

ON SOME TYPES OF ALMOST-PERIODIC POINT IN BI-TOPOLOGICAL DYNAMICS

S.H.AL-KUTAIBI *

I.M.AL-NASIRI **

Date of acceptance 21/8/2004

ABSTRACT

In this paper We introduce some new types of almost bi-periodic points in topological bitransformation groups and their effects on some types of minimality in topological dynamics.

INTRODUCTION

Let X be a topological space, Λ be any subset of X , the sets $\overset{\circ}{\Lambda}$, $\bar{\Lambda}$ and Λ^c denote the interior, closure and complement of Λ respectively. Λ is called **semi-open** in X if $\Lambda \subseteq \overset{\circ}{\Lambda}$ [10] then Λ^c is called **semi-closed** [6] such that every open set in X is semi-open set and every closed set in X is semi-closed set. A subset N_x of X is called a **semi-neighborhood** (s.nbd) of a point $x \in X$ if there exists a semi-open set Λ in X s.t $x \in \Lambda \subseteq N_x$ [2], every nbh is semi-nbh. The smallest semi-closed set containing Λ is called the **semi-closure** of Λ (scl Λ) [2] s.t Λ is semi-closed set iff $\Lambda = \text{scl} \Lambda$ and $\text{scl} \Lambda \subseteq \bar{\Lambda}$. $p \in X$ is called a **semi-limit point** of Λ if $\Lambda \cap (U \setminus \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$ for each semi-open set $U \subseteq X$ which is containing p [2]. A family \mathcal{A} of semi-open subsets of X is called a **semi-open cover** of X if X is a subset of the union of elements of \mathcal{A} and X is called a **semi-compact space** if every semi-open cover of X containing a finite sub cover [3], every

semi-compact set is compact, X is called **locally semi-compact** space if for every $x \in X$ there exist a s.nbh U_x is compact set. If G is a topological group and $\Lambda \subseteq G$ then Λ is called a **left syndatic** set if there exists a compact set $K \subseteq G$ s.t. $G = \Lambda K$ and $B \subseteq G$ is called a **right syndatic** set if $G = KB$ [4], is called **left semi-syndatic** set if there exists a semi-compact set $K \subseteq G$ s.t $G = \Lambda K$ similar B is called **right semi-syndatic** set if $G = KB$ [1], every semi syndatic set is syndatic. If (X, G, π) is a right topological transformation group (θ, Π, X) is a left topological transformation group then (Π, X, G) is called **right-left topological bitransformation** group (T.B.G) if satisfy the condition:
 $(hx)g = (\theta(h,x),g)\pi = \theta(h,(x,g))\pi = h(xg)$
 for every $x \in X, h \in \Pi, g \in G$.

Definition 1: Let (Π, X, G) be a T.B.G and $\Lambda \subseteq X$ then Λ is called a **bi-invariant set under G and Π** if $\Pi \Lambda G \subseteq \Lambda$.

* Department of Mathematics-College of education-University of Tikrit

** Department of Mathematics-College of education-University of Tikrit

Definition 2: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G and $x \in X$ then the set $HxG = \{hxg \mid g \in G, h \in H\}$ is called the **bi-orbit of x under G and H**, the set \overline{HxG} is called the **bi-orbit closure of x under G and H** and $\text{sel}(\overline{HxG})$ is called an **bi-orbit semi-closure of x under G and H**.

Lemma 1: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, $A \subseteq X$ and $g \in G, h \in H$ then $\overline{hAg} = h\overline{A}g$.

Proof: It is obvious.

Lemma 2: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, $A \subseteq X$ and A is bi-invariant set then \overline{A} is bi-invariant set.

Proof: By using lemma 1.

Proposition 1: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G then the following statements are true:

- 1) If $x \in X$ then the bi-orbit of x is a smallest bi-invariant subset of X contain x .
- 2) If $x \in X$ and $y \in HxG$, then $HxG = HyG$.
- 3) If $x \in X$ then the bi-orbit closure of x is a smallest bi-invariant subset of X contain x .
- 4) If $x \in X$ and $y \in HxG$ then $\overline{HxG} \subseteq \overline{HyG}$.
- 5) The class of all bi-orbit closure under G and H covered X .

Proof:

- (1) Let HxG be the bi-orbit of x then $\overline{HxG} = \overline{HxG}$ i.e. HxG is bi-invariant set. Let $A \subseteq HxG$ and A is bi-invariant s.t. $x \in A$, let $a \in HxG - A$ then there exist a $g \in G, h \in H$ s.t. $a = hxg$ but this contradiction.
- (2) Since $y \in HxG$ then there exist a $g \in G$ s.t. $y = hxg$ i.e. $x = h^{-1}gg^{-1}$ this means $x \in HyG$ and $\overline{HxG} \subseteq \overline{HyG}$ then $\overline{HxG} = \overline{HyG}$.
- (3) Since $HxG \subseteq \overline{HxG}$ then \overline{HxG} is a smallest closed set contain x and the closed of bi-invariant set is bi-invariant set then \overline{HxG} is smallest closed bi-invariant set contain x .
- (4) It is obvious.

(5) Let $\mathcal{a} = \{HxG \mid x \in X\}$ then $X = \cup HxG \subseteq \overline{HxG} = \cup \mathcal{a}$.

Definition 3: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G and $M \subseteq X$ then M is called a **b_1 -minimal set** { **b_2 -minimal set**} in T.B.G if satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $M \neq \emptyset$, M is closed {semi-closed} bi-invariant set.
- (2) M is not containing closed {semi-closed} bi-invariant subset.

Proposition 2: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, and $M \subseteq X$ then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) M is b_1 -minimal set.
- (2) $M \neq \emptyset, M = HxG$ for every $x \in M$.
- (3) $M \neq \emptyset$, M is closed and $M = HUUG$ for every nonempty open subset U of M .

Proof:

- (1) \rightarrow (2) Since M is b_1 -minimal set then M is bi-invariant set and closed, this means $HxG \subseteq M$ and $HxG \subseteq M$. If $HxG \neq M$ means M is not b_1 -minimal set then $HxG = M$.
- (2) \rightarrow (1) Since $M \neq \emptyset$ and $M = HxG$ then M is bi-invariant set, if $A \subseteq M$ closed bi-invariant then there exist a $a \in A$ s.t. $M = HaG$, this lead to $M \subseteq A$ i.e. $M = A$.
- (4) \rightarrow (1) M is bi-invariant set ($\overline{HM} = \overline{HUUG} = \overline{HUUG} = M$). Let $A \subseteq M$ and $A \neq \emptyset$, closed and bi-invariant set, then $M - A$ is open set in M and $\overline{(M - A)G} = M$ i.e. there exist $x, y \in M$ s.t. $x \in A, y \in M - A$ and $g \in G, h \in H$ s.t. $y = hxg$ this means $y \in A$ and $M = A$.
- (1) \rightarrow (4) If M is b_1 -minimal set and $U \in M$ non-empty open set, then $HUG \subseteq \overline{HM} = M$ and $M - HUG \subseteq M$ is closed set. If $x \in M - HUG$ and $g \in G, h \in H$ s.t. $hxg \in HUG$ then $H^{-1}HUGg^{-1}$ this means M is contain HUG b_1 -minimal set but this contradiction then $M = HUG$.

Lemma 3: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, $A, B, C \subseteq X$ are compact sets then ABC is compact subset of X .

Proof: Since $0:H \times (X \times G) \pi \rightarrow X$ is continuous map and since, A, B, C are compact sets and $0(C \times AB) = C \cap B$ is compact set under continuous map.

Remark: We can use the above proposition to b_2 -minimal set with take difference of b_1 -minimal.

Definition 4: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G and $x \in X$ then x is called b_1 -almost periodic point (b_1) if for every nbh U of x there exist a left syndatic set $A \subseteq G$ and right syndatic set $B \subseteq H$ s.t. $BxA \subseteq U$.

Proposition 3: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G X is T_2 locally compact space and $x \in X$ then x is b_1 iff HxG is b_1 -minimal compact subset of X .

Proof: \rightarrow Let x be a b_1 point, U_x be a nbh of x then there exist a left syndatic set $A \subseteq G$ and right syndatic set $B \subseteq H$ s.t. $BxA \subseteq U_x$. Since A, B are syndatic set this means there exist two compact set $K \subseteq G, J \subseteq H$ s.t. $G = AK, H = JB$ and $HxG = JBxA \subseteq JU_xK$. By Lemma (3) JU_xK is compact subset of T_2 -space then it is closed set. Hence, $HxG \subseteq JU_xK$ and HxG is compact.

If $y \in HxG$ then $HyG \subseteq HxG$ i.e. $y \in JU_xK$ this means there exist $u \in U_x, k \in K$ and $j \in J$ s.t. $y = juk$ and $u = j^{-1}yk^{-1} \in U_x$ then $HyG \cap U_x \neq \emptyset$ hence, x is a limit point of HyG and $x \in HyG$ then HxG is b_1 -minimal compact subset of X .

\leftarrow Let HxG be a b_1 -minimal compact subset of X . Let U_x be a nbh of x in X . Since $HxG \subseteq HxG \subseteq HUG$ then there exist a finite sets $E \subseteq G, F \subseteq H$ s.t. $HxG \subseteq FUE$. Hence, HUG is an open cover HxG then its contain a finite subcover FUE .

For every $g \in G$ and $h \in H$ there exist a $u \in U, f \in F$ and $e \in E$ s.t. $hxg = fue$.

Let $A = \{g \mid hxg \in U\}$, $B = \{h \mid hxg \in U\}$ we prove A and B are right, left syndatic sets respectively. Since $hxg = fue$ this leads to $f^{-1}hxge^{-1} = u$ then $f^{-1}hxge^{-1} \in U$

and $g \in AE$ and $h \in FB$ then $G = AE, H = FB$. Since E and F are finite set then A is left syndatic set and B is right syndatic set.

Then x is b_1 -almost periodic point.

Definition 5: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G and $x \in X$ then x is called b_2 -almost periodic point (b_2) if for every nbh U of x there exist a left semi-syndatic set $A \subseteq G$ and right semi-syndatic set $B \subseteq H$ s.t. $BxA \subseteq U$.

Proposition 4: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, X is T_2 locally semi-compact space and $x \in X$ is b_2 point then $sel(HxG)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X .

Proof: Similarly of first part of proposition 3 by supposing $x \in X$ is a b_2 point and using the statement "every nbh is semi-nbh and change compact set by semi-compact".

Proposition 5: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, and $sel(HxG)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X , then $x \in X$ is b_2 .

Proof: Similarly of second part of proposition 3.

Definition 6: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G and $x \in X$ then x is called b_3 -almost periodic point (b_3) if for every nbh U of x there exist a left semi-syndatic set $A \subseteq G$ and right syndatic set $B \subseteq H$ s.t. $BxA \subseteq U$.

Proposition 6: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, X is T_2 locally compact space and $x \in X$ is b_3 point then HxG is b_1 -minimal compact subset of X .

Proof: Similarly of first parts of proposition 5 by use the statement in introduction "every semi-syndatic set is syndatic".

Proposition 7: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, and $sel(HxG)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X , then $x \in X$ is b_3 .

Proof: Similarly of second part of proposition 3 by use the statement "every open set is semi-open".

The definition of b_4 point (b_4 -almost periodic point) is similar of b_3 point by suppose A is left syndatic set and B is right semi-syndatic set and we can satisfy propositions 6,7 by use it.

Definition 7: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G and $x \in X$ then x is called b_5 -almost periodic point (b_5) if for every semi-nbh U of x there exist a left semi-syndatic set $A \subseteq G$ and right semi-syndatic set $B \subseteq H$ s.t. $BxA \subseteq U$.

Proposition 8: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, X is T_2 locally semi-compact space and $x \in X$ is b_5 point then $\text{scl}(HxG)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X .

Proof: Similarly of first parts of proposition 3.

Proposition 9: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, and $\text{scl}(HxG)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X , then $x \in X$ is b_5 .

Proof: Similarly of second part of proposition 3 by suppose $\text{scl}(HxG)$ be a b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X and let U_x be a semi-nbh of x in X

Definition 8: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G and $x \in X$ then x is called b_6 -almost periodic point (b_6) if for every semi-nbh U of x there exist a left syndatic set $A \subseteq G$ and right syndatic set $B \subseteq H$ s.t. $BxA \subseteq U$.

Proposition 10: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, X is T_2 locally semi-compact space and $x \in X$ is b_6 point then $\text{scl}(HxG)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X .

Proof: Let x be a b_6 point, U_x be a semi-nbh semi-compact of x then there exist a left syndatic set $A \subseteq G$ and right syndatic set $B \subseteq H$ s.t. $BxA \subseteq U_x$. Since A, B are syndatic set this means there exist two compact set $K \subseteq G, J \subseteq H$ s.t. $G=AK, H=JB$ and

$HxG=JBxA \subseteq JK$. By Lemma (3) JK is compact subset of T_2 -space then it is closed set. Hence, $\text{scl}(HxG) \subseteq JK$ and $\text{scl}(HxG)$ is semi-compact.

If $y \in \text{scl}(HxG)$ then $\text{scl}(HyG) \subseteq \text{scl}(HxG)$ i.e. $y \in JK$ this means there exist $u \in U_x, k \in K$ and $j \in J$ s.t. $y=juk$ and $u=j^{-1}yk^{-1} \in U_x$ then $HyG \cap U_x \neq \emptyset$, hence, x is a semi-limit points of HyG and $x \in \text{scl}(HyG)$ then $\text{scl}(HxG)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X .

Proposition 11: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, and $\text{scl}(HxG)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X , then $x \in X$ is b_6 .

Proof: Let $\text{scl}(HxG)$ be a b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X . Let U_x be a semi-nbh of x in X .

Since $HxG \subseteq \text{scl}(HxG) \subseteq HUG$ then there exist a finite sets $E \subseteq G, F \subseteq H$ s.t. $HxG \subseteq FUE$. Hence, HUG is an semi-open cover of $\text{scl}(HxG)$ then its contain a finite subcover FUE .

For every $g \in G$ and $h \in H$ there exist a $u \in U, f \in F$ and $e \in E$ s.t. $hxg=fue$. Let $A=\{g \mid hxg \in U\}, B=\{h \mid hxg \in U\}$ we prove A and B are right, left semi-syndatic sets respectively. Since $hxg=fue$ this leads to $f^{-1}hxge^{-1}=u$ then $f^{-1}hxge^{-1} \in U$ and $g \in AE$ and $h \in FB$ then $G=AE, H=FB$. Since E and F are finite set then A is left semi-syndatic set and B is right semi syndatic set.

Then x is b_6 -almost periodic point.

Definition 9: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G and $x \in X$ then x is called b_7 -almost periodic point (b_7) if for every semi-nbh U of x there exist a left semi-syndatic set $A \subseteq G$ and right syndatic set $B \subseteq H$ s.t. $BxA \subseteq U$.

Proposition 12: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, X is T_2 locally semi-compact space and $x \in X$ is b_7 point then $\text{scl}(HxG)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X .

Proof: Similarly of first part of proposition 10 by suppose A is syndatic set.

Proposition 13: Let (H, X, G) be a T.B.G, and $\text{scl}(H \times G)$ is b_2 -minimal semi-compact subset of X then $x \in X$ is b_7 .

Proof: Similarly of second part of proposition 11.

The definition of b_8 point (b_8 -almost periodic point) is similar of b_7 point by suppose A is left syndatic set and B is right semi-syndatic set and we can satisfy propositions 12,13 by use it.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Kutaibi, S.H. 1998 . On syndatic and semi-syndatic set types of identifications. J. of Science. Coll.

- Education. . Tikrit University. Vol.4, No.3, (59-69).
2. Das, P. 1973. Note on some application of semi-open sets, pro. Math.17, (33-44).
3. Dorsett, C. 1981). Semi -compactness and semi-separation axioms and product spaces, bull Malaysian math. soci(2) 4 pp.21-28.
4. Gottschalk, W.H. and Hedlund, G.A 1955. Topological dynamics. Amer. math. Soci. Colloquium publication vol.36, providence.
5. Levine. N. 1963. Semi-open sets and semi-continuous in topological space, Amer. math. Monthly, 70, 36-41.

في بعض أنواع النقاط الدورية تقريباً في زمر التحويلات التوبولوجية الثنائية

*سليم حسن الكتبي *اسراء منير الناصري

*قسم الرياضيات كلية التربية - جامعة تكريت

الملخص

يقدم البحث بعض الانوع الجديدة من النقاط الدورية تقريباً في الزمر ثنائية التحويل التوبولوجي وتأثيرها على أنواع الاصغرية في الديناميثة. التوبولوجية.