Kinetic, Isotherm and Thermodynamic Studies on the Ciprofloxacin Adsorption from Aqueous Solution Using Aleppo bentonite
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Abstract:
Aleppo bentonite was investigated to remove ciprofloxacin hydrochloride from aqueous solution. Batch adsorption experiments were conducted to study the several factors affecting the removal process, including contact time, pH of solution, bentonite dosage, ion strength, and temperature. The optimum contact time, pH of solution and bentonite dosage were determined to be 60 minutes, 6 and 0.15 g/50 ml, respectively. The bentonite efficiency in removing CIP decreased from 89.9% to 53.21% with increasing Ionic strength from 0 to 500mM, and it increased from 89% to 96.9% when the temperature increased from 298 to 318 K. Kinetic studies showed that the pseudo second-order model was the best in describing the adsorption system. The adsorption equilibrium data is better represented by the Langmuir isotherm, and the maximum adsorption capacities of CIP were defined as 243.9, 270.27, 285.71 mg/g at 298, 308 and 318 K, respectively. Thermodynamic parameters were figured out showing that the adsorption was spontaneous and endothermic according to the negative values of \(\Delta G^\circ\) and positive value of \(\Delta H^\circ\) respectively. Based on these results, Aleppo bentonite seems to be an effective raw material for CIP adsorption and removal from aqueous solutions.
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Introduction:
Antibiotics have been accounted as pollutants that were getting more and more important since the last twenty years \(^1\), \(^2\). They constituted of critical compounds within the environmental pollutants that are difficult to remove in the water systems \(^2\), \(^3\). These compounds can be found in the environment mostly as a result of their use in human and veterinary medicine, and the incompetence of wastewater treatment units in removing them. They have been grouped as pollutants with priority risk due to their toxicological influence on aquatic organisms even at low concentrations, in addition to their effect on inducing resistance in some strains of bacteria \(^3\), \(^4\).

Ciprofloxacin (CIP; \(C_17H_{18}O_3N_3F\)) is a synthetic compound that belongs to a group of antibiotics called fluoroquinolones (FQs), and widely used of human health in many countries \(^5\). It can be discharged into aquatic systems coming from municipal or hospital wastewater because of its deficient metabolism in humans, or from discharges of pharmaceutical industries, as conventional water treatments cannot remove CIP \(^6\). CIP has been found at concentrations within range of 0.01–0.03 mg/L in wastewater effluents\(^7\). Higher concentrations (up to 50 mg/L and 150 \(\mu\)g/L) were observed in wastewater from industrial effluent and hospital effluent respectively \(^8\), \(^9\).

The occurrence of CIP in the environment in considerable concentrations with its characteristics including persistency and potency to induce antibiotic resistance like other antibiotic compounds pushed hard to treat CIP in aquatic bodies \(^10\).
Among different methods investigated to remove CIP from contaminated water including oxidation \(^11\), ozonation, oxidation by chlorination...
and biological treatment, adsorption stands out as a very desirable and promising method because of its efficiency, affordability and ease of implementation with remarkable ease of design and operation compared to others. Moreover, there are abundant adsorbents with various characteristics.

Among adsorbents, bentonite, has gained great importance because it is an effective, low-cost and eco-friendly adsorbent. The objective of this research is to investigate the capability of Aleppo bentonite, for the CIP adsorption from aqueous solution.

Materials and Methods:

Materials
Aleppo Bentonite used as adsorbent was crushed and passed through a sieve to get a homogeneous material. It is mainly constituted of montmorillonite. Some specifications of Aleppo bentonite is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of adsorbent /Aleppo bentonite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cation exchange capacity/CEC</th>
<th>Specific Surface Area</th>
<th>point of zero charge</th>
<th>Suspensions pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSA (EGME1) method</td>
<td>291 m²/g</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Ethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether

Ciprofloxacin hydrochloride with purity (98.9%) was obtained from (ZHEJIANG GUOBANG PHARMACEUTICAL CO, LTD). The molecular structure and characteristics of ciprofloxacin hydrochloride quoted from PubChem, the world’s largest collection of freely accessible chemical information, are shown in Fig.1 and Table 2 respectively. The protonable groups in the CIP structure create two acid dissociation constants / pKa values (6.1 and 8.7), which conform to the carboxylic acid group and the amine group in the piperazine moiety, respectively.

Table 2. Some properties of CIP HCl (PubChem)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCl Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride/ CIP</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>Molar mass</th>
<th>Physical form</th>
<th>CAS Number</th>
<th>Dissociation Constants</th>
<th>Solubility in water (at 20 C°)</th>
<th>Drug class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C17H19ClF3N3O3</td>
<td>367.8 g/mol</td>
<td>Light yellow crystalline powder</td>
<td>86483-48-9</td>
<td>pKa1 = 6.1 (carboxylic group)</td>
<td>pKa2 = 8.7 (nitrogen on piperazinyl)</td>
<td>30 mg/ml</td>
<td>Fluoroquinolone antibiotic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Batch Adsorption Studies

The adsorption studies were conducted in various conditions including different contact times, pH, bentonite dosages, ionic strengths, initial CIP concentrations, and temperatures.

For all experiments, 0.15 g bentonite was scattered in 50 mL CIP solution with a flask of 100 mL wrapped with aluminum foil to avoid photodegradation. The solutions were shaken at 200 rpm for one hour, filtered using buchner funnel with 0.45 μm mesh size filters and analyzed for equilibrium CIP concentration. All experiments were performed twice and the average value was used.

For a kinetic study, 0.15 g of bentonite was put into 50 ml of CIP solution at concentration of 500 mg/L without adjusting the startup (pH=5.5). The adsorption was carried out at 298 K with steady agitation (200 rpm). The contact times for kinetic studies were 5, 15, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180, and 240 min.

For the pH experiments, the initial concentration was 110 mg/L. The pH value was changed in the range of (3-10) using 1 M HCl or 1 M NaOH for pH adjusting.

For the effect of bentonite dosage on the CIP adsorption, dosage was varied from 1 to 20 g/L.

For the effect of temperature was studied at three temperature values (298, 308, and 318 K) by using a water shaker bath. The experimental procedures were the same as those mentioned above and the temperature was controlled.

UV spectrophotometer (UV-1700, SHIMADZU) was used for following CIP concentrations in the residual solutions at 279 nm wavelength. The amount of antibiotic adsorbed on the bentonite (qt) was calculated from the equation (1):

\[ q_t = \frac{c_0 - c_t}{m} \times V \]  

Where \( q_t \) (mg/g) and \( c_t \) (mg/L) are the CIP amount adsorbed and the CIP concentration in solution at
specific time, respectively; \( C_0 \) (mg/L) is the initial concentration of CIP; \( V \) (L) is the volume of CIP solution and \( m \) (g) is the mass of adsorbent/bentonite. The removal percentage (R) was calculated using equation (2): \[ R = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100\% \]  

**Error Analysis**

For the purpose of assessing and comparing the performance of isotherm models, root-mean-square error (RMSE) was also assessed from the equation (3):

\[ RMSE\% = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (\frac{q_{exp} - q_{calc}}{q_{exp}} \times 100)^2} \]  

Where \( q_{exp} \) is the value of \( q_e \) observed in the experiment, \( q_{calc} \) is the value of \( q_e \) predicted by the model and \( n \) is number of data.

**Results and Discussion:**

**Effect of Contact Time**

Adsorption kinetic studies are considered as one of the substantial methods for clarifying the efficiency of an adsorption process and assessing the time required for the complete process. The contact time was changed in the range of (5-240 min). It can be noticed from Fig.2, which shows the effect of time on the removal of CIP, that the initial adsorption rate was rapid and gradually decreased as a result of the reduced number of active sites along the time. The adsorption amount of CIP increased quickly to achieve a maximum adsorption at about one hour of contact time. Therefore, one hour was chosen as the optimum contact time or equilibrium time at which the adsorbed CIP amount is the maximum adsorption capacity of bentonite under the same conditions mentioned above. The removal percentage of CIP increased from 75.01% to 89.5% when contact time increased from 5 min to 60 min, and stayed unchanged for 24 hours.

The comparatively high removal of CIP is explained by the abundant number of vacant adsorption sites for CIP adsorption on bentonite. At certain point, no more CIP is removed from solution, as the desorbed CIP amount is in equilibrium with that being adsorbed.

**Adsorption Kinetics Models**

For the purpose of more evaluation of the CIP adsorption kinetics on the bentonite and characterizing the adsorption process, pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order kinetic models, were employed to fit the experimental data.  

**Lagergren Pseudo-first-order Kinetics**

The pseudo-first-order equation has the following linear form (equation 4): \[ \ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t \]  

Where \( k_1 \) (min\(^{-1}\)) is the rate constant of pseudo-first-order adsorption, \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) (mg/g) are the amount of adsorbed CIP on adsorbent at equilibrium and at time \( t \), respectively. The validity of the pseudo-first-order model is determined by comparing the calculated adsorption capacity (\( q_{e,calc} \)) with the experimental value (\( q_{e,exp} \)). The \( k_1 \) and (\( q_{e,calc} \)) values can be obtained from the slope and intercept of the linear plot of \( \ln (q_e - q_t) \) vs.\( t \), respectively. \[ \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} \]  

Where \( k_2 \) (g/mg min) is the rate constant of pseudo-second-order adsorption, \( h \) (mg/g min) is the initial adsorption rate, \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) (mg/g) are the amount of adsorbed CIP on adsorbent at equilibrium and at time \( t \), respectively. The linear plot of \( t/q_t \) vs. \( t \) enables to obtain \( k_2 \) and (\( q_{e,calc} \)). The pseudo-second-order model suggests that the rate-limiting step may be chemical adsorption with
sharing or exchange of electrons between adsorbent and adsorbate.\textsuperscript{20-22} 

The parameters for mentioned models are listed in Table 3. The pseudo-second-order model had a higher value of correlation coefficient (Fig.3). Furthermore, the theoretical value of $q_t$ was very close to the experimental $q_t$ in the pseudo-second-order model, unlike the first-order model where the two values were significantly different, so it can be concluded that the reaction was not a first-order one despite of the high correlation coefficient.\textsuperscript{19, 23} In conclusion, the above results suggested that the adsorption process was well represented by the pseudo-second-order model, which signified that chemisorption might be the main rate-limiting step of the adsorption process.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** (a) pseudo-first-order, (b) pseudo-second-order kinetic models for the CIP adsorption on Aleppo bentonite surface

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pseudo-first-order</td>
<td>$q_{c,\text{calc}}$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>33.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_1$ (min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudo-second-order</td>
<td>$q_{c,\text{calc}}$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>151.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_2$ (g/mg min)</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$h_1$ (mg/g min)</td>
<td>133.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect of pH**

Among the most critical factors, pH is affecting the adsorption process due to its effect on both the properties of the adsorbent surface and ionic forms of adsorptive in solution. The influence of pH on CIP adsorption onto Aleppo bentonite was assessed at different pH values (3-10) with an initial CIP concentration (110 mg/L). According to the solubility results in previous studies, the CIP does not precipitate at all pH values.\textsuperscript{24} The CIP molecule, as mentioned above (Fig.1), has two acid dissociation constants / pKa (6.1 and 8.7) and therefore, there are three potential ion forms in solution at different pH values,\textsuperscript{21} as the protonation-deprotonation reactions that take place controlling these forms. So, CIP can be present as cation (CIP$^+$), zwitterion (CIP$^z$), or anion (CIP$^-$) under various pH values (Fig. 4a).\textsuperscript{14, 24} In an acidic solution with pH < 6.1, the protonation of the amine group will allow CIP molecules to exist mainly as cations (CIP$^+$). At pH > 8.7, CIP molecules are found as anions (CIP$^-$) as a result of the carboxylic group deprotonation. When the pH is in the range of 6.1 - 8.7, zwitterions forms of CIP molecules prevail in the aqueous solution, which were confirmed by many studies,\textsuperscript{25-27} due to the balance between the charges of the two groups; the negatively charged carboxylate with the protonated positively charged amine group. The obtained results showed, as can be noticed from Fig.4b, that CIP adsorption was very high at low pH values, which can be interpreted by the presence of CIP species in their cationic form preferring the adsorption on negative charged surface of bentonite. Many studies have reported cation exchange as a likely mechanism for CIP adsorption onto montmorillonites.\textsuperscript{24, 28} The highest adsorbed amount was obtained at pH=6, due to presence of CIP$^-$. Indeed, these cationic forms are existed in high concentration at low pH value, but apparently the competition between CIP$^+$ and the H$^+$ for the adsorption sites on the bentonite, made the adsorption lower than the one obtained at pH 6.\textsuperscript{24} The decrease in CIP adsorption after a pH of 6 could be explained by the presence of the zwitterionic and anionic forms of CIP which resulted in repulsive interactions with the bentonite negative surface. At pH=10, there were mainly CPX$^-$ anions in the solution which showed the lowest adsorption.

It is worth mentioning that the major constituent of adsorbent/ Aleppo bentonite (montmorillonite), has two charges, permanent negative charge exhibited by faces (basal planes) which arises from the isomorphic substitution within the adsorbent particles, and variable pH-dependent charge exhibited by the amphoteric edge surfaces.\textsuperscript{29} There is a specific pH at which the net charge on these amphoteric surfaces is zero, which is called "point of zero net proton charge". This point was measured
for Aleppo bentonite in previous study, and found to be 8.7 (Table 1). In fact, these edge surfaces constitute a small fraction of the whole surface area and the relative magnitude of this charge is low and of little importance for cation exchange which is the proposed mechanism by many researchers for CIP sorption onto montmorillonite. Accordingly, the different CIP species at different pH values would be the dominant factor affecting CIP removal.

Figure 4. (a) CIP ionic forms at different pH values (b) Effect of pH [V= 50 ml, m= 0.15 g, CIP\(_{\text{initial}}\)= 110 mg/L, particle size (53-100µm), T= 298 K]

Effect of Adsorbent Dosage

The effect of bentonite dosage on CIP adsorption was investigated at various bentonite doses [0.05, 0.1, 0.125, 0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.5, 0.75, and 1g/50ml] under the same experimental conditions [at pH 5.5, V= 50 ml, CIP\(_{\text{initial}}\)= 500 mg/L, particle size (53-100µm), T= 298 K], in order to find the optimum amount of adsorbent bentonite needed to attain the maximum CIP adsorption. The results indicated that the removal percentage increased gradually with increase in the adsorbent dose (Fig. 5). This may be due to the increasing the surface area of Aleppo bentonite leading to get availability of more active sites on its surface. It was also noticed that the adsorption amount (mg/g) decreased with increase in adsorbent dosage simply because they have an inverse relationship as can be seen in the equation (1) as mentioned above, and the adsorption sites of bentonite at higher dosage was not fully employed in comparison to lower dosage. The better adsorption efficiency was obtained at adsorbent dosage 0.15 g /50 ml. Any significant increase in CIP removal wasn't noticed with the further increase in adsorbent dosage, this may be explained by the overcrowded adsorbent particles, which may cause packed adsorption sites.

Figure 5. Effect of adsorbent/Aleppo bentonite dosage [at pH 5.5, V= 50 ml, CIP\(_{\text{initial}}\)= 500 mg/L, particle size (53-100µm), T= 298 K]

Effect of Initial CIP Concentration

The effect of initial CIP concentration on the removal of CIP was studied in the concentration range (50–1200 mg/L), with similar other experimental conditions. The achieved results showed that CIP removal percentage decreased with increase in CIP concentrations, whereas the adsorption capacities increased (Fig. 6). The removal percentage was 99.3% for initial concentration 50 mg/l and decreased to 74.99% when the initial concentration was increased to 900 mg/L, according to the equilibrium adsorption amount, \(q_e\), increased from 16.55 to 224.97 mg/g. At low initial concentration, there were abundant binding sites on the bentonite surface, and CIP molecules were easily adsorbed on the highly available adsorption sites, whereas at higher initial concentration, the adsorption sites were less available as more binding sites were occupied causing a decrease in CIP removal. It was
noticed that $q_e$ values stayed almost unchanged when the initial concentration was greater than 900 mg/L, as the residual binding sites on bentonite were almost completely occupied. Similar findings were obtained in previous studies.

**Effect of Ionic Strength**

The process of CIP adsorption on montmorillonite (the main component of Aleppo bentonite), seems to be dependent on cation exchange according to previous studies. The effect of ionic strength on CIP adsorption was investigated using electrolyte solution (NaCl) at different concentrations (0-500 mM). The effect of NaCl concentrations on CIP adsorption onto Aleppo bentonite is shown in Fig.7.

The results showed that the presence of NaCl electrolyte reduced Aleppo bentonite efficiency in removing CIP, which could be explained by the competition between the cationic form of CIP, which was present in solution at pH > 6.1, and cations from NaCl, for adsorption sites on Aleppo bentonite. The removal percentage of CIP decreased from 89.9% (0 M NaCl) to 53% (at 0.5 M NaCl). The decreased affinity between bentonite and CIP molecules noticed in the presence of NaCl might be influenced by ion exchange or outer-sphere surface complexation mechanism, as these cations (Na$^+$) are more favorable for adsorption due to their small size compared to CIP molecules. Similar results were reported in other studies.

**Effect of Temperature**

The effect of temperature on CIP adsorption onto Aleppo bentonite was studied by choosing three temperature values (298, 308 and 318 K). The adsorption capacities at each temperature value were calculated, and the results listed in Fig.8, showed that there was no considerable influence of temperature on CIP removal for lower initial concentrations (between 50-500 mg/L), but the CIP removal might be facilitated at higher temperature for higher initial concentrations, and maximum removal was attained at 318 K, indicating the endothermic nature of CIP adsorption. This behavior may be interpreted by the increase in kinetic energy of CIP molecules which causes increasing the frequency of interfere between the bentonite and CIP molecules that promoting its adsorption on bentonite surface.

**CIP Adsorption Isotherms**

There are various kinds of attractive forces, act in harmony, between adsorbent and adsorbate molecules in the adsorption process, but one may be more prevalent in a special case. An adsorption isotherm is to describe the relationship between the equilibrium concentration of the adsorpive and the
amount of adsorbate on the adsorbent surface at steady temperature. It can be noticed from Fig. 9 that adsorption isotherm of CIP on bentonite is L-shaped isotherm perceived by high affinity between the adsorbent and the adsorptive molecules at low concentrations, which then decreases with increase in concentration.

Experimental isotherm is a useful tool for characterizing the adsorption capacity of different adsorbents and assessing their efficiencies for a given application. The isotherm experimental data were fitted to the linear forms of the most well-known isotherms, Langmuir and Freundlich models to understand the possible mechanisms of CIP adsorption on bentonite, and the nature of interaction.

The Langmuir Model

The Langmuir model assumes homogeneous adsorption with monolayer coverage and uniform energetic adsorption sites. The linear form of Langmuir model is presented by (equation 7):

\[ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_{max}K_L} + \frac{1}{q_{max}}C_e \quad \text{...(7)} \]

Where \( q_e \) (mg/g) is the amount of the CIP adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent, \( C_e \) (mg/L) is the equilibrium CIP concentration in the solution, \( q_{max} \) (mg/g) is the constant related to the maximum monolayer adsorption capacity, and \( K_L \) (L/mg) is the Langmuir constant related to the free energy or net enthalpy of adsorption. The constants \( q_{max} \) and \( K_L \) are obtained from the slope and intercept of the linear plot of \( C_e/q_e \) versus \( C_e \), respectively.

The favorability of adsorption can be determined by the dimensionless parameter ‘\( R_L \)’ which is calculated using Langmuir constant by the (equation 8):

\[ R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_LC_0} \quad \text{...(8)} \]

Where \( C_0 \) (mg/L) is the initial concentration of adsorbate and \( K_L \) (L/mg) is the Langmuir constant described above. The \( R_L \) parameter is considered as more dependable indicator of the adsorption process. It indicates favorable adsorption (0<\( R_L \)<1), unfavorable adsorption (\( R_L \)>1), linear adsorption (\( R_L =1 \)) and irreversible adsorption (\( R_L =0 \)).

The Freundlich Model

The Freundlich isotherm model is proper for multilayer adsorption on a heterogeneous adsorbent surface with sites that have different energies of adsorption. The Freundlich model in linear form is presented by (equation 9):

\[ \log q_e = \log K_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e \quad \text{...(9)} \]

Where \( K_f \) (mg/g) is the constant related to the adsorption capacity and \( n \) is the experimental parameter related to the intensity of adsorption. The 1/n value suggests favorable isotherm (0<\( 1/n \)<1), unfavorable (\( 1/n \)>1), or irreversible (\( 1/n \)=0). The values of \( K_f \) and \( 1/n \) can be determined from the intercept and slope of linear plot of \( \log q_e \) versus \( \log C_e \), respectively.

The results in Table 4 and, Figs. 10 and 11, revealed the applicability of both the Langmuir and Freundlich models with a high correlation coefficients (0.99 and 0.98 respectively), indicating that the CIP adsorption on Aleppo bentonite may be complex interactions. But there is a preference for Langmuir model which represented the adsorption process better than the Freundlich model as the RMSE value for the Langmuir model (1.34) is much lower than that for Freundlich model (11.766). The favorability of the adsorption process according to Langmuir model was assessed by \( R_L \) parameter which was found to be in the range of (0.01-0.27) that suggesting a favorable adsorption process and strong binding between CIP molecules and Aleppo bentonite, and the maximum monolayer adsorption capacity was (\( q_{max} = 243.9 \) mg/g) at 298 K. Furthermore, by applying the Freundlich isotherm, it can be observed that the slope (1/n) values were (0<0.39 - 0.42<1), confirming the favorable adsorption process, and the high value of Freundlich constant \( K_f \) indicated the high adsorption capacity for the bentonite adsorbent.

Figure 9. Adsorption Isotherm of CIP on Aleppo bentonite at different temperatures (298, 308 and 318 K) [ at pH 5.5, V= 50 ml, m=0.15 g, particle size (53-100µm)]
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The thermodynamic parameters are important guide in adsorption process. All of Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G^\circ$ J/mol), enthalpy change ($\Delta H^\circ$ J/mol) and the entropy change ($\Delta S^\circ$ J/mol K) are calculated in this study. The free energy of adsorption ($\Delta G^\circ$) is calculated according to (equation 10) \(^{11, 35}\):

$$
\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K \quad \text{... (10)}
$$

Where R is the gas constant (8.314 J/mol.K), T is the reaction temperature in Kelvin, K is the adsorption Langmuir constant. The relation of $\Delta G^\circ$ to The enthalpy change ($\Delta H^\circ$) and the entropy change ($\Delta S^\circ$) of adsorption is given in (equation 11):

$$
\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ \quad \text{... (11)}
$$

By substituting Eq. 10 into Eq.11, Vant Hoff equation (Eq 12) is resulted \(^{33}\):

$$
\ln K = \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} \quad \text{... (12)}
$$

$\Delta H^\circ$ and $\Delta S^\circ$ can be calculated from the slope and the intercept of the plots of $\ln K$ vs. $1/T$ (Fig. 12) \(^{35, 54}\).

The values of thermodynamic parameters obtained are listed in Table 5. The negative values of the free energy changes $\Delta G^\circ$ indicated that the adsorption is spontaneous process \(^{55}\) with a high affinity of CIP on Aleppo bentonite \(^{5, 56}\). In addition it decreased slightly with an increase in temperature showing increasing adsorption. The positive value of the enthalpy change $\Delta H^\circ$ confirmed the endothermic process of CIP adsorption on Aleppo bentonite \(^{21, 55}\). Furthermore, the value of $\Delta H^\circ$ indicated that CIP adsorption on bentonite is physical process, as it is less than 40 kJ/mol \(^{21, 32}\). However, there might be a conflict between thermodynamics study and kinetics one which indicated that chemisorption might be the main rate-controlling step of the adsorption process, as the adsorption was best fit by the pseudo-second-order kinetic model, but in general, adsorption is a complex multistep process, and a kinetic study provide important insights of the mechanisms, but it is not enough to describe this complex process and confirm the chemisorption mechanism, therefore, further isotherms and thermodynamics evaluations must be done. In addition, it is always remarkable that both physical and chemical forces can, and often do, occur together in the adsorption process \(^{29}\). Indeed, there are many previous studies that have faced such opposite results without mentioning any explanation of these results, but it was proposed and reported by
a few studies that pseudo-second-order model is valid for both chemical and physical process.\(^{57}\)

As cation exchange mechanism was previously reported for CIP adsorption on montmorillonite, it should be noticed that ion exchange is usually referred to as a chemical reaction although it is not in the usual concept, as it falls within electrostatic outer-sphere complexes which belong to the physical forces.\(^{30}\)

The entropy change (\(\Delta S^0\)) is helpful in describing the randomness during adsorption at the solid/liquid interface. The positive value of the entropy change \(\Delta S^0\) signified that there is an increase in the randomness in the adsorption system, confirming the favorable adsorption of CIP onto bentonite.\(^{5,35}\)

### Table 5. Adsorption Energies obtained from Langmuir model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>(K_L) (L/mg)</th>
<th>(K_L) (L/mol)</th>
<th>(\Delta G^°) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>(\Delta H^°) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>(\Delta S^°) (kJ/mol.K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>17561.073</td>
<td>-24.1725</td>
<td>10.486</td>
<td>0.1162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>0.0651</td>
<td>20377.47</td>
<td>-25.3646</td>
<td>10.486</td>
<td>0.1162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>0.0691</td>
<td>22895.66</td>
<td>-26.4942</td>
<td>10.486</td>
<td>0.1162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 12. The fitting plot of thermodynamic model using Langmuir constant

In order to assess the efficiency and feasibility of Aleppo bentonite in CIP removal from aqueous solution, it may be appropriate to compare its maximum adsorption capacity value with those reported in previous studies for other adsorbents. A comparison between Aleppo bentonite and other adsorbents with regard to their CIP adsorption capacities is presented in Table 6. Aleppo bentonite, based on this comparison, has much higher adsorption capacity for CIP than the most of the studied adsorbents, and it can be a good choice as an efficient, low cost and eco-friendly adsorbent.

### Table 6. CIP maximum adsorption capacities for different adsorbents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Montmorillonite</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified coal fly ash</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemically prepared carbon (wet and dry)</td>
<td>133.3&amp;125</td>
<td>(27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>231±6</td>
<td>(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon xerogel</td>
<td>112±8</td>
<td>(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon nanotubes</td>
<td>135±2</td>
<td>(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>147.06</td>
<td>(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/C)</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>(55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified flax noil cellulose</td>
<td>238.7</td>
<td>(21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazelnut based activated carbon</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>(44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bamboo charcoal</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red mud</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red mud</td>
<td>25.44</td>
<td>(32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated red mud</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>(32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMS-1/L-(\text{Cystein/Fe}_3\text{O}_4)(KCF)</td>
<td>181.32</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schorl</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>(54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochar (rice straw)</td>
<td>131.58</td>
<td>(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halloysite nanotubes</td>
<td>25.09</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{SiO}_2) nanoparticle</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>(47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw oat hulls</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated oat hulls</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesized Nanoceria</td>
<td>49.38</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeriva activated carbon (40 °C)</td>
<td>335.8</td>
<td>(53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum-Pillared Kaolin Sodium Alginate Beads /(\text{CA-Al-CAB}_1) (308.15 K)</td>
<td>68.36</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural bentonite</td>
<td>126.6</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid activated bentonite</td>
<td>305.2</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentonite from Aleppo (298 K)</td>
<td>243.9</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion:
This study revealed that Aleppo bentonite can be an efficient adsorbent for CIP removal from aqueous solution, with a relatively high adsorption capacity (up to 243 mg/g at 298 K) compared to CIP adsorption capacities for the previously studied adsorbents. Batch adsorption experiments conducted to study the various parameters affecting the removal process showed that the optimum contact time, pH of solution and bentonite dosage were 60 minutes, 6 and 0.15 g/50 ml, respectively. The bentonite efficiency in removing CIP decreased from 89.9% to 53.21% with the increase in ionic strength from 0 to 500mM. Kinetic modeling results showed that the CIP adsorption kinetics performance was best characterized by the pseudo second-order model, while the adsorption isotherm data revealed the applicability of both the Langmuir and Freundlich models to the adsorption equilibrium data with preference for the Langmuir isotherm which better represented the adsorption process and the maximum adsorption capacities of CIP were defined as 243.9, 270.27, 285.71 mg/g at 298, 308 and 318 K, respectively. Both values of R_E and 1/n parameters which were found to be in the range of (0.01-0.27) and (0.39-0.42), respectively, indicated the favorable adsorption process. The CIP removal percentages might be facilitated at higher temperature for higher initial concentrations, as the removal percentage increased from 89% to 96.9% when the temperature increased from 298 to 318 K for CIP concentration 500 mg/L. The thermodynamics study for the CIP–Aleppo bentonite system revealed that CIP adsorption process is physical in nature, as the value of ΔHº was less than 40 kJ/mol. The adsorption process was endothermic and spontaneous indicated by the positive value of ΔHº and negative values of ΔGº, respectively. The positive value of the entropy change ΔSº signified an increase in the randomness in the adsorption system at the bentonite-solution interface, confirming the favorable adsorption of CIP onto Aleppo bentonite.
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