.

In a particular case $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$. This means it is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = \mu(U)$ for some conformal mapping in open unit disk U onto Ω . In this status, the class $\Phi_n[\mu(U), q]$ is written as $\Phi_n[\mu, q]$. The next consequence is immediate of Theorem 6.

$$\phi \left(\frac{\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} \right)^{\kappa+1} e^{i\theta} L + \left[\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} \right)^{\kappa+1} \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} \right)^2 \right] e^{i\theta} N + \left[\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} \right)^{\kappa+1} \left[\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} \right)^{\kappa+1} \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} \right)^3 \right] e^{i\theta} }{\frac{1}{\eta}}, \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \right)^2}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \right)}, \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \right)^3}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta} \right)}; z \right) \notin \Omega$$

(49)

where

$$w \in U, \operatorname{Re}(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq$$

$$(\kappa - 1)\kappa M \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(Ne^{-i\theta}) \geq 0, (\forall \theta \in$$

$\mathbb{R}, \kappa \geq 2$).

Corollary 8. Let $\phi \in \Phi_n[\Omega, M]$ be in this class. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that satisfies the following conditions:

Theorem7. Let $\phi \in \Phi_n[\mu, q]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is a function and $q \in \mathbf{Q}_0$ satisfy the next condition :

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq$$

κ (47)

and

$$\phi \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w), \\ \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+2,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+3,k} f(w); w \end{array} \right) <$$

$\mu(w),$

then $\mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q(w)$.

In a particular case when $q(w) = Mw$ ($M > 0$), the class $\Phi_n[\Omega, M]$, is stated as follows.

Definition14. Let $M > 0$ and Ω be a set in the complex plan \mathbb{C} . The class $\Phi_n[\Omega, M]$ of the admissible function consists of those function $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\left| \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w) \right| \leq \kappa M \quad (w \in U, \kappa \geq$$

$2; M > 0)$ and

$$\phi(\mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+2,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+3,k} f(w); w) \in \Omega, \text{ then}$$

$$\left| \mathcal{N}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) \right| < M.$$

The particular case of the above Corollary 8 when $\Omega = q(U) = \{y: |y| < M\}$, the class

$\Phi_n[\Omega, M]$ simply symbolizes it $\Phi_n[M]$. Corollary 9 can be written as the following form.

Corollary 9. Let $\phi \in \Phi_n[M]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, satisfies the following conditions:

$$|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w)| \leq \kappa M \quad (w \in U, \kappa \geq 2; M > 0) \text{ and}$$

$$\phi(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+2,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+3,k} f(w); w) \in \Omega \text{ then}$$

$$|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| < M.$$

Corollary 10. A non-zeros m that belong to the complex plane \mathbb{C} let $\kappa \geq 2$ and $M > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies conditions :

$$a = q(\zeta), \quad b = \frac{\kappa \zeta q'(w) + \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} + 1\right) q(w)}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)}, \quad \text{Re} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) d - \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} + 1\right) a}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) b - \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} + 1\right) a} - 2(s+1) \right] \geq \kappa \text{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Re} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)^3 e - \left[3\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right) + 6 \right] \left[\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)^2 d - \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} + 1\right) a \right] - \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} + 1\right)^3 a}{\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) b - \left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta} + 1\right) a} + 3\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right)^2 + 12\left(\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}\right) + 11 \right] \geq \kappa^2 \text{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right),$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U / E(q)$ and $\kappa \geq 2$.

Theorem 8. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{n,1}[\Omega, q]$ be in this class. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and q belong to \mathbf{Q}_1 satisfying the following condition :

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} \right) \geq 0 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w)}{q'(\zeta)} \right| \leq \kappa \quad (50)$$

$$\text{and } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w)}{w}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+2,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+3,k} f(w)}{w}; w \right) : w \in U \end{array} \right\} \subset \Omega, \quad (51)$$

then $\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w} < q(w)$.

Main Results of the Third-Order Differential Superordination Involving the Operator: $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)$

In this part, the third order differential superordination for the operator $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(z)$ defined in (10) is obtained and proving many Theorems, for the purpose, consider the next class of admissible functions.

Definition 16. Let $q \in \Upsilon[0,1]$ with $q'(w) \neq 0$ and Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} . The class $\phi'_n[\Omega, q]$ of admissible function consists of those function $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies the next admissibility conditions:

$$|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w)| \leq \kappa M \quad \text{and}$$

$$|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m+1,k} f(w) - \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| < \frac{M}{\left| \frac{1}{\eta} \right|}, \text{ then}$$

$$|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)| < M.$$

Definition 15. Let $q \in \mathbf{Q}_1 \cap \Upsilon_1[1,1]$ and Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} . The class $\Phi_{n,1}[\Omega, q]$ of admissible function consists of those function $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies the next conditions: $\phi(a, b, d, e; w) \notin \Omega$, whenever

$$\phi(a, b, d, e; \zeta) \in \Omega,$$

whenever

$$a = q(\zeta), \quad b = \frac{wq'(w) + msq(w)}{m(s+1)},$$

$$\text{Re} \left[\frac{(s+1)^2 [(s+1)e - 3(s+1)d] + (2s^3 a + 3s^2 a)}{(s(b-a) + b)} \right] \leq \frac{1}{j^2} \text{Re} \left(\frac{w^2 q'''(w)}{q'(w)} \right),$$

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{(s+1)^2 d - s^2 a}{(b(s+1) - ba)} - 2s \right) \leq \frac{1}{j} \text{Re} \left(\frac{wq''(w)}{q'(w)} \right) \text{ and}$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U$ and $j \geq 2$.

Theorem 10. Let $\phi \in \Phi'_n[\Omega, q]$. If f belongs to \mathcal{A} , with $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) \in \mathbf{Q}_0$ and $q \in \Upsilon[0,1]$ with $q'(w) \neq 0$ satisfying the following condition :

$$\text{Re} \left(\frac{wq''(w)}{q'(w)} \right) \geq 0 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{q'(w)} \right| \leq j \quad (52)$$

and $\phi(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w)$ is an univalent in open unit disk U , then

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \\ \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w) : w \in U \end{array} \right\} \quad (53)$$

It means that $q(w) < \mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)$.
Proof. Let $g(w)$ be a function defined by (18), and Ψ defined by (24). Since $\phi \in \Phi'_n[\Omega, q]$, from (25) and (53) yield,

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi(\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \\ \mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w) : w \in U \end{array} \right\}$$

. From (22) and (23) deduce that the admissibility condition for $\Psi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ given in Definition 8 with $n = 2$. Subsequently $\psi \in \psi'_2[\Omega, q]$ and, by using (53) and Lemma 2, get $q(w) < g(w)$ this equivalently,

$$q(w) < \mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) \quad w \in U$$

In case of $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ this means it is a connected domain, then $\Omega = \mu(U)$ for some conformal mapping $\mu(w)$ of open unit disk U on to Ω . In this case, the class $\phi \in \Phi'_n[\mu(U), q]$ is formed as $\phi \in \Phi'_n[\mu, q]$. The next is instant consequence of Theorem 10.

Theorem 11. Let $\phi \in \Phi'_n[\mu, q]$ and μ be analytic in unit disk U . If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) \in \mathbf{Q}_0$, and if $q \in \Upsilon[0,1]$ with $q'(w) \neq 0$ satisfying the condition (52) and the function $\phi(\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w)$ is univalent in unit disk U , then

$$M(w) < \phi(\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w) \quad (54)$$

It means that $q(w) < \mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) \quad w \in U$. The next Theorem proves the presence of the best subordination of (54) for appropriate ϕ .

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(s+1)^3 e - (3s+6)[(s+1)^2 d - (s+1)^2 a] - (s+1)^3 a + 3s^2 + 12s + 11}{(s+1)b - (s+1)a} \right) \leq \frac{1}{j^2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{w^2 q'''(w)}{q'(w)} \right),$$

where $w \in U, \zeta \in \partial U$ and $j \geq 2$.

Theorem 13: Let $\phi \in \Phi'_{n,1}[\Omega, q]$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}$ belongs to \mathbf{Q}_1 and q belongs to $\Upsilon_1[1,1]$ with $q'(w)$ not equal to zero satisfying the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{w q''(w)}{q'(w)} \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{q'(w)} \right| \leq j \quad (56)$$

and the function $\phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \right.$

Theorem 12 . Let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and μ be univalent function in unit disk U , let Ψ be given by (24). Suppose that :

$$\psi(q(w), wq'(w), w^2 q''(w), w^3 q'''(w); w) = \mu(w), \quad (55)$$

the differential equation has a solution $q(w)$ belong to \mathbf{Q}_0 and if $q \in [0,1]$ with $q'(w)$ not equal zero satisfying the condition (52) and

$$\phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w),}{\mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w} \right) \quad \text{is}$$

univalent in unit disk U , then

$$\mu(w) < \phi(\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w).$$

It means that $q(w) < \mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) (w \in U)$ and $q(w)$ is the best dominant.

Proof: To prove this Theorem using Theorem 10 and Theorem 11, draw q is a subordination (54). Since that q satisfies (55), it is also a solution of (54) and, subsequently, q will be subordinate by all subordinate. Hence q is the best subordination.

Definition 17. Let Ω be a set in complex plane \mathbb{C} and $q \in \Upsilon_1[1,1]$ with $q'(w) \neq 0$. The class $\phi'_{n,1}[\Omega, q]$ of admissible function consists of those function $\phi : \mathbb{C}^4 \times \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies the next admissibility conditions:

$$\phi(a, b, d, e; \zeta) \in \Omega,$$

whenever

$$a = q(\zeta), \quad b = \frac{wq'(w) + m(s+1)q(w)}{m(s+1)},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(s+1)^2 d - (s+1)^2 a}{((s+1)b - (s+1)a)} - 2(s+1) \right) \leq \frac{1}{j} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{wq''(w)}{q'(w)} \right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(s+1)^3 e - (3s+6)[(s+1)^2 d - (s+1)^2 a] - (s+1)^3 a + 3s^2 + 12s + 11}{(s+1)b - (s+1)a} \right) \leq \frac{1}{j^2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{w^2 q'''(w)}{q'(w)} \right),$$

$\frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}; w$ is univalent in open unit disk U , then

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}; w) : w \in U \end{array} \right\} \quad (57)$$

It means that $q(w) < \frac{\mathcal{NT}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}$.

Proof: Let $g(w)$ be a function defined by (33) and Ψ defined by (39). Since $\phi \in \Phi'_n[\Omega, q]$, from (40) and (57) yield,

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \emptyset(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \\ \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w): w \in U \end{array} \right\}$$

. From (37) and (38), deduce that the admissibility condition for Ψ belongs to $\Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ given in Definition 8 with $n=2$. Subsequently $\psi \in \psi'_2[\Omega, q]$ and, by using (56) and Lemma 2, it implies that

$$q(w) < \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, w \in U. \text{ This is the complete proof of Theorem 1}$$

In case of $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ this means that it is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = \mu(U)$ for some conformal mapping $\mu(w)$ of open unit disk U onto Ω . In this case, the class $\phi \in \Phi'_{n,1}[\mu(U), q]$ is formed as $\phi \in \Phi'_{n,1}[\mu, q]$. The next is an instant consequence of Theorem 13.

Theorem 14. Let $\phi \in \Phi'_{n,1}[\mu, q]$, and let μ be analytic function in unit disk U . If f belong to \mathcal{A} and q belong to $Y_1[1,1]$ with $q'(w)$ not equal to zero satisfying the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{wq''(w)}{q'(w)}\right) \geq 0 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{q'(w)} \right| \leq j \quad (58)$$

and the function $\emptyset\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}; w\right)$ is univalent in unit disk U , then

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \emptyset\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}, \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}; w\right): w \in U \end{array} \right\}. \quad (59)$$

It means that $q(w) < \frac{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w)}{w}$.

A Set of Sandwich – Type Results

Collect Theorem 2 and Theorem 11. The next sandwich-type Theorem is obtained.

Theorem 15: Let μ_1 and q_1 be analytic functions in U , μ_2 is an univalent function in open unit disk U , $q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 0$ and $\phi \in \Phi_n[\mu_2, q_2] \cap \Phi'_n[\mu_1, q_1]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with

$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) \in \mathcal{Q}_0 \cap Y[0,1]$ and the function $\emptyset\left(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w\right)$ is

univalent in open unit disk, and if the conditions (15) and (52) are satisfied

$$\mu_1(w) < \emptyset\left(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+1,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+2,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s+3,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w); w\right) < \mu_2(w),$$

it means that $q_1(w) < \mathcal{N}\mathcal{T}_{s,\eta,c}^{m,k} f(w) < q_2(w)$. (60)

Conclusion:

In this work, in the case of applying the new operator to the geometric functions, it was concluded that they remain preserving their geometric features. If are put some restrictions on the functions that belong to these subclasses characteristics, can get new results inside the unit disk.

Authors' declaration:

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee in University of Anbar.

Authors' contributions statement:

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Miller SS, Mocanu PT. Differential subordinations and univalent functions. Mich Math J. 1981;28(2):157-72.
2. Gochhayat P, Prajapati A. Applications of third order differential subordination and superordination involving generalized Struve function. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.06512. 2018 Sep 18.
3. Tang H, Srivastava HM, Deng GT, Li SH. Second-order differential superordination for analytic functions in the upper half-plane. J Nonlinear Sci Appl. 2017 Oct 1;10:5271-80.
4. Liu JL, Srivastava R. Hadamard products of certain classes of p-valent starlike functions. Rev R Acad Cienc Exactas Fis Nat A Mat. 2019 Jul 1;113(3):2001-15.
5. Al-Janaby H, Ghanim F, Darus M. On The Third-Order Complex Differential Inequalities of ξ -Generalized-Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Functions. Math. 2020 May;8(5):845.
6. Al-Janaby HF, Ghanim F. Sandwich-type outcome based on a dual linear operator. Int J Pure Appl Math. 2018 Apr; 118(3):819-35.
7. Gochhayat P, Prajapati A. Applications of third order differential subordination and superordination involving generalized Struve function. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.06512. 2018 Sep 18.
8. Juma AR, Aljuaid AT. Differential Subordination and Superordinate for Multivalent Functions. In AIP Conference Proceedings 2010 Nov 11 (Vol. 1309, No. 1, pp. 58-65). AIP.
9. Al-Khafaji TK. Strong Subordination for E-valent Functions Involving the Operator Generalized Srivastava-Attiya. Baghdad Sci. J. 2020;17(2):509-14.

10. Nunokawa M, Sokół J. On some differential subordinations. *STUD SCI MATH HUNG.* 2017 Dec;54(4):436-45.
11. Juma A R S, Mushtaq A H S, Mohammed Al H F. Third-order differential subordination and superordination results for meromorphically univalent functions involving linear operator. *Euro J Sci Res.* 2015 ;132(1):57-65.
12. Antonino JA, Miller SS. Third-order differential inequalities and subordinations in the complex plane. *Complex Var and Elliptic Equat.* 2011 May 1;56(5):439-54.
13. Huo T, Hari M S, Deng G. Differential subordination and differential superordination for analytic functions in the upper half-plane, *Acta Math Sci Ser A Chinese Ed.* 2016;36: 201–214.
14. Srivastava HM, Gaboury S, Ghanim F. Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions of the Ma–Minda type. *Rev R Acad Cienc Exactas Fis Nat A Mat.* 2018 Oct 1;112(4):1157-68.
15. Aouf MK, Seoudy TM. On differential sandwich theorems of analytic functions defined by certain linear operator. *Annales UMCS Sectio A Math.* 2016 Jul 29;64(2):1-4.
16. Al-Shaqsi K, Darus M. Differential Subordination with generalized derivative operator. *Int J Comp Math Sci.* 2008; 2(2): 75-78.
17. Srivastava HM, Prajapati A, Gochhayat P. Third-order differential subordination and differential superordination results for analytic functions involving the Srivastava-Attiya operator. *Appl Math Inf Sci.* 2018;12(3):469-81.
18. Darus M, Al-Shaqsi K. Differential sandwich theorems with generalized derivative operator. In *Advanced Technologies.* 2009 Oct 1. Intech Open.
19. Ruscheweyh S. New criteria for univalent functions. *Proceedings of the AMS.* 1975 May 1;109-15.
20. Salagean GS. Subclasses of univalent functions. In *Complex Analysis—Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar 1983* (pp. 362-372). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
21. Al-Oboudi FM. On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator. *IntJ MathMath Sci.* 2004;2004.
22. Darus M, Al-Shaqsi K. On harmonic univalent functions defined by a generalized Ruscheweyh derivatives operator. *Lobachevskii J Math.* 2006;22(0):19-26.
23. Alexander JW. Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions. *Ann Math.* 1915 Sep 1;17(1):12-22.
24. Bernardi SD. A survey of the development of the theory of schlicht functions. *Duke Math J.* 1952;19(2):263-87.
25. Flett TM. The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities. *J Math Anal Appl.* 1972 Jun 1;38(3):746-65.
26. Răducanu D. Third-order differential subordinations for analytic functions associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. *Mediterranean J Math.* 2017 Aug 1;14(4):167.

التابعية التفاضلية من الرتبة الثالثة للدوال التحليلية التي تتضمن مؤثر الالتفاف

عبدالرحمن سلمان جمعه

نهاد حميد شهاب

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة، جامعة الانبار، الانبار، العراق.

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث، من خلال استخدام المؤثر المعمم الجديد تم الحصول بعض نتائج التابعية التفاضلية من الدرجة الثالثة ونتائج التابعية التفاضلية العليا للدوال التحليلية. كذلك تم تقديم بعض نظريات من نوع الساندوج.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الدوال التحليلية، التابعية التفاضلية، ضرب هادمر، الدوال احادية التكافؤ.