DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2022.7296

Investigation of the Electron Coefficients of (Ar, He, N₂, O₂) Gases in the Ionosphere

Aseel A. Temur^{1, 2}

Ala F. Ahmed*1🕩

¹ Department of Astronomy and Space, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq ² Radiology and Sonar Techniques Department, Al- Esraa University College, Baghdad, Iraq *Corresponding author: <u>ala.ahmed@sc.uobaghdad.edu.iq</u> E-mail addresses: <u>aseelastrophd@gmail.com</u>

Received 6/4/2022, Accepted 4/9/2022, Published Online First 25/11/2022, Published 5/12/2022

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>.

Abstract:

In this study, the electron coefficients; Mean energy $\langle \epsilon \rangle$, Mobility (μ N) and Drift velocity (Vd) of different gases Ar, He, N₂ and O₂ in the ionosphere have been calculated using BOLSIG+ program to check the solution results of Boltzmann equation results, and effect of reduced electric field (E/N) on electronic coefficients. The electric field has been specified in the limited range 1-100 Td. The gases were in the ionosphere layer at an altitude frame 50-2000 km. Furthermore, the mean energy and drift velocity steadily increased with increases in the electric field, while mobility was reduced. It turns out that there is a significant and obvious decrease in mobility as a result of inelastic collisions and in addition little energy gained by the reduced electric field. A clear mathematical model was obtained to find out the electronic coefficient values without a simulation program (BOLSIG +). In addition, this model shows a strong correlation between the current work and the electronic transaction values calculated through the BOLSIG+ program.

Keywords: Boltzmann equation, Drift velocity, Electron mobility, Gas discharge, Ionosphere, Mean energy.

Introduction:

Plasma is a quasi-neutral gas composed of positively and negatively charged particles that collide or ionize with neutral particles ¹⁻². There are different applications of plasma such as solar cells, optical reagents, biomedical, optoelectronic devices and Ozone generation by micro plasma ³⁻⁶. The ionosphere layer in the upper atmosphere is a primary source of a real ionization neutral particles plasma ⁷⁻⁸. The ionosphere is made up of different lavers due to the different wavelengths in the sun's ultraviolet spectrum ⁹. During the day, the solar flux and electron density increase in the ionosphere. This allows the diffusion of higher frequencies for long distances, but at night, when the solar flux is low, radio energy is absorbed very little and lower frequencies are propagated stronger 10. The word "Discharge" refers to any passage of electric field within an ionized gas and emits energy in the form of light ¹¹. Emphasis was placed on how O₂ in the mixture would affect the resolved transport data and derive the transport properties that should be incorporated into the flow and discharge fluid

model by considering the range (E/n): 0.01-1000 Td ¹². Electron transfer properties of a helium-xenon mixture at a reduced electric field E/N ~15 Td were calculated ¹³. The kinetic properties of electron drift in argon at reduced electric fields E/N = (1-100) Tdwere investigated 14. BOLSIG+ was used to calculate the electronic coefficients and collapse voltage gradient for the environment of the planetary System, as well as solve the Boltzmann equation ¹⁵. The ionosphere's layers differ due to different wavelengths in the sun's ultraviolet spectrum ⁹. Earth's ionosphere is made up of three parts: D (50-90) km, E (90-150) km, and F (150-500 km)¹². During day time, The F layer is divided into D regions (50-90) km, E region (90-150) km, and F region (150-500) km¹⁶. During the day, the F layer is frequently divided into sub-layers known as F1 (150-250) km and F2 (250-500) km, which are then combined into a single layer at night. The F region is thicker than the E region and more ionized, with maximum electron density in the 200400 km range. The atomic species O+ and O predominate in this region ¹⁷.

At an altitude of 60-300 km, ionization results from the absorption of solar energy by neutral gases, the most important of which is atomic oxygen (O, ionized to O+). Hydrogen (H, to H+) and nitrogen monoxide (NO, to NO+) are two other gases that can be ionized. As altitude decreases towards the Earth's surface, solar radiation encounters an increasing number of neutral atmospheric particles, resulting in increased absorption while decreasing radiation intensity. This results in a layer of maximum ionization, which typically occurs at altitudes between 200 and 400 km¹⁸.

BOLSIG + is an excellent application for solving the Boltzmann equation for electrons in **a** gas with low ionization in regular electric fields, which is seen in a majority of cold plasma when the energy distribution of electrons is calculated by an equilibrium between acceleration in the electric field, momentum, and power loss in collisions of gas molecules. BOLSIG+ solves the electron Boltzmann equation for field equilibrium with a homogeneous electric field and density, no boundaries, and a steady state ¹⁹. The aim of this paper is to estimate the mathematical model that aptly depicts the activity of electronic coefficients by varying the reduced electric field.

Calculation and Methods: Boltzmann Equation

Equation. 1 explains the characteristics of ions in gases by the phase space functional form f (r, c, t)²⁰⁻²¹.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + C.\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial E}{m}.\frac{\partial f}{\partial c} = -J(f, f_0) \qquad 1$$

Where e, m, t, r, c are the electron's charge, electron's mass, time, position and velocity coordinate respectively. The electric field is assumed spatially homogeneous with magnitude E. $J(f, f_0)$ indicating the change rate for *f* because they collide with uncharged particles only¹².

Transport coefficients

It is the process of transporting charged particles in a gas. The mathematical expressions used for calculating the output parameters are BOLSIG +, and they are somewhat clear with some additional brief explanations. As well as getting to know the mathematical symbols. It should be noted that all transfer coefficients are multiplied or divided by the product of BOLSIG + with N (total gas number density). This coefficient resulted in a reduction independent of N. All units are with SI units²⁰.

Mean energy

The mean energy of electrons is field-dependent, and the value of the energy of the "mean" electron corresponds to energy gains compensated for by losses ²².

$$<\varepsilon>=\int\int_{0}^{\infty}\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}f\mathrm{d}\varepsilon$$
 2

Where: *ε*: Electron mean energy at eV. **Mobility** *N

It indicates the velocity of the electron in the plasma and depends on the velocity of drift and decreases with the increase in collisions due to the electron losing its energy upon collision ²³.

$$(\mu N) = -\frac{\gamma}{3} \int_0^\infty \frac{\varepsilon}{\varrho} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \varepsilon} d\varepsilon \qquad 3$$

Where: μ at (1/m/V/s): Mobility of electron, N : Number of particles, γ : constant coefficient, = (2e/me)1/2, me in kg: Electron mass, f_0 : electron energy probability function" (EEPF), ϱ in m²: Effective total momentum-transfer cross section, including super elastics and electron-ion Coulomb collisions, if activated. In the case of PT growth model, including also effects of creation or loss

Drift velocity

Drift velocity Vd is the greatest electronic transport parameter used to describe the behavior of electrons in gas, this parameter is determined by the type of gas and the value of the electric field reducer E / N^{21} , which is an important parameter in the swarm, as it is useful for controlling energy and used as well to describe the conductivity of a weakly ionized gas ²⁴.

 $Vd = \mu E$ Where: E: Electric field at Td 4

Influence of reduced electric field on mean energy

From Fig.1, (E/N) affects obviously on $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ of electrons for different gases He, N₂, O₂ and Ar, because the reduced electric field affects the crosssectional area, there is an increase in mean energy with an increase in E/N, and as a result, the rate of elastic and inelastic collisions increases, resulting in an increase in E/N ¹⁸. In other words, the mean energy increases as the reduced electric field increases due to elastic collisions and electrons gaining energy due to the electric field. We can also see from the figure that the He element has the highest mean energy value due to the effect of the higher elastic collisions, whereas this effect is less for N element, as the mean energy values are less than possible.



Figure 1. The relationship between the mean energy and increase electric field (E/N) for different gases He, N₂, O₂ and Ar in Earth's ionosphere.

Mean energy modeling

Fig. 2, depicts a high fitting/matching ratio between the original data (utilizing Bolsig+ program) and our simulation model (utilizing Origin program) that indicates the general behavior of the mean energy for Ar gas in the Earth's ionosphere with increasing electric field (E/N).

This relationship is described by Equation 5 with values of the constants and percentage of highest match mentioned in Table. 1:

$$<\epsilon>= Z_1 e^{((-E/N)/t_1)} + Z_2 e^{((-E/N)/t_1)} + I_0$$
 5
Where, E/N= (1-100) Td

Table 1. Show the relationship between constants Z_1 , Z_2 , I_0 , t_1 and t_2 and the mean energy $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ for Ar gas, where represented by Eq. 5.

| Ai gas, where represented by Eq. 5. | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| | $< \epsilon > (eV)$ for Ar | | |
| Adj.R-Square | 99.997 % | | |
| Z_1 | -2.79454 | t_1 | 94.46225 |
| Z_2 | -4.95459 | t_2 | 1.61524 |
| \mathbf{I}_0 | 7.89981 | | |

According to Fig.2, a high fitting/matching ratio between the original data (utilizing Bolsig+ program) and our simulation model (utilizing Origin program) indicates the general behavior of the mean energy for He, N_2 and O_2 gases in the Earth's ionosphere with increasing electric field (E/N)

This relationship is described by Eq. 6 with values of constants and the percentage of the highest match mentioned in Table. 2:

$$<\epsilon>= B_{0} + B_{1}\left(\frac{E}{N}\right) + B_{2}\left(\frac{E}{N}\right)^{2} + B_{3}\left(\frac{E}{N}\right)^{3} + B_{4}\left(\frac{E}{N}\right)^{4} + B_{5}\left(\frac{E}{N}\right)^{5} \qquad 6$$

Table 2. Show the relationship between constants B_0 , B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , B_4 and B_5 and the mean energy $\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rangle$, for He, N_2 and O_2 gases, where represented by Eq. 6.

| | $< \epsilon > (eV)$ for He | $< \epsilon > (eV)$ for N ₂ $< \epsilon$ | > (eV) for O ₂ |
|----------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Adj.R- | 99.69 % | 99.69 % | 99.843 % |
| Square | | | |
| \mathbf{B}_0 | -0.12002 | 0.39227 | -0.06551 |
| B_1 | 0.82134 | 0.0845 | 0.23076 |
| B_2 | -0.03187 | -0.00374 | -0.00709 |
| B_3 | 6.13×10 ⁻⁴ | 7.41×10 ⁻⁵ | 1.16×10^{-4} |
| \mathbf{B}_4 | -5.4×10 ⁻⁶ | -6.47×10 ⁻⁷ | -9.36×10 ⁻⁷ |
| B_5 | 1.85×10^{-8} | 2.14×10^{-9} | 2.9×10^{-9} |



Figure 2. Estimated/simulated data (using mathematical model) of the mean energy <ɛ> for Ar, He, N₂ and O₂ gases.

Influence of reduced electric field on mobility

Fig. 3, shows that the electron mobility is inversely proportional to the electric field. We observed that the mobility is low at 0-10 Td, due to the energy loss of electrons in the elastic collisions, but the decrease in mobility from 10-100 Td, is very negligible due to the inelastic collisions²⁵.



Figure 3. The relationship between the electron mobility and increase electric field (E/N) for different gases He, N₂, O₂ and Ar in Earth's ionosphere.

Mobility modeling

According to Fig. 3, a high fitting/matching ratio between the original data (utilizing Bolsig+ program) and our simulation model (utilizing Origin program) indicates the general behavior of the electron mobility for Ar, N_2 and O_2 gases in the Earth's ionosphere with increasing electric field (E/N).

This relationship is described by Eq. 7 with values of constants and percentage of highest match mentioned in Table. 3:

$$\mu N = F_2 + (F_1 - F_2) / (1 + \left(\frac{E/N}{X_0}\right)^P)$$
 7

Table 3. Show the relationship between constants (F_1 , F_2 , X_0 and P) and the electron mobility (μN) for Ar, N_2 , O_2 gases, where represented by Eq. 7.

| | $\mu N (1/m/V/s)$ for Ar | $\mu N (1/m/V/s)$ for N_2 | $\mu N (1/m/V/s)$ for O ₂ |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Adj.R-Square | 99.008 % | 99.008 % | 99.898 % |
| \mathbf{F}_1 | 1.37×10^{27} | 3.75×10^{26} | 2.88×10^{25} |
| F_2 | 7.47×10^{23} | 7.71×10^{23} | 1.71×10^{24} |
| \mathbf{X}_0 | 9.07×10 ⁻⁵ | 9.71×10 ⁻⁵ | 0.63246 |
| Р | 0.687 | 0.51195 | 0.95899 |

According to Fig.3, a high fitting/matching ratio between the original data (utilizing Bolsig+ program) and our simulation model (utilizing Origin program) indicates the general behavior of the electron mobility for He gas in the Earth's ionosphere with increasing electric field (E/N).

This relationship is described by Eq. 8, with values of constants and percentage of highest match mentioned in Table. 4:

$$\mu N = G_1 + (G_2 - G_1) \left[\frac{n}{1 + 10^{\left(u_1 - (\frac{E}{N})\right)C_1}} + \frac{1 - n}{1 + 10^{\left(u_2 - (\frac{E}{N})\right)C_2}} \right] 8$$

Table 4. Show the relationship between constants G_1 , G_2 , u_1 , u_2 , C_1 , C_2 and n and the electron mobility μN for He gas, where represented by Eq. 8.

| -1 | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------|---------|
| $\mu N (1/m/V/s)$ for He | | | |
| Adj.R-Square | 99.98% | | |
| G_1 | -6.8×10^{24} | u_1 | -0.1034 |
| G_2 | 1.3×10^{25} | u_2 | -426.35 |
| C_1 | -0.392 | C_2 | 0.00196 |
| Ν | | 0.494 | |



Figure 4. Estimated/simulated data (using mathematical model) of the electron mobility (μN) for Ar, He, N₂ and O₂ gases.

Influence of electric field on drift velocity

The drift velocities increase as a function of E/N, and at lower E/N, the increase is linear. It is also observed that the drift velocity is lower because the elastic collision cross section is large. Because energy depends on the cross-sectional area of the elastic collision, this effect is also caused by the loss of energy caused by ionization and excitement in gases ²⁶. Fig.5, shows the increasing drift velocity as the electric field increases, where we note that the drift velocity is high at 5 and 20 Td, which is due to elastic collisions, but the drift velocity from 20-100 Td, the increase is progressively due to the inelastic collision. In this figure, we notice that the drift velocity values increase significantly by increasing in (E/N), due to the high impact of elastic collisions as a result of electrons gaining energy. We observed that the He element has the highest drift velocity value at 45- 100 Td due to the effect of higher elastic collisions, whereas this effect is less for Ar because the drift velocity values are less than possible.



Figure 5. The relationship between the drift velocity and increase electric field (E/N) for different gases He, N₂, O₂ and Ar in Earth's ionosphere.

Drift velocity modeling

According to Fig. 6, a high fitting/matching ratio between the original data (utilizing Bolsig+ program) and our simulation model (utilizing Origin program) indicates the general behavior of the electron mobility for Ar, N₂, O₂ gases in the Earth's ionosphere with an increasing electric field (E/N).

This relationship is described by Eq. 9, with values of constants and the percentage of the highest match mentioned in Table. 5:

$$Vd = I_2 + (I_1 - I_2)/(1 + (\frac{E/N}{Q_0})^R) \qquad 9$$

Table 5. Show the relationship between constants I_1 , I_2 , Q_0 and R and the drift velocity (Vd) for Ar, N_2 , O_2 and He gases, where represented by Eq. 9.

| | Vd (V/m) for Ar | Vd (V/m) for N_2 | Vd (V/m) for O ₂ | Vd (V/m) for He |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Adj.R-Square | 99.987 % | 99.991 % | 99.97 % | 99.996 % |
| I_1 | 1.046×10^{24} | 2.13×10^{24} | 1.15×10^{25} | 3.62×10^{24} |
| I_2 | 6.65×10^{27} | 9.12×10^{26} | 7.39×10^{26} | 6.86×10^{30} |
| Q_0 | 18328.3 | 1052.2 | 320.73 | 801481.3 |
| R | 0.846 | 0.87 | 1.006 | 1.136 |





Figure 6. Estimated/simulated data (using mathematical model) of Drift velocity (Vd) for Ar, He, N₂ and O₂ gases.

Conclusion:

This study has proved the value of electronic coefficients shifted due to the change in crosssectional area as the electric field increased. There was a positive relationship between (E/N) and the electronic transport coefficients (mean energy and drift velocity) for Ar, He, N₂ and O₂ gases. A negative correlation between (E/N) and electrons' mobility was explained for all gases. The correlation between drift velocity and the reduced electric field is linear. Logistic and polynomial functions in this research showed a higher matching than other functions.

Acknowledgment:

The cooperation of the staff of Astronomy and Space in the College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq is appreciated.

Authors' declaration:

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- We hereby confirm that all the Figures and Tables in the manuscript are mine ours. Besides, the Figures and images, which are not mine ours, have been given the permission for republication attached with the manuscript.
- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee in University of Baghdad.

Authors' contributions statement:

A. F. A. conceived of the presented idea. All authors contributed to the computational procedure. A. A. T. wrote the manuscript with support from A. F. A. (Supervisor of the results of this work). All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

References:

- 1. Ahmed AF, Aadim KA, Yousef AA,. Spectroscopic study of AL nitrogen plasma produced by DC glow discharge. Iraqi J Sci. 2018; 59(1C): 494-501.
- Mazhir SN, Abdullah NA, Al-Ahmed HI, Harb NH, Abdalameer NK. The effect of gas flow on plasma parameters induced by microwave. Baghdad Sci J. 2018; 15(2): 205- 210.
- Abbas QA, Ahmed AF, Mutlak FA- H. Spectroscopic analysis of magnetized hollow cathode dischajjrge plasma characteristics. Optik. 2021 September; 242: 167260.
- 4. Ahmed AF, Abdulameer MR, Kadhim MM, Mutlak F A-H. Plasma parameters of Au nano-particles ablated on porous silicon produced via Nd-YAG laser at 355 nm for sensing NH₃ gas. Optik. 2022; 249: 168260.
- Aswad MA, Mutlak F AH, Jabir MS, Abdulridha SK, Ahmed AF, Nayef UM. Laser assisted hydrothermal synthesis of magnetic ferrite nanoparticles for biomedical applications. J Phys Conf Ser. 2021; 1795(1): 1-11.
- 6. Deepjyoti M, Ngangom A. A Review on Plasma Ozone Generation Technology and it's application for Environmental Protection, 3rd Nat Conf Recent Adv Sci Technol .2020.
- Al-Ubaidi NM, Gmayhs KH. Day to Day variation of Ionosphere Electron and Ion Temperature during Great and Severe Geomagnetic Storms. Iraqi J Sci. 2015; 56(4A): 2996-3014.
- Hadi KA, Abdulkareem MD. The Suggested Reciprocal Relationship between Maximum, Minimum and Optimum Usable Frequency Parameters Over Iraqi Zone. Baghdad Sci J. 2020; 17(3): 1058-1070.
- Thabit SA, Hadi KA, George LE. Determination of the Annual Optimal Reliable Frequency for Different Transmitter/Receiver Stations Distributed over the Iraqi Territory. Iraqi J Sci. 2021; 62(4): 1386-1395.
- 10. Aziz AZ, Hadi KA. Determination of Ionospheric Parameters Over Iraqi Zone. Iraqi J Sci. 2013; 54(2): 475-484.

- Golyatina RI, Maiorov SA. Characteristics of electron drift in an Ar–Hg mixture. Plasma Phys Rep. 2018; 44(4): 453-457.
- 12. Dujko S, Ebert U, White RD, Petrović ZL. Boltzmann equation analysis of electron transport in a N2–O2 streamer discharge. Jpn J Appl Phys. 2011; 50(8S1): 08JC01.
- Mayorov SA. Electron transport coefficients in a helium-xenon mixture. Bull Lebedev Phys Inst. 2014; 41(10): 285-291.
- Kurbanismailov VS, Maiorov SA, Omarov OA, Ragimkhanov GB, Khalikova ZR. Electron drift characteristics in argon with iron vapor: coefficient of mobility, ionization and runway. J Phys Conf Ser. 2018; 1115(2): 022040.
- 15. Radmilović-Radjenović M, Sabo M, Radjenović B. Transport Characteristics of the Electrification and Lightning of the Gas Mixture Representing the Atmospheres of the Solar System Planets. Atmosphere. 2021; 12(4): 438.
- 16. Mardan MK, Hadi KA. Study the Influence of Solar Activity on the Ionospheric Electron, Ion and Neutral Particle Temperatures over Iraqi Region Using Ionospheric Models. Iraqi J Sci. 2018; 59(1A): 209-217.
- 17. Pau JT-H. Electromagnetic wave interaction with the auroral plasma. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Los Angeles; 2003.
- 18. Wu Z. A study of the F3 layer and ionospheric horizontal gradient observed by the arecibo incoherent scatter radar. Master's thesis, Miami University; 2017.

- Sibanda P. Challenges in topside ionospheric modelling over South Africa: Rhodes University; 2010.
- 20. Hagelaar G, Pitchford L. Solving the Boltzmann equation to obtain electron transport coefficients and rate coefficients for fluid models. Plasma Sources Sci Technol. 2005; 14(4): 722.
- 21. Lloyd WC. Ionospheric Sounding During a Total Solar Eclipse. Master's thesis ,Virginia Tech; 2019.
- 22. Asadullin T, Galeev I. The magnetic field application for the gas discharge plasma control in processes of surface coating and modification. J Phys Conf Ser. 2017; 789(1): 012003.
- 23. Hagelaar G, Pitchford L. Updates on the freeware electron Boltzmann equation solver BOLSIG+. APS Gaseous Electronics Conference. 2013; MR1. 075.
- 24. Mohammed SJ, Khalaf MK, Majeed MA, Jasem HE. Experimental study on the effect of longitudinal magnetic field on Townsend discharge characteristics in low pressure argon gas. Int J Adv Appl Sci. 2017; 4(2): 91-95.
- 25. Vivaldini TC, Lima IB, Gonçalves J AC, Botelho S, Tobias CB, Ridenti MA, et al. A. Measurements of electron drift velocity in pure isobutane. Int Nucl Atl Conf. 2009.
- 26. Ali R A, Mater FS, Al-Ragehey ASJ. Investigating the Electronic Coefficients in Ne-Hg Mixtures: Comparing a Monte Carlo and Artificial Neural Network Model. J Southwest Jiaotong Univ. 2020; 55 (2): 2-7.

التحقق من المعاملات الالكترونية لغازات (O2 ، N2 ، He ، Ar) في طبقة الأيونوسفير الالكترونية

الاء فاضل احمد

اسيل عبد تمر 1,2

¹ قسم الفلك والفضاء، كلية العلوم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق ² قسم تقنيات الاشعة والسونيار، كلية الاسراء الجامعة، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة:

في هذه الدراسة; تم حساب معاملات الإلكترون و متوسط الطاقة <ع >> والتحريكية (μN) وسرعة الانجراف (Vd) لغازات مختلفة Ar، O2 ·N2·He في الغلاف الأيوني باستخدام برنامج + BOLSIG للتحقق من حل نتائج معادلة بولتزمان ، وتأثير المجال الكهربائي المنخفض (E / N) على المعاملات الإلكترونية. تم اختيار المجال الكهربائي في المدى المحدود I-100 Tt. كانت الغازات في طبقة الأيونوسفير على ارتفاع 50-2000 كم. ازداد متوسط الطاقة وسرعة الانجراف بشكل طردي مع زيادة المجال الكهربائي المنخفض انخفاض كبير وواضح في التنقل نتيجة للتصادمات غير المرنة، وزيادة قليلة في الطاقة بسبب المجال الكهربائي المنخفض. تم الخفاض موزج رياضي واضح لمعرفة قيم المعامل الإلكتروني بدون برنامج محاكاة (+ BOLSIG) بالإضافة إلى ذلك، أظهر هذا النموذج ارتباطا قويًا بين العمل الحالي وقيم المعاملات الإلكترونية المحسوبة بواسطة برنامج محاكاة (+ BOLSIG) بالإضافة إلى ذلك، أظهر هذا النموذج ارتباطا

الكلمات المفتاحية: معادلة بولتزمان، سرعة الانجراف، تحركية الإلكترون، تفريغ الغاز، الأيونوسفير، متوسط الطاقة.