

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2023.8421>

## Generalised Henstock - Kurzweil Integral with Multiple Point

T. G. Thange<sup>1</sup> 

S. S. Gangane<sup>\*2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Yogeshwari Mahavidyalaya, Ambajogai, Dist. Beed, Maharashtra State, 431517, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, Maharashtra State, 431004, India.

\*Corresponding Author: [satyapadma.1912@gmail.com](mailto:satyapadma.1912@gmail.com)

E-mail address: [tgthange@gmail.com](mailto:tgthange@gmail.com)

ICAAM= International Conference on Analysis and Applied Mathematics 2022.

Received 21/1/2023, Revised 27/2/2023, Accepted 28/2/2023, Published 4/3/2023



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Abstract:

This paper deals with a new Henstock-Kurzweil integral in Banach Space with Bilinear triple n-tuple and integrator function  $\Psi$  which depends on multiple points in partition. Finally, exhibit standard results of Generalized Henstock - Kurzweil integral in the theory of integration.

**Keywords:** Bilinear n tuple, Banach space, Henstock - Kurzweil Integral, Integral of Riemann, Stieltjes Integral.

### Introduction:

In <sup>1</sup> A.G. Das and Sahu firstly introduce the definition of the generalized Henstock - Stieltjes integral, which integral also known as the  $HS_k$  integral.  $HS_k$  Integral includes the  $LS_k$  integral it shows in <sup>2</sup> by Sandhya Bhattacharya and A.G. Das. In the Literature, many moderations and generalizations of Riemann integral, Lebesgue integral, Denjoy - Perron integral, Stieltjes integral, Mc-shane integral and  $HK$  integral by number of authors <sup>3-6</sup>. By taking the motivation from that all previous literature <sup>7-9</sup>, and by study of the Henstock- Kurzweil integral with multiple points and taking the integrator function  $\Psi$  in Banach space of Bilinear for n-tuples. Purpose of this paper is to introduce the Generalized  $HK$  integral that is  $GH_k$  which is the countable extension of Generalized Stieltjes integral. In this paper generalized the Henstock -Kurzweil integral  $\int_p^q f d\psi$ . Here took  $f: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow X^n$  and  $\psi: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow Y^n$  where,  $k > 0$  and  $X, Y$  are the Banach Spaces. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be fixed real numbers and  $k$  is positive integer,  $f: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and for  $k + 1$  distinct points  $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\} \in [p, q]$ . The function  $f$  is defined such that

$$Z_k(f; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{f(\alpha_i)}{\prod_{i=0, j \neq i}^k (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}.$$

$f$  is called  $k$  - convex on  $[p, q]$  if  $Z_k(f; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \geq 0$  for all  $k + 1$  distinct points like  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$  which is belongs to  $[p, q]$ . Purpose of this paper is to introduce the Generalized  $HK$  integral that is  $GH_k$  with bilinear map for  $n$  - tuple which is the countable extension of Generalized Stieltjes integral.

### Some Definition and Elementary Properties

This section is about the study bilinear map for  $n$  - tuple and given norm on that set,

#### Definition 1: Bilinear Map with $n$ Dimension

A bilinear mapping  $B$  is a mapping which is linear with respect to  $n$  - variables. A bilinear triple is a set of three Banach spaces  $X, Y, Z$  with a bilinear  $B: X^n \times Y^n \rightarrow Z^n$  and define  $\alpha \cdot \beta = B(\alpha, \beta)$  for  $\alpha \in X^n$  and  $\beta \in Y^n$  where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$ .  $B = (B, X, Y, Z)$  formed by three Banach Spaces  $X, Y, Z$  with  $n$  tuple and a bilinear map.

$B: X^n \times Y^n \rightarrow Z^n$  and denote norm on the Banach space  $X$  by  $\|\cdot\|_{X^n}$  similarly can be denote  $\|\cdot\|_{Y^n}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{Z^n}$  throughout all this paper consider  $\|f \Psi\|_{Z^n} \leq \|f\|_{X^n} \cdot \|\Psi\|_{Y^n}$ , where  $f \in X^n$  and  $\Psi \in Y^n$ , where;  $X^n = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n / \alpha \in X)\}$  and  $Y^n = \{\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n / \beta \in Y)\}$ .

#### Definition 2: Generalise Riemann - Stieltjes Sum

Consider that  $B$  is a bilinear map for  $n$  – tuple. Let  $f: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow X^n$  and  $\Psi: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow Y^n$  be two Banach space – valued functions,  $f$  is a function depend on  $\Psi$  is a function of  $(k + 1)$  variables then the generalise Riemann – Stieltjes sum of  $f$  with respect to  $\Psi$ , for a given  $\xi$  fine partition.  $\tau = \{(\delta_i)[\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}]\}_{i=1}^\tau$  is defined as

$$S(f, \Delta \Psi; \tau) = \sum_{i=0}^{\tau-k} f(\delta_i) \psi(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_{i+k})$$

where  $[\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}], i = 1, 2, \dots, \tau$  be a non-overlapping pairwise intervals and  $\cup_{i=0}^\tau [\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}] \subset [p, q]$ , the  $\{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^\tau$  is said that  $\xi^k$  – fine sub – partition of  $[p, q]$  for each  $\tau_i$  is  $\xi^k$  – fine partition of  $[\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}]$  corresponding Riemann – Stieltjes sum is defined by  $\sum_{i=0}^\tau S(f, \Delta \Psi; \tau)$ .

Consider  $p$  and  $q$  be fixed real numbers and  $p < q$ , let  $k$  be a fixed positive integer. Assume that any system of points in  $[p, q]$  such that  $\alpha_{1,0} < \alpha_{1,1} < \dots < \alpha_{1,k} \leq \alpha_{2,0} < \alpha_{2,1} < \dots < \alpha_{2,k} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_{n,0} < \alpha_{n,1} < \dots < \alpha_{n,k}$  simply the intervals  $[\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  from given system of element.  $\{(\alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) : [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  in  $[p, q]$ . If every  $I = [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}]$  tagged to  $\delta_i \in [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}]$  with interior points  $\alpha_{i,1} < \alpha_{i,2} < \dots < \alpha_{i,k-1}$ . This system is called tagged elementary  $k$  – system and written as  $\{(\delta_i, \alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) : [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Definition 3: Generalise Henstock – Kurzweil Integral of Bilinear n – Tuple Map**

Consider that  $B$  is a bilinear map for  $n$  – tuple. Let  $f: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow X^n$  and  $\Psi: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow Y^n$  be two Banach space – valued functions, can say that  $f$  is generalised  $HK$  integrable with respect to  $\Psi$  on  $[p, q]$  if there exist a gauge on  $\xi$  on  $[p, q]$  such that  $\|S(f, \Delta \Psi; \tau) - A\|_{Z^n} < \epsilon$  for any  $\xi$ - fine tagged  $k$  – partition.  $\tau = \{(\delta_i, \alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) : [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  where

$$S(f, \Delta \Psi; \tau) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\delta_i) \Psi(\delta_i, \alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - \Psi(\delta_i, \alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1})$$

The integral  $A \in X^n$  is called the  $GH_k$  integral of  $f$  on  $[p, q]$  with respect to  $\Psi$  and  $(f, \Psi) \in GH_k([p, q]: Z^n)$  and the value of the integral is denoted by  $(GH_k) \int_p^q f d\psi = A$ .

**Remark 1:** If  $f: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow X^n$ ,  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$  and  $\Psi: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow Y^n$ ,  $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_n)$  is generalise  $HK$  integrable if and

only if each element  $\Psi_m, f_m, m = 1, 2, \dots, n$  is generalised  $HK$  integrable.

**Theorem 1:** Consider that  $B$  is a bilinear map for  $n$  – tuple of the function  $f: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow X^n$  is  $GH_k$  integrable with respect to  $\Psi: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow Y^n$  then the integral is unique.

**Proof:** By considering above Remark is acceptable for function  $\Psi: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow Y^n$ , define  $U$  be set of all  $S \in Y^n$  which are in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In  $[p, q]$  assume  $\tau_0$  is random  $\xi^k$  partition. In  $[p, q]$  considering theory for each fine  $\xi^k$  partition and write

$$\begin{aligned} S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_0) - \epsilon < S(f, \Psi; \tau) < S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_0) + \epsilon \\ \text{therefore} \quad (-\infty, S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_0) - \epsilon) \subset U \subset (-\infty, S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_0) + \epsilon) \text{ and set of } U \text{ is non-empty and bounded above, consequently } SupU \text{ exists and } (S(f, \Delta \Psi; \tau_0) - \epsilon) \subset SupU \subset (S(f, \Delta \Psi; \tau_0) + \epsilon) \text{ so that every tagged } \xi \text{ – fine } k \text{ – partition } \tau \text{ of } [p, q] \\ \|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau) - SupU\|_{Z^n} \leq \|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau) - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_0)\|_{Z^n} + \|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_0) - SupU\|_{Z^n} < 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$  and  $GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi = SupU$ .

**Theorem 2:** If  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$  then for every  $[r, t] \subset [p, q], f, \Psi \in GH_k([r, t], Z^n)$ .

**Proof:** Assume any two  $\xi$  – fine tagged partitions  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  of  $[r, t]$ ,

$$\tau_j = \{(\delta_{i,1}^j, \alpha_{i,1}^j, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}^j) : [\alpha_{i,0}^j, \alpha_{i,k}^j], i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_j\} = r\alpha_{n_j k}^j = t$$

for  $j = 1, 2$ , consider  $p < r < t < q$ . Let  $\tau_3 = \{(\delta_{i,1}^3, \alpha_{i,1}^3, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}^3) : [\alpha_{i,0}^3, \alpha_{i,k}^3], i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_j\} \alpha_{1,0}^3 = p\alpha_{m,k}^3 = r$ ,

$\xi^k$  – partition of  $[p, r]$ , so  $\tau_4 = \{(\delta_{i,1}^4, \alpha_{i,1}^4, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}^4) : [\alpha_{i,0}^4, \alpha_{i,k}^4], i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_j\} \alpha_{1,0}^4 = t\alpha_{p,k}^4 = q$ ,

be  $\xi$  – fine tagged partition of  $[t, q]$ . Clearly the union  $\tau_3 \cup \tau_1 \cup \tau_4$  personify  $\xi$  – fine tagged  $k$  – partition  $\tau'_1$  of  $[p, q]$  on the same path  $\tau_3 \cup \tau_2 \cup \tau_4$  personify  $\xi$  – fine tagged  $k$  – partition  $\tau'_2$  of  $[p, q]$ , by using Theorem 2

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau'_1) - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau'_2)\|_{Z^n} < \epsilon, \text{ then,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_1) - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_2)\|_{Z^n} &= \|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau'_1) - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau'_2)\|_{Z^n} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

therefore by (Theorem 1)  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$ .

**Theorem 3:** Assume  $B$  is bilinear map for  $n$  tuple, if  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$  and if  $p < r < q$  then  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, r], Z^n), f, \Psi \in GH_k([r, q], Z^n)$  and

$$GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi = GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi + GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi.$$

**Proof:** By considering above theorem  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, r], Z^n)$  and  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([r, q], Z^n)$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  define a positive function  $\xi: [p, q] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that for every  $\xi$  - fine tagged  $k$  - partition  $\tau_1$  of  $[p, q]$  and  $\tau_2$  of  $[r, q]$  and as a consequence  $\tau = \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  of  $[p, q]$ ,

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_1) - GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi\|_{Z^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_2) - GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi\|_{Z^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_3) - GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi\|_{Z^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

then,

$$\|GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi + GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi - GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi\|_{Z^n} \leq \|GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_1)\|_{Z^n}$$

$$+ \|GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_2)\|_{Z^n}$$

$$+ \|GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_3)\|_{Z^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$$

$$\text{for arbitrary } \epsilon > 0 \text{ then } GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi = GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi + GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi.$$

**Theorem 4:** Assume  $B$  is a bilinear map for  $n$  - tuple and  $r \in [p, q]$  and let  $J(f, r)$  exists, if  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, r], Z^n)$  and  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([r, q], Z^n)$  then  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$  and  $GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi = GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi + GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi.$

**Proof:** Since  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, r], Z^n)$  and  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([r, q], Z^n)$ , then by definition for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\xi_1: [p, r] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $\xi_2: [r, q] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  any fine  $\xi_1^k$  - partition  $\tau_1$  of  $[p, r]$  and any fine  $\xi_2^k$  - partition  $\tau_2$  of  $[r, q]$ ,

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_1) - GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi\|_{Z^n} < \epsilon$$

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_2) - GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi\|_{Z^n} < \epsilon$$

since;  $J(f, r)$  exists there is  $\eta > 0$  such that for  $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\cup_j - r| < \eta$  for  $\|f(r; \cup_1, \cup_2, \dots, \cup_k) - J(f, r)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$

define a positive function  $\xi$  on  $[p, q]$  by

$$\begin{cases} \min(\xi_1(\alpha), r - \alpha) & \text{if } p \leq \alpha \leq r \\ \min(\xi_2(\alpha), \alpha - r) & \text{if } p \leq \alpha \leq r \\ \min(\xi_1(\alpha), \xi_2(\alpha), \eta) & \text{if } \alpha = r \end{cases}$$

consider any  $\xi$ -fine tagged partition  $\tau = \{(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}): [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  of  $[p, q]$  denote approximating sum

$$S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_{i,j}; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1})]$$

$$+ [f(r, \alpha_{m,1}, \dots, \alpha_{m,k}) - f(r; \alpha_{m,0}, \dots, \alpha_{m,k-1})] + \sum_{i=m+1}^n [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1})]$$

constructing  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  and by using (1)

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau) - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_1) - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_2)\|_{Z^n} = \| [f(r, \alpha_{m,1}, \dots, \alpha_{m,k}) - f(r; \alpha_{m,0}, \dots, \alpha_{m,k-1})]$$

$$- [f(r, \beta_{m,1}, \dots, \beta_{m,k}) - f(r; \beta_{m,0}, \dots, \beta_{m,k-1})]$$

$$- [f(r, \gamma_{m,1}, \dots, \gamma_{m,k}) -$$

$$f(r; \gamma_{m,0}, \dots, \gamma_{m,k-1})] \|_{Z^n} < \epsilon,$$

so,

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau) - GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi -$$

$$GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi\|_{Z^n} \leq \|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_1) -$$

$$GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi\|_{Z^n}$$

$$+ \|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_2) - GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi\|_{Z^n}$$

$$+ \|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau) - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_1) - S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_2)\|_{Z^n}$$

$$< 3\epsilon$$

by definition  $\tau$  random fine  $\xi$  -partition of  $[p, q]$ , then observed  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$  and  $GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi = GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi + GH_k \int_r^q f d\Psi.$

## Main Result:

### Theorem 5: Sacks Henstock Lemma

Assume  $B$  is bilinear map for  $n$  - tuple and  $f: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow X^n$ , generalised  $H - K$  integrable,  $f \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$  as  $\epsilon > 0$  consider gauge  $\xi$  of  $[p, q]$ ,  $\xi: [p, q] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is such that for every  $\xi$  - fine tagged  $k$  - partition.  $\tau = \{(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}): [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $[p, q]$ .

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1})] - GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi \right\|_{Z^n} < \epsilon.$$

If  $\{(\eta; \beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,k-1}) : [\beta_{i,0}; \beta_{i,k}], i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  where

$p \leq \beta_{1,0}, \beta_{i-1,k} \leq \beta_{i,0} (i = 2, 3, \dots, m), \beta_{m,k} \leq q$   
represents a  $\xi$  – fine elementary  $k$  – system in  $[p, q]$   
then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [(\eta_i; \beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,k}) - f(\eta_i; \beta_{i,0}, \dots, \beta_{i,k-1})] - GH_k \int_{\beta_{i,0}}^{\beta_{i,k}} f d\Psi \right\|_{Z^n} < \epsilon.$$

**Proof:** If  $\beta_{i,k} < \beta_{i+1,0}$  as  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \beta_{m+1,0} = q$  using (Theorem 2)  $f \in GH_k[\beta_{i,k}, \beta_{i+1,0}]$  for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$  exists gauge  $\xi$  of  $[\beta_{i,k}, \beta_{i+1,0}]$  such that  $\xi_1(\alpha) < \xi(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in [\beta_{i,k}, \beta_{i+1,0}]$ , each fine  $\xi_i^k$  – partition  $\tau^i$  on  $[\beta_{i,k}, \beta_{i+1,0}]$ ,

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau^i) - GH_k \int_{\beta_{i,k}}^{\beta_{i+1,0}} f d\Psi\|_{Z^n} = \frac{\epsilon}{m+1} \quad 2$$

If  $\beta_{i,k} = \beta_{i+1,0}$ , consider  $S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau^i) = 0$ . The equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [(\eta_i; \beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,k}) - f(\eta_i; \beta_{i,0}, \dots, \beta_{i,k-1})] + \sum_i S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau^i)$$

represents a  $GH_k$  sum correlated with certain fine  $\xi^k$  – partition on  $[p, q]$  therefore

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [(\eta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\eta_i; \beta_{i,0}, \dots, \beta_{i,k-1})] + \sum_i S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau^i) - GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi \right\|_{Z^n} < \epsilon. \quad 3$$

Hence in the sense of (Theorem 3) and by using in equalities Eq.2 and Eq.3

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [(\eta_i; \beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,k}) - f(\eta_i; \beta_{i,0}, \dots, \beta_{i,k-1})] - GH_k \int_{\beta_{i,0}}^{\beta_{i,k}} f d\Psi \right\|_{Z^n} \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [(\eta_i; \beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,k}) - f(\eta_i; \beta_{i,0}, \dots, \beta_{i,k-1})] + \sum_i S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau^i) - GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi \right\|_{Z^n} \\ & \quad + \sum_i S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau^i) - GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi \right\|_{Z^n} \\ & \quad + \sum_i S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau^i) - GH_k \int_{\beta_{i,k}}^{\beta_{i+1,0}} f d\Psi \right\|_{Z^n} \\ & < \epsilon + \frac{m}{m+1} < 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 6:** Assume  $B$  is bilinear map for  $n$  – tuple and  $f: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow X^n$ , generalised  $H - K$  integrable,  $f \in ([p, q], Z^n)$  then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  exists gauge on  $\xi_i$  on  $[p, q]$  such that for every  $\xi$  – fine tagged  $k$  – partition

$$\tau = \{(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}): [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

of  $[p, q]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \| [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1})] - GH_k \int_{\alpha_{i,0}}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi \|_{Z^n} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Since  $f \in GH_k[p, q]$ , each  $\epsilon > 0$  exists positive function on  $\xi$  of  $[p, q]$  such that each fine  $\xi^k$  – partition  $\tau = \{(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}): [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $[p, q]$ , by the sense of (Theorem 3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1})] - GH_k \int_{\alpha_{i,0}}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi \right\|_{Z^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Sigma^+$  denotes that part of above sum for which

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) - GH_k \int_{\alpha_{i,0}}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi \right] \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and let  $\Sigma^-$  denotes the left equation is less than zero, using (Theorem 5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1})] - GH_k \int_{\alpha_{i,0}}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi \right\|_{Z^n} = \Sigma^+ - \Sigma^- \\ & = \Sigma^+ - \Sigma^- \leq \|\Sigma^+\|_{Z^n} + \|\Sigma^-\|_{Z^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

### Theorem 7: Cauchy Criterion

Assume  $B$  is bilinear map for  $n$  – tuple. Let  $f: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow X^n$  and  $\Psi: [p, q]^{k+1} \rightarrow Y^n$  be two Banach space valued functions, where  $k > 1$  be such that  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$  for every  $r \in ([p, q], Z^n)$  and let  $\lim_{r \rightarrow q} GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi = A$  exists finitely. If  $J^-(f, q)$  exists finitely then  $f, \Psi \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$  and  $GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi = A$ .

**Proof:** Given  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. There is a number  $\eta_1 > 0$  such that for each  $r \in (q - \eta_1, q)$ .

$$\|GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi - A\|_{Z^n} < \epsilon \quad 4$$

Let  $\{r_\tau\}_{\tau=0}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence in  $[p, q], r_0 = p$  with  $r_\tau \rightarrow q$  so  $f \in GH_k[p, r_\tau]$  each  $\tau = 1, 2, \dots$  so exist gauge  $\xi_r: [p, r_\tau] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that as fine  $\xi^k$  – partition  $\tau_r$  on  $[p, r_\tau]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau_\tau) - GH_k \int_p^{\tau_\tau} f d\Psi\|_{Z^n} &= \frac{\epsilon}{2^{\tau+1}}, \quad \tau \\ &= 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad 5$$

for any  $\delta \in [p, q]$  there exists one  $\tau(\delta) = 1, 2, \dots$  for which  $\delta \in [r_{p(\delta)-1}, r_p(\delta)]$ . So for given  $\delta \in [p, q]$  choose  $\hat{\xi}(\delta) \leq \xi_{\tau(\delta)}$  and  $(\delta - \hat{\xi}(\delta), \delta + \hat{\xi}(\delta)) \cap [p, q] \subset [p, r_\tau(\hat{\xi})]$  consider that  $r \in [p, q]$  and  $\hat{\tau} = \{(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}): [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is fine  $\hat{\xi}^k$ -partition of  $[p, r]$ . Assume  $\tau(\delta_i) = \tau$  then  $[\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}] \subset (\delta_i - \hat{\xi}(\delta_i), \delta_i + \hat{\xi}(\delta_i)) \subset [p, r_\tau]$  also  $[\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}] \subset (\delta_i - \xi_\tau(\delta_i), \delta_i + \xi_\tau(\delta_i))$ . Let

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) - GH_k \int_{\alpha_{i,0}}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi \right]$$

be the sum of those terms in

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau-1} \left[ f(\xi_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) - GH_k \int_{\alpha_{i,0}}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi \right]$$

for a tag  $\delta_i$  satisfy  $\delta_i \in [r_{\tau-1}, r_\tau]$ , which hold by using (Theorem 5)

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) - GH_k \int_{\alpha_{i,0}}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi] \|_{Z^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2^\tau} \quad 6$$

since  $f \in GH_k([p, r], Z^n)$  for every  $r \in [p, q]$  by (Theorem 3),

$$(GH_k) \int_p^r f d\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} GH_k \int_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi$$

so by using Eq.6

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) - GH_k \int_p^r f d\Psi] \|_{Z^n} \\ &= \| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) - GH_k \int_{\alpha_{i,0}}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi] \|_{Z^n} \end{aligned} \quad 7$$

$$\leq \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) - GH_k \int_{\alpha_{i,0}}^{\alpha_{i,k}} f d\Psi] \|_{Z^n}$$

$$< \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^\tau} = \epsilon$$

If  $J^-(f, q)$  existential, there is  $\eta_2 > 0$  such that for every  $q - \eta_2 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < q$  then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(q; t_2, \dots, t_k, q) - \tau(q, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)\|_{Z^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{8} \\ &\text{Let } \eta = \min(\eta_1, \eta_2). \text{ Define gauge } \xi \text{ on } [p, q] \text{ such that } \xi(\delta) = \min(\hat{\xi}(\delta), q - \delta) \text{ if } \delta \in [p, q], \xi(q) < \eta. \text{ By using Eq.4 and Eq.8} \\ &\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau) - A\|_{Z^n} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) + f(q; \alpha_{n,1}, \dots, q) - f(q; \alpha_{n,0}, \dots, \alpha_{n,k-1}) - I] \right\|_{Z^n} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) - GH_k \int_p^{\alpha_{n-1,k}} f d\Psi] \right\|_{Z^n} \\ &\quad + \|GH_k \int_p^{\alpha_{n-1,k}} f d\Psi - A\|_{Z^n} \\ &\quad + \|f(q; \alpha_{n,1}, \dots, q) - f(q; \alpha_{n,0}, \dots, \alpha_{n,k-1})\|_{Z^n} \\ &< \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k}) - f(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,0}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}) - GH_k \int_p^{\alpha_{n-1,k}} f d\Psi] \right\|_{Z^n} + 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha_{n-1,k} < q$  and  $\tau = \{(\delta_i; \alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,k-1}): [\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,k}], i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a  $\hat{\xi}$ -fine tagged  $k$ -partition of  $[p, \alpha_{n-1,k}]$ , by using Eq.7,

$$\|S(f, \Delta \Psi, \tau) - A\|_{Z^n} < 3\epsilon$$

this gives that  $f \in GH_k([p, q], Z^n)$  and  $GH_k \int_p^q f d\Psi = A$ .

### Conclusion:

In this paper generalised H-K integral of bilinear n-tuple map is defined. The elementary properties of H-K integral of bilinear n-tuple studied. Saks Henstock lemma and Cauchy criterion are generalised for H-K integral of bilinear n-tuple map.

### Acknowledgement:

The Authors are thankful to the Referee for the valuable suggestion for the improvement of the research paper which improved and broadened the final manuscript.

### Authors' declaration:

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee in Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University.

### Author's Contribution:

This work was carried out in collaboration between T. G. Thange and S. S. Gangane. T. G. Thange provided the research paper idea and S. S. Gangane implemented the idea, generalised the Henstock – Kurzweil with bilinear n-tuple and create the manuscript. T. G. Thange edited the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### References:

1. Das AG, Gokul S. An Equivalent Denjoy Type Definition of the Generalise Henstock - Stieltjes Integral. Bull Math Acad Sinica. 2002; 30(1): 27 - 49.
2. Das AG, Gokul SI. Ordinary Differential Equations and the  $HS_k$  Integral. Bull Cal Math Soc. 2001; 93: 269 - 280.
3. Maly J, Kuncova K, Praha. On a Generalization of Henstock – Kurzweil Integrals. Math Bohem. 2019; 144(4): 1 – 30. <https://doi.org/10.21136/MB.2019.0038-19>
4. Mahanta S, Ray S. On the Generalization of Henstock – Kurzweil Fourier Transform. arXiv:2202.10394 [math.CA]. 21 Feb 2022; 1 – 14. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2202.10394>
5. Thange TG, Gangane SS. Henstock – Kurzweil Integral for Banach Space Valued Functions. Math Stat. 2022; 10(5): 1038 – 1049. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ms.2022.100515>
6. Ajeel YI, Kadhim SN. Some Common Fixed Point Theorem of Four Weakly Compatible Mappings in Metric Spaces. Bagdad Sci J. 2021; 18(3): 543 – 546. <https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.3.0543>
7. Cunanan AF, Benitez J. Simple Properties and Existence Theorem for the Henstock–Kurzweil–Stieltjes Integral of Functions Taking Values on  $C[a, b]$  Space-valued Functions. Eur J Pure Appl Math. 2020; 13(1): 130 – 143. <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v13i1.3626>
8. Kaliaj SB. The New Extensions of the Henstock – Kurzweil and the Mcshane Integrals of Vector Valued Functions. Mediterr J Math. 2018; 15: 22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-018-1067-2>
9. Hussein LH, Abed SS. Fixed Point Theorems in General Metric Space with an Application. Baghdad Sci J. 2021; 18(1(Suppl.)). [https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.1\(suppl.\).0812](https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.1(suppl.).0812)

## تكامل هينستوك - كورزويل المعمم مع نقاط متعددة

تي. جي. ثينج<sup>1</sup> اس. اس. كانكاني<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> قسم الرياضيات، يوغيشوارى مهافيدبالايا، امباوجاي، مقاطعة بيد، ولاية ماهاراشترا، 431517، الهند.  
<sup>2</sup> قسم الرياضيات، د.بابا صاحب أمبيدكار ماراثوادا، أورانجاباد، ولاية ماهاراشترا - 431004، الهند.

### الخلاصة:

يتعامل هذا البحث مع تكامل هينستوك - كورزويل الجديد في فضاء باناخ مع دالة التكامل الثلاثية ثنائية الخطية  $\Psi$  التي تعتمد على النقاط المتعددة في التجزئة. أخيراً، تم عرض النتائج القياسية لتكامل هينستوك - كورزويل المعمم في نظرية التكامل.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** نوني ثنائي الخطية، فضاء باناخ، تكامل هينستوك - كورزويل، تكامل ريمان، تكامل سترلينج.