On Finitely Null-additive and Finitely Weakly Null-additive Relative to the σ-ring
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Abstract:
This article introduces the concept of finitely null-additive set function relative to the σ-ring and many properties of this concept have been discussed. Furthermore, to introduce and study the notion of finitely weakly null-additive set function relative to the σ-ring as a generalization of some concepts such as measure, countably additive, finitely additive, countably null-additive, countably weakly null-additive and finitely null-additive. As the first result, it has been proved that every finitely null-additive is a finitely weakly null-additive. Finally, the paper introduces a study of the concept of outer measure as a stronger form of finitely weakly null-additive.
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Introduction:
The theory of measure is an important subject in mathematics. In 2015, Evans et al 1 discussed many details about the measure and proved some important results in measure theory. Let \( \mathcal{U} \) be a nonempty set, and let \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U}) \) denoted to a power set of a nonempty set \( \mathcal{U} \) and the difference of two sets \( D \) and \( B \) be denoted by \( D \setminus B \) and defined as: \( D \setminus B = D \cap B^c \). The notion of \( \sigma \)-field was studied by Ash 2 and Mackenzie 3 where a collection \( \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U}) \) is called \( \sigma \)-field if and only if \( \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{K} \) and \( \mathcal{K} \) is closed under complementation and countable union. Many other authors were interested in studying \( \sigma \)-field to define monotone measure and null-additive 4,5. The notion of \( \sigma \)-ring was studied by 6,7 as a generalization of \( \sigma \)-field, where a collection \( \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U}) \) is called \( \sigma \)-ring if whenever \( D_1, D_2, ... \in \mathcal{K} \), then \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i \in \mathcal{K} \) and for any \( D, B \in \mathcal{K} \), then \( \mathcal{K} \). It is clear that, every \( \sigma \)-field is \( \sigma \)-ring. The concept of measure was studied by 7,8,9 where a measure relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) is a set function \( \mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [\infty] \) such that \( \mathcal{M}(\emptyset) = 0 \) and if \( D_1, D_2, ... \) form a finite or countably infinite collection of disjoint sets in \( \mathcal{K} \), then \( \mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(D_n) \). The concept of countably additive was studied by 2,5,10 where a set function \( \mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [\infty] \) is called countably additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) if whenever \( D_1, D_2, ... \) are finite or countably infinite collection of disjoint sets in \( \mathcal{K} \), then \( \mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(D_n) \).
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introduced the notion of countably weakly null-additive as a generalization of the concept of countably null-additive, where set function $\mathfrak{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ is said to be countably weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ if whenever $D_1, D_2, \ldots$ are a collection of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathfrak{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots$, then $\mathfrak{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) = 0$.

This paper is a generalization of the concepts of countably null-additive and countably weakly null-additive was introduced, also the concept of finitely null-additive and finitely weakly null-additive were studied respectively.

### Finitely Null-additive Relative to the $\sigma$-ring

This section, aims to introduce the concept of finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring and investigated some of its basic properties. Furthermore, the section aims to present the relationships between finitely null-additive and countably null-additive.

#### Definition 1

A set function $\mathfrak{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ is called a finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$, if whenever $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k$ are a collection of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{B} \cap D_n = \Phi$ and $\mathfrak{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, then $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{B} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{B})$.

#### Example 1

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U})$. Define a set function $\mathfrak{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ by:

$\mathfrak{M}(D) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D = \Phi \text{ or } \{1\} \text{ or } \{2\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Then $\mathfrak{M}$ is a finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$.

#### Example 2

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U})$. Define a set function $\mathfrak{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ by:

$\mathfrak{M}(D) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D = \Phi \text{ or } \{1\} \text{ or } \{2\} \\ 1 & \text{if } D = \{3\} \\ 5 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Assume $D_1 = \{1\}$ and $D_2 = \{2\}$, then $D_1, D_2$ are disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathfrak{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2$. Consider $\mathcal{B} = \{3\}$, then $\mathcal{B} \cap D_n = \Phi \forall n = 1, 2$. Now, since $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{B} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{2} D_n) = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{U}) = 5$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{B}) = 1$,

then $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{B} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{2} D_n) \neq \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{B})$, thus $\mathfrak{M}$ is not finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$.

The following theorem used mathematical induction to prove that the linear combination of finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ is also finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$.

#### Theorem 1

Let $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2, \ldots, \mathfrak{M}_n: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ be a finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$ and $c_j \in [0, \infty)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. If a set function $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ is defined by:

$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j\right)(D) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j(D) \forall D \in \mathcal{K}$,

then $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j$ is a finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$.

#### Proof:

To prove that the statement is true when $m = 2$, let $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k$ be disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$ such that $\mathfrak{M}_1(D) = \mathfrak{M}_2(D) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Then, it is proved that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j$ is finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$.

Now, $\mathfrak{M}_1(D) = \mathfrak{M}_2(D)$.

Therefore, $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j = (c_1 \mathfrak{M}_1 + c_2 \mathfrak{M}_2)(D) = c_1 \mathfrak{M}_1(D)$. Since $\mathfrak{M}_j$ is finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_1(D) + c_2 \mathfrak{M}_2(D) = c_1 \mathfrak{M}_1(D) + c_2 \mathfrak{M}_2(D) = c_1 \mathfrak{M}_1(D) + c_2 \mathfrak{M}_2(D) = \mathfrak{M}(D)$.

Hence, $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j$ is finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$.

Now, assume that the statement is true when $m = t + 1$ and prove that the statement is true when $m = t + 2$, that is, assume that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j$ is finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$ that is $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j(D) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j(D)$.

Consider $\mathcal{B}$ be disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathfrak{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j$ is finitely null-additive, then $\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j(D) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j(D)$. This implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j(D) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \mathfrak{M}_j(D) + c_{t+1} \mathfrak{M}_{t+1}(D)$.
\( = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mathcal{M}_i)(B) + (c_{t+1} \mathcal{M}_{t+1})(B) \)

Hence, \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mathcal{M}_i \) is finitely null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) of a set \( U \).

Therefore, \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mathcal{M}_i \) is finitely null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) of a set \( U \).

**Proposition 1**

Let \( \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \) be a countably null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) of a set \( U \) and \( \mathcal{M}_1(\phi) = \mathcal{M}_2(\phi) = 0 \). Assume \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) or \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) is finite and define \( \mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2 : \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty] \) by:

\[ (\mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2)(D) = \mathcal{M}_1(D) - \mathcal{M}_2(D), \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{K}, \]

then

\[ (\mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2)(D) \text{ is a finitely null-additive relative to the } \sigma \text{-ring } \mathcal{K} \text{ of a set } U. \]

**Proof:**

Let \( D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k \) be collection of disjoint sets in \( \mathcal{K} \) and \( \mathcal{K} \) such that \( B \cap D_n = \phi \) and \( \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), consider \( D_n = \phi \) for all \( n > k \).

Then \( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n \) for all \( n > k \). Now, since \( \mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2 \) is countably null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) of a set \( U \), then \( \mathcal{M}_1(B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) = \mathcal{M}_2(B) \).

Therefore \( \mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2 \) is a finitely null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \).

In the following propositions, the relationships among the countably null-additive, finitely null-additive and null-additive are giving.

**Proposition 2**

Let \( \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty] \) be a countably null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) such that \( \mathcal{M}(\phi) = 0 \). Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finitely null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \).

**Proof:**

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a countably null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) and let \( D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k \) be a collection of disjoint sets in \( \mathcal{K} \) and \( \mathcal{K} \) such that \( B \cap D_n = \phi \) and \( \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k \) and consider \( D_n = \phi \) for all \( n > k \), then \( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n \) for all \( n > k \) and \( (D_n) = 0, \forall n \).

Hence, \( \mathcal{M}(B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) = \mathcal{M}(B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) \)

\( = \mathcal{M}(B) \) since \( \mathcal{M} \) is a countably null-additive. Therefore \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finitely null-additive.

**Proposition 3**

Let \( \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty] \) be a finitely null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) such that \( \mathcal{M}(\phi) = 0 \). Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is a null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \).

**Proof:**

Let \( B, C \) be disjoint sets in \( \mathcal{K} \) and \( \mathcal{M}(C) = 0 \) and let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a countably null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \). Consider \( C = D_1 \) and \( D_n = \phi, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), then \( B \cup C = B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \) and \( \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k \).

Hence \( \mathcal{M}(B \cup C) = \mathcal{M}(B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) \)

\( = \mathcal{M}(B) \) since \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finitely null-additive and \( \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k \).

Therefore \( \mathcal{M} \) is a null-additive.

**Definition 2**

Let \( D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k \) be subsets of a set \( U \), if \( D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \ldots \) and \( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = D \), then \( D_1 \) is called increase to \( D \); and write \( D_1 \uparrow D \).

**Definition 3**

Let \( D_1, D_2, \ldots, eK \) and \( D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \) such that \( D_1 \uparrow D \), if \( \mathcal{M}(D_1) \to \mathcal{M}(D) \), then a set function \( \mathcal{M} \) is called continuous from below at \( D \).

**Theorem 2**

Let \( \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty] \) be a continuous from below at \( D \) and \( \mathcal{M}(\phi) = 0 \). Then \( \mathcal{M} \) is a countably null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) if only if \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finitely null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \).

**Proof:**

(\( \Rightarrow \)) direct from Proposition 2.

**Conversely**

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a continuous from below at \( D \) and \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finitely null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \). Assume that \( D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k \) be disjoint sets in \( \mathcal{K} \) and \( \mathcal{K} \) with \( B \cap D_n = \phi \) and \( \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k \) and let \( D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \). If \( D_k = B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n \), then \( D_k \uparrow D \), since \( \mathcal{M} \) continuous from below at \( D \), then \( \mathcal{M}(D) \to \mathcal{M}(D) \).

But \( \mathcal{M} \) is a finitely null-additive, then \( \mathcal{M}(D_k) = \mathcal{M}(B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = \mathcal{M}(B) \).

Thus \( \mathcal{M}(D) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(D_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = \mathcal{M}(B) \).

Hence \( \mathcal{M}(B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) = \mathcal{M}(B) \), therefore \( \mathcal{M} \) is countably null-additive.

1. **Finitely Weakly Null-additive Relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring**

This section introduces and studies the concept of finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring and basic properties of this concept are giving. Furthermore, it presents the relationships between finitely weakly null-additive, finitely null-additive, measure, countably additive, finitely additive, outer measure, countably weakly null-additive and countably null-additive.

**Definition 4**

A set function \( \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty] \) is called finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \( \sigma \)-ring \( \mathcal{K} \) of a set \( U \), if whenever \( D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k \) are
collection of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., k$, then $\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = 0$.

**Example 3**

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{\emptyset, \{1,2\}, \{1,2\}\}$. Define a set function $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ by: $\mathcal{M}(D) = 0$ for all $D \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$.

**Example 4**

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\mathcal{K} = P(\mathcal{U})$. Define a set function $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ by:

$$
\mathcal{M}(D) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{ if } D = \{a\} \text{ or } \{b\} \text{ or } \{c\} \\
\text{otherwise} & 
\end{cases}
$$

Put $D_1 = \{a\}$, $D_2 = \{b\}$ and $D_3 = \{c\}$, then $D_1, D_2, D_3$ are disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, 3$. Now, since $\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{3} D_n) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U}) = 1 \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is not finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$.

**Proposition 4**

Let $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$ and $c \in (0, \infty)$. If a set function $c\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is defined by:

$$(c\mathcal{M})(D) = c \cdot [\mathcal{M}(D)] \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{K},$$

then $c\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$.

**Proof:**

Let $D_1, D_2, ..., D_k$ be a collection of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $(c\mathcal{M})(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., k$. Then $c \cdot [\mathcal{M}(D_n)] = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., k$. Since $c > 0$, then $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., k$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = 0$. Hence, $c \cdot 0 = 0$ and $c\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely weakly null-additive.

**Proposition 5**

Let $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, ..., \mathcal{M}_m): \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$. If a set function $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{M}_j: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is defined by:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{M}_j(D) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{M}_j(D), \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{K},
$$

then $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{M}_j$ is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$.

**Proof:**

To prove that the statement is true when $m = 2$. Let $D_1, D_2, ..., D_k$ be disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., k$. Then proved that $\sum_{j=1}^{2} \mathcal{M}_j(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{j+k}$ is finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$-ring $\mathcal{K}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then $\mathcal{M}_1(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = 0$. So, $\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = \mathcal{M}_1(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) + \mathcal{M}_2(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = 0 + 0 = 0$.
\((\mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2)(U_n^{k=1} D_n) = (\mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2)(U_n^{k=1} D_n) = \mathcal{M}_1(U_n^{k=1} D_n) - \mathcal{M}_2(U_n^{k=1} D_n) = 0\)
Therefore \(\mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2\) is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\).

**Proposition 7**
Let \(\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]\) be a finitely null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\) such that \(\mathcal{M}(\Phi) = 0\). Then \(\mathcal{M}\) is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\).

**Proof:**
Let \(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k\) be disjoint sets in \(\mathcal{K}\) such that \(\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k\). Consider \(D_{k+1} = \Phi\) and \(C_n = D_{n+1} \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k\). Then \(\mathcal{M}(C_n) = 0\) and
\[
\mathcal{M}(U_n^{k=1} D_n) = \mathcal{M}(D_1 \cup U_n^{k=1} C_n) = \mathcal{M}(D_1) \quad \text{since} \ \mathcal{M} \ \text{is finitely null-additive}
\]
Hence, \(\mathcal{M}\) is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\) of a set \(U\).

**Theorem 4**
Let \(\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]\) be a continuous from below at \(D\) and \(\mathcal{M}(\Phi) = 0\). Then \(\mathcal{M}\) is a countably weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring if and only if \(\mathcal{M}\) is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\).

**Proof:**
\(\Rightarrow\) direct from Proposition 7.

**Conversely**
Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a continuous from below at \(D\) and \(\mathcal{M}\) is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\). Assume that \(D_1, D_2, \ldots\) be disjoint sets in \(\mathcal{K}\) and \(\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots\) and let \(D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n\). If \(D_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n\), then \(D_k \uparrow D\), since \(\mathcal{M}\) continuous from below at \(D\), then \(\mathcal{M}(D_k) \to \mathcal{M}(D)\).
But \(\mathcal{M}\) is a finitely weakly null-additive, then \(\mathcal{M}(D_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(D_k) = 0\).
So, we have,
\[
\mathcal{M}(D) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(D_k) = 0
\]
Hence, \(\mathcal{M}(U_n^{k=1} D_n) = 0\), therefore \(\mathcal{M}\) is countably weakly null-additive.

**Proposition 8**
Every finitely additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring.

**Proof:**
Let \(\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]\) be a finitely additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\) of a set \(U\) and \(D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_k\) be a collection of disjoint sets in \(\mathcal{K}\) such that \(\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots, k\). Then
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{k} \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}(U_n^{k=1} D_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \mathcal{M}(D_n) \quad \text{since} \ \mathcal{M} \ \text{is finitely additive}
\]
Hence, \(\mathcal{M}\) is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\) of a set \(U\).

The converse of proposition 8 is not true as showing in the following example.

**Example 5**
Let \(U = \{1, 2\}\) and \(\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{P}(U)\). Define a set function \(\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]\) by:
\[
\mathcal{M}(D) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D = \Phi \text{ or } \{1\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
Then \(\mathcal{M}\) is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\) of a set \(U\), but not finitely additive.

**Definition 5**
Let \(D_1, D_2, \ldots\) be subsets of a set \(U\), if \(D_1 \supset D_2 \supset \ldots\) and \(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_1 = D\), then \(D_1\) decrease to \(D\); and write \(D_n \downarrow D\).

**Definition 6**
Let \(D_1, D_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{K}\) and \(D_n \downarrow \Phi\) if \(\mathcal{M}(D_n) \to 0\) then a set function \(\mathcal{M}\) is called continuous from above at \(\Phi\).

**Theorem 5**
Let \(\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]\) is finitely additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\), if \(\mathcal{M}\) is continuous from above at \(\Phi\), then it's countably weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring.

**Proof:**
Let \(D_1, D_2, \ldots\) be disjoint sets in \(\mathcal{K}\) such that \(\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, \ldots\) and let \(D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n\).
If \(D_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n\), and \(D_k \uparrow D\), since \(\mathcal{M}\) continuous from below at \(D\), then \(\mathcal{M}(D_k) \to \mathcal{M}(D)\).
But \(\mathcal{M}\) is finitely additive, then \(\mathcal{M}(D) = \mathcal{M}(D_k) + \mathcal{M}(D \setminus D_k)\).
Since \(\mathcal{M}\) is finitely additive, then from proposition 8 implies that \(\mathcal{M}\) is finitely weakly null-additive. Hence \(\mathcal{M}(D_k) = \mathcal{M}(U_n^{k=1} D_n) = 0\).

\[
\mathcal{M}(D) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(D_k) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{M}(U_n^{k=1} D_n) = 0,
\]
\(\mathcal{M}(U_n^{k=1} D_n) = 0\), therefore \(\mathcal{M}\) is countably weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring.

The converse of above theorem is not true as showing in the following example.

**Example 6**
Let \(U = \{a, b, c\}\) and \(\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{P}(U)\). Define a set function \(\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]\) by:
\[
\mathcal{M}(D) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D = \Phi \text{ or } \{b\} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
Then \(\mathcal{M}\) is a countably weakly null-additive relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\) of a set \(U\), but not finitely additive.

**Proposition 9**
Let \(\mathcal{K}\) be a \(\sigma\)-ring of a set \(U\) and \(\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [0, \infty]\) be a set function relative to the \(\sigma\)-ring \(\mathcal{K}\).

1. If \(\mathcal{M}\) is measure, then \(\mathcal{M}\) is finitely weakly null-additive.
2- If $\mathcal{M}$ is an outer measure, then $\mathcal{M}$ is finitely weakly null-additive.

**Proof:**

1- Let $D_1, D_2, ..., D_k$ be a collection of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., k$. Then

$\sum_{n=1}^{k} \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \mathcal{M}(D_n)$ since $\mathcal{M}$ is measure

$= 0$

Therefore, $\mathcal{M}$ is finitely weakly null-additive.

2- Let $D_1, D_2, ..., D_k$ be a collection of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., k$. Consider

$D_n = \emptyset, \forall n > k$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n \forall n > k$ and $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n$. Hence

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is an outer measure, then $\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(D_n)$.

Therefore,

$\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = \mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0$.

Now, let $D_n = \emptyset, \forall n > k$ since $D_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \forall n = 1, 2, ...,$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is an outer measure, then $\mathcal{M}(D_n) \leq \mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n)$. But

$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n \forall n > k$ and $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n$. Thus $\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) \geq 0$. Hence

$\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}$ is finitely weakly null-additive.

**Proposition 10**

Every countably additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring.

**Proof:**

Let $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ be a countably additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$ and $D_1, D_2, ..., D_k$ be a collection of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., k$. Consider

$D_n = \emptyset, \forall n > k$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n \forall n > k$ and $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n$. Hence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0$ and

$\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = \mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(D_n)$ since $\mathcal{M}$ is countably additive

$= 0$

Therefore, $\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$.

The converse of proposition 10 is not true as shown in the following example.

**Example 7**

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U})$. Define a set function $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ by:

$\mathcal{M}(D) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D = \emptyset \text{ or } \{1\} \\ 5 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$, but not countably additive.

In the end of this section we give the relation between finitely weakly null-additive and countably weakly null-additive in following proposition.

**Proposition 11**

Let $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ be a set function relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(\emptyset) = 0$. Then every countably weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring.

**Proof:**

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a countably weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$ and $D_1, D_2, ..., D_k$ be a collection of disjoint sets in $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n = 1, 2, ..., k$. Consider

$D_n = \emptyset, \forall n > k$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n \forall n > k$ and $\mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0, \forall n$. Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(D_n) = 0$.

Which implies that,

$\mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} D_n) = \mathcal{M}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n) = 0$ since $\mathcal{M}$ is countably weakly null-additive

Therefore, $\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$.

The converse of proposition 11 is not true as shown in the following example.

**Example 8**

Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. Define a set function $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ by:

$\mathcal{M}(D) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D = \emptyset \text{ or } D = \{n\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely weakly null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ of a set $\mathcal{U}$, but not countably weakly null-additive.

**Conclusions:**

In this article, the concepts of finitely null-additive and finitely weakly null-additive have been introduced as a generalization of countably null-additive and countably weakly null-additive respectively and some properties of these concepts have been discussed such as the linear combination of finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ is a finitely null-additive. Every countably null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ is a finitely null-additive.

Every finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ is a null-additive. If $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ is continuous from below at $D$ and $\mathcal{M}(\emptyset) = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a countably null-additive if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely null-additive. Every finitely null-additive relative to the $\sigma$–ring $\mathcal{K}$ is a finitely null-additive.

If $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \to [-\infty, \infty]$ is continuous from below at $D$ and $\mathcal{M}(\emptyset) = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is a countably weakly null-additive if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely weakly null-additive. Every countably additive is a finitely weakly null-additive. Every countably
weakly null-additive is a finitely weakly null-additive.
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 حول المضافات الفارغة المنتهية والمضافات الفارغة الضعيفة المنتهية نسبة الى الحلقة من النمط -σ

سماح حسين اسعد1
حسن حسين ابراهيم2

1 قسم الفيزياء، كلية التربية، طوزخورماتو، جامعة تكريت، صلاح الدين، العراق
2 قسم الرياضيات، كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات، جامعة تكريت، صلاح الدين، العراق

الخلاصة:
يركز هذا البحث إلى تقديم مفهوم المضافات الفارغة المنتهية نسبة إلى الحلقة من النمط -σ ومناقشة العديد من الخصائص لهذا المفهوم. اضافة إلى ذلك قمنا برسم نمط مضافات الفارغة الضعيفة المنتهية نسبة إلى الحلقة من النمط -σ، وهي أعم من بعض المضافات الدالة على كلا المضافات المحدودة والمضافات المنتهية والمضافات الفارغة الضعيفة المحدودة والمضافات الفارغة الضعيفة المنتهية. وأخيراً ادرستنا مفهوم القياس الخارجي للكلاس الفارغة للنقطة. ومكملات المفتاحية: المضافات الفارغة المنتهية، القياس، ومسافة الفارغة。

الكلمات المفتاحية: المضافات الفارغة المنتهية، القياس، المضاف الفارغة، الحلقة من النمط -σ، الحلقة من النمط -σ، الفارغة، المضافات الفارغة المنتهية.