

The Solution of Fermat's Two Squares Equation and Its Generalization In Lucas Sequences

Ali S. Athab 回 🗵, Hayder R. Hashim* 回 🖂

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Kufa, Al Najaf, Iraq. *Corresponding Author.

Received 22/03/2023, Revised 18/06/2023, Accepted 20/06/2023, Published Online First 20/11/2023, Published 1/6/2024

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International</u> <u>License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

As it is well known, there are an infinite number of primes in special forms such as Fermat's two squares form, $p=x^2+y^2$ or its generalization, $p=x^2+y^4$, where the unknowns x, y, and p represent integers. The main goal of this paper is to see if these forms still have an infinite number of solutions when the unknowns are derived from sequences with an infinite number of prime numbers in their terms. This paper focuses on the solutions to these forms where the unknowns represent terms in certain binary linear recurrence sequences known as the Lucas sequences of the first and second types.

Keywords: Diophantine equation, Elliptic curves, Fermat's two squares Theorem, Lucas sequences, Prime numbers.

Introduction

Many scientists have been interested in the study of prime numbers because of their use and applications in many fields of science such as mathematics and computer science. Prime numbers are used to form private keys in many public key cryptosystems, including the RSA cryptosystem, the ElGamal cryptosystem, the Elliptic curve cryptosystem, and others. In fact, it is known that there are an infinite number of prime numbers with numerous wellknown forms. For example, Edmund Landau¹ conjectured that there are an infinite number of primes of the form $p = x^2 + 1$. Furthermore, Shanks ^{2, 3} conjectured that for some integer x, there are infinite prime numbers of the form $p = x^4 +$ 1 and $p = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + 1)$. Fermat also conjectured that any prime p = 4k + 1 can be written as $p = x^2 + 1$ y^2 , see e.g. ⁴. Euler ⁵ provided proof of this conjecture in 1749. Furthermore, Dirichlet proved

that there are an infinite number of primes of the form p = ak + b if gcd(a, b) = 1, where *a* and *b* are integers, see e.g. ⁶. Indeed, this latter result demonstrates that there are an infinite number of primes of the form $p = x^2 + y^2$. Friedlander and Iwaniec ⁷ proved that there are an infinite number of primes of the form $p = x^2 + y^4$ as a generalization of Fermat's result.

On the other hand, it is known that certain types of linear recurrence sequences contain an infinite number of primes. For example, it is conjectured that the Fibonacci and Lucas number sequences have an infinite number of primes, where these sequences are defined by

$$F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1, F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
 for $n \ge 2$ 1

and

 $L_0 = 2, L_1 = 1, L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$ for $n \ge 2$. 2

For more details, see for instance ^{8, 9}. Thereafter, Lawrence and Michal ¹⁰ expanded this latter conjecture to more general sequences, referred to as the Lucas sequences of the first kind $\{U_n(P,Q)\}$ (*simply* $\{U_n\}$) or the second kind $\{V_n(P,Q)\}$ (*simply* $\{V_n\}$), which are defined by the relations:

$$U_0(P,Q) = 0, U_1(P,Q) = 1, U_n(P,Q) = PU_{n-1}(P,Q) - QU_{n-2}(P,Q) \text{ for } n \ge 2,$$
3

$$V_0(P,Q) = 2, V_1(P,Q) = P, V_n(P,Q) = PV_{n-1}(P,Q) - QV_{n-2}(P,Q) \text{ for } n \ge 2,$$
4

where P and Q are nonzero integers satisfying gcd(P,Q) = 1. Furthermore, it is well known that the first and second kinds of Lucas sequences are connected in the identity

$$V_n^2(P,Q) = DU_n^2(P,Q) + 4Q^n,$$
 5

where $D = P^2 - 4Q$, as in ¹¹. The characteristic polynomial of these sequences is defined by

$$X^2 - PX + Q = 0,$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{P + \sqrt{D}}{2}$$
 and $\beta = \frac{P - \sqrt{D}}{2}$

are the latter polynomial's roots. Hence, these sequences can be respectively written by the following formulas which are known as Binet's formulas:

$$U_n(P,Q) = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \text{ for } n \ge 0.$$
 6

and

$$V_n(P,Q) = \alpha^n + \beta^n \text{ for } n \ge 0, \qquad 7$$

where α is called the golden ratio and $\beta = \frac{-1}{\alpha}$. Thus, if α/β is not a root of unity then these sequences are said to be nondegenerate and degenerate otherwise. As a result, they are degenerate only with $(P,Q) \in \{(\pm 1,1), (\pm 2,1)\}$, for more details see e.g. ¹¹. Note that the terms of these sequences are called by the generalized Lucas



numbers. Moreover, such results concerning primes in the Lucas sequences, see e.g. ¹².

Regarding these sequences, when (P, Q) = (1, -1), the Fibonacci and Lucas sequences are obtained. If (P, Q) = (2, -1), the sequences known as Pell and Pell-Lucas are obtained, which are defined as follows:

$$P_0 = 0, P_1 = 1, P_n = 2P_{n-1} + P_{n-2}$$
 for $n \ge 2$. 8

and

$$Q_0 = 2, Q_1 = 2, Q_n = 2Q_{n-1} + Q_{n-2}$$
 for $n \ge 2$. 9

Note that Binet's formulas for the sequences $\{F_n\}, \{L_n\}, \{P_n\}$ and $\{Q_n\}$ are defined as follows:

$$F_n = \frac{\alpha_1^n - \beta_1^n}{\sqrt{5}}, L_n = \alpha_1^n + \beta_1^n,$$
 10

$$P_n = \frac{\alpha_2^n - \beta_2^n}{\sqrt{5}}, Q_n = \alpha_2^n + \beta_2^n$$
, 11

where $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)$ and $(\alpha_2, \beta_2) = (1 + \sqrt{2}, 1 - \sqrt{2})$.

In general, the study of these sequences and other types of sequences connected to other areas of Mathematics has been recent of interest to many authors, see e.g. ^{13, 14}.

As these sequences have infinitely many primes, the most interesting question one could ask is: are their infinity or finitely many primes of the abovementioned forms, namely $p = x^2 + 1, x^4 + 1, \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + 1), x^2 + y^2$, and $x^2 + y^4$, where p, x and y represent terms in $\{U_n(P,Q)\}$ or $\{V_n(P,Q)\}$?

In ^{15, 16} the above question is answered by studying such solutions to the equations: $p = x^2 + 1$, $p = x^4 + 1$ and $p = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + 1)$. In fact, it turned out that the above equations have a finite number of solutions.

The aim of this paper is to finish answering the above question for the remaining forms, i.e.

$$p = x^2 + y^2, 12$$

and

$$p = x^2 + y^4, 13$$

where $(x, y, p) \in \{(U_i(P, Q), U_j(P, Q), U_k(P, Q)), (V_i(P, Q), V_j(P, Q), V_k(P, Q))\}$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$ and P and Q are certain parameters. To be more precise, our argument is applied to study such special solutions in the case of $-2 \le P \le 3$ and $Q = \pm 1$.

Auxiliary Results

In this section, some results regarding the sequences of Fibonacci numbers, Lucas numbers, Pell numbers, and Pell-Lucas numbers are presented. These sequences satisfy the following inequalities that are utilized later in the proofs of the main results:

$$\alpha_1^{n-2} \le F_n \le \alpha_1^{n-1} \text{ for } n \ge 1, \qquad 14$$

$$\alpha_1^{n-1} \le L_n \le \alpha_1^{n+1} \text{ for } n \ge 1, \tag{15}$$

$$\alpha_2^{n-2} \le P_n \le \alpha_2^{n-1} \text{ for } n \ge 1,$$
 16

$$\alpha_2^{n-1} \le Q_n \le \alpha_2^{n+1} \text{ for } n \ge 1.$$
 17

Furthermore, Hashim, Szalay, and Tengely ¹¹ proved that if $P \ge 2$ and $-P - 1 \le Q \le P - 1$ then the Lucas sequences of the first and second kind satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\alpha^{n-2} \le U_n \le \alpha^{2n} \text{ for } n \ge 1, \tag{18}$$

$$2\alpha^{n-1} \le V_n \le \alpha^{2n} \text{ for } n \ge 1.$$
 19

Main Approach

This section presents a procedure for investigating the solutions $(x, y, p) = (R_i, R_j, R_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$ of Eqs. 12 and 13, where R_i denotes a generalized Lucas number of the first or second kind, namely $R_i = U_i$ or V_i . Indeed, the technique of this procedure is applied in case of $-2 \le P \le 3$ and $Q = \pm 1$, where $\{U_n\}$ and $\{V_n\}$ are nondegenerate sequences. For simplicity, the Lucas sequences with $(P,Q) \in \{(3,1), (3,-1)\}$ are denoted by the following: $U_n(3,1) = M_n, V_n(3,1) = N_n,$ $U_n(3,-1) = D_n$, and $V_n(3,-1) = E_n$.

It is clear that Eqs. 12 and 13 have such special solutions only i < k and j < k. Hence, in order to find all the solutions $(x, y, p) = (R_i, R_j, R_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$, the condition that $i \le j < k$ is fixed. The first two components of the obtained solutions are



permuted. The following presents a summary of the general steps involved in finding the solutions $(x, y, p) = (R_i, R_j, R_k)$ of either Eq. 12 or 13, subject to the condition $1 \le i \le j < k$. Let's consider the procedure with the equation

$$R_k = R_i^2 + R_i^2, \qquad 20$$

with $1 \le i \le j < k$ and $(R_i, R_j, R_k) = (U_i, U_j, U_k)$ or (V_i, V_j, V_k) . The idea goes similarly in the case of the other equation.

Step 1: To obtain an upper bound for *i*, the process involves dividing Eq.20 by R_j , utilizing Binet's formulas presented by 6 or 7 and incorporating with the inequalities presented by 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19. After some simplifications, the resulting upper bound is denoted as $i \leq L$.

Step 2: For i = 1, 2, ..., L, a specific *i* is inserted into Eq.20 to obtain

$$R_k = R_j^2 + c \text{ for } c = R_i^2 .$$

Step 3: Then substitute Eq. 21 in the identity relationship between Lucas numbers of the first and second kind presented by 5 gives the elliptic curves of the form

$$y_1^2 = A_1 x_1^4 + B_1 x_1^2 + C_1, 22$$

where $(x_1 = U_j \text{ or } V_j)$. Note that Eq. 22 can be written in the form

$$Y^2 = X^3 + B_1 X^2 + A_1 C_1 X,$$
 23

where $Y = A_1 x_1 y_1$ and $X = A_1 x_1^2$.

Step 4: The values of X (with $X = A_1 x_1^2$) in curve 23 can be found using the SageMath ¹⁷ function integral_points().

Step 5: The values of j are calculated using the obtained value of x_i .

Step 6: Plugging the obtained value of j in Eq. 21 to get the values of k. Hence, all the values of (i, j, k) in which Eq. 20 is satisfied are obtained.

Step 7: After obtaining all the possible solutions (i, j, k) of Eq. 20 under the condition $1 \le i \le j < k$, it remains to permute the components *i* and *j* in

(i, j, k) in order to have all the possible solutions of

Results and Discussion

Theorem 1: If $(x, y, p) = (F_i, F_j, F_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$, then the solutions of Eq.12 are given by

$$(x, y, p) \in \{(1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 5), (2, 1, 5), (2, 3, 13), (3, 2, 13)\}.$$

Theorem 2: Assume that $x = L_i$, $y = L_j$ and $p = L_k$ such that $i, j, k \ge 1$, then Eq. 12 has no solution in the integers x, y and p.

Theorem 3: Suppose that $(x, y, p) = (P_i, P_j, P_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$, then the complete set of solutions to Eq. 12 is as follows:

 $(x, y, p) \in \{ (1,1,2), (1,2,5), (2,1,5), (2,3,5), (3,2,5) \}.$

Theorem 4: Eq. 12 has no solution of the form $(x, y, p) = (Q_i, Q_j, Q_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$ such that $x = Q_i, y = Q_j$ and $p = Q_k$.

Theorem 5: Suppose that $x = M_i$, $y = M_j$ and $p = M_k$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$, then Eq. 12 has no solution of the form $(x, y, p) = (M_i, M_j, M_k)$.

Theorem 6: Eq. 12 has no solution in $x = N_i$, $y = N_j$ and $p = N_k$, where $i, j, k \ge 1$.

Theorem 7: The set of solutions to Eq.12 with $i, j, k \ge 1$ and $(x, y, p) = (D_i, D_j, D_k)$ is as follows:

$$(x, y, p) \in \{(3, 10, 109), (10, 3, 109), (10, 33, 1189), (33, 10, 1189)\}.$$

Theorem 8: Assume that $x = E_i$, $y = E_j$ and $p = E_k$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$, then Eq. 12 has no solutions of the form $(x, y, p) = (E_i, E_j, E_k)$.

Proposition 1: If $(x, y, p) = (F_i, F_j, F_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$, then the solutions to Eq. 13 are only given by (x, y, p) = (1, 1, 2) and (2, 1, 5).

Proposition 2: If $(x, y, p) = (L_i, L_j, L_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$ represents the solution to Eq. 13, then it has no such solutions.

Baghdad Science Journal

Eq. 20 (or Eq. 12)) with $i, j, k \ge 1$

Proposition 3: If $(x, y, p) = (P_i, P_j, P_k)$ where $i, j, k \ge 1$, then the solutions to Eq. 13 are given by $(x, y, p) \in \{(1, 1, 2), (2, 1, 5)\}.$

Proposition 4: Eq. 13 has no solution in $x = Q_i, y = Q_j$ and $p = Q_k$, where $i, j, k \ge 1$.

Proposition 5: Assume that $x = M_i$, $y = M_j$ and $p = M_k$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$, then Eq. 13 has no solution in *x*, *y*, and *p*.

Proposition 6: If $x = N_i$, $y = N_j$ and $p = N_k$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$ are the components of the solution (x, y, p) to Eq. 13, then it has no such solution.

Proposition 7: Eq. 13 has no solution of the form $(x, y, p) = (D_i, D_j, D_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$.

Proposition 8: Eq. 13 is not solvable in the integers $x = E_i$, $y = E_j$ and $p = E_k$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$.

Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1: From the main approach section presented in Section 3, the first step is to obtain an upper bound for i in the equation

$$F_k = F_i^2 + F_i^2, \qquad 24$$

for $i, j, k \ge 2$, since $F_1 = F_2 = 1$ so $i \ge 2$ is assumed. The following summarizes the steps for obtaining this bound under the condition that $i \le j < k$:

- Dividing Eq. 24 by F_j gives $\frac{F_k}{F_j} = \frac{F_i^2}{F_j} + F_j.$
- Since $i \leq j$; that is, $F_i \leq F_j$, so

$$\frac{F_k}{F_i} \le F_i + F_j. \tag{25}$$

Substituting inequality 14 and (identity 6 or 10 with $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = ((1 + \sqrt{5})/2, (1 - \sqrt{5})/2))$ in inequality 25 gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^k - \beta_1^k &\leq (\alpha_1^j - \beta_1^j)(\alpha_1^{i-1} + \alpha_1^{j-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha_1^{i+j-1} + \alpha_1^{2j-1} - \beta_1^j \alpha_1^{i-1} \\ &- \beta_1^j \alpha_1^{j-1} . \end{aligned}$$

• From the fact that $\beta_1 = \frac{-1}{\alpha_1}$ and the ssumption of $i \le j < k$, the latter inequality leads to

$$\alpha_1^k \le 4\alpha_1^{2j-1} \pm \frac{1}{\alpha_1^i}.$$
 26

• Now, let's take the absolute value of inequality 26 gives

$$\left|\alpha_{1}^{k}\right| \leq \left|4\alpha_{1}^{2j-1}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}^{i}}\right|.$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_1^k \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1^i} (5\alpha_1^{2j+i-1}) .$$
as $1 < \alpha_1^{2j+i-1}$.
27

• Dividing inequality 27 by α_1^{2j+i-1} gives

$$\alpha_1^{k-2j-i+1} \le \frac{5}{\alpha_1^i},$$

 $|\alpha_1^i| \leq$

28

which can be written as

$$\left|\frac{5}{\alpha_1^I-2.5}\right|,$$

where I = k - 2j - i + 1.

Suppose that

$$B = \min_{I \in Z} |\alpha_1^I - 2.5|.$$

- If I = 0, then

$$B = 1.5.$$

- If $l \ge 1$, then $\alpha_1^l \ge \alpha_1^1 > 1.618$. This implies that

- Similarly, if $I \leq -1$ then

This gives that $B \ge 0.882$. Therefore, inequality 28 gives that

$$\alpha_1^i < \frac{5}{B} < \frac{5}{0.882} < 5.669,$$

which implies that

$$i \le \frac{\ln(5.669)}{\ln(\alpha_1)} < \frac{\ln(5.669)}{\ln(1.618)} < 3.606.$$

Hence, $i \leq 3$.

According to the second step in our main approach, substituting each of the values of *i* such that $2 \le i \le 3$ in Eq. 24 is performed to change it with respect to *j* and *k*. This can be summarized in the following steps:

For i = 2, the following Eq. is obtained

$$F_k = F_i^2 + 1.$$
 29

For i = 3, then

$$F_k = F_i^2 + 4.$$
 30

Finally, the values of *j* and *k* corresponding to each value of $i \in \{2, 3\}$ must be obtained.

 The substitution of Eq. 29 in identity 5 is applied to get the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 10X^2 + 45X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 10X^2 + 5X$,

where $X = 5x_1^2$ such that $x_1 = F_j$. By the SageMath function integral points (), the following points are obtained

$$(X, Y) \in \{(-9, 6), (-5, 10), (-1, 2), (0, 0), (1, 4), (5, 20), (20, 110)\}.$$

Since the positive values of *X* are needed, then only the values of $X \in \{1, 5, 20\}$ are considered.

For $1 = X = 5x_1^2 = 5F_j^2$; that is impossible.

- If $5 = 5F_j^2$, then $F_j^2 = 1$. Therefore, j = 2. By substituting j = 2 in Eq. 29, then $F_k = F_2^2 + 1 = 2$ Page | 2083 which gives k = 3. Hence, the corresponding solution of Eq. 12 for (i, j, k) = (2, 2, 3), with $i \le j < k$ is $(x, y, p) = (F_2, F_2, F_3) = (1, 1, 2)$.

- Finally, if X = 20, then $F_j^2 = 4$. This leads to j = 3. Similarly, the corresponding solution is (x, y, p) = (1, 2, 5).

• Secondly, combining Eq. 30 with identity 5 gives the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 20X^2 + 420X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 20X^2 + 380X$,

where $X = 5x_1^2$ such that $x_1 = F_j$. Similarly, the following Sage Math function: integral points () gives that

$$X \in \{5, 20, 21, 45, 76, 1520\}.$$

As done in the previous case, the solutions $X \in \{5, 20, 45\}$ are gotten for $X = 5F_i^2$.

- For $5 = 5F_j^2$, then $F_j^2 = 1$. Hence, j = 2, and this must be eliminated since gives i = 3 with the assumption that $i \le j < k$.

- For X = 20, then $F_j = 2$. Thus, j = 3. Again, substituting j = 3 in Eq. 30 gives $F_k = 8$, which gives no solution.

- Finally, for X = 45 then j = 4 which implies that $F_k = 13$. Thus, k = 7. The corresponding solution to Eq. 12 under the condition $i \le j < k$ is (x, y, p) = (2, 3, 13).

According to the last step in the main approach, the permutation of the first two components in the following solutions is applied

$$(x, y, p) \in \{(1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 5), (2, 3, 13)\}$$

to obtain the complete set of solutions to Eq. 12 with $i, j, k \ge 2$, which is given by

$$(x, y, p) \in \{(1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 5), (2, 1, 5), (2, 3, 13), (3, 2, 13)\}$$

Hence, the proof of Theorem 1 is achieved.



Proof of Theorem 2: To begin the proof, it is necessary to find an upper bound for i in the equation

$$L_k = L_i^2 + L_i^2, \qquad 31$$

where $i, j, k \ge 1$ under the the condition that $i \le j < k$. First, Eq.31 is divided by L_i to obtain

$$\frac{L_k}{L_j} = \frac{L_i^2}{L_j} + L_j$$

Hence,

$$\frac{L_k}{L_j} \le L_i + L_j. \tag{32}$$

since $i \leq j$. Subsequently, it can be determined that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^k + \beta_1^k &\leq \left(\alpha_1^j + \beta_1^j\right) \left(\alpha_1^{i+1} + \alpha_1^{j+1}\right) \leq \alpha_1^{i+j+1} \\ &+ \alpha_1^{2j+1} + \beta_1^j \alpha_1^{i+1} + \beta_1^j \alpha_1^{j+1} , \end{aligned}$$

by substituting inequality 15 and (identity 7 or 10 in the case of Lucas sequence) in inequality 32. By using the condition that $i \le j < k$ with the fact $\beta_1 = \frac{-1}{\alpha_1}$ in the latter inequality, it can be deduced that

$$|\alpha_1^k| \le |4\alpha_1^{2j+1}| + |\frac{1}{\alpha_1^i}|.$$

It follows that

$$\alpha_1^k \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1^i} (5\alpha_1^{2j+i+1}).$$

Dividing the last inequality by α_1^{2j+i+1} , the result shows that

$$\alpha_1^I \leq \frac{5}{\alpha_1^i},$$

where $l = k - 2j - i - 1 \in Z$. By following the same approach in the proof of Theorem 1, it is indeed obtained that $i \leq 3$. The values of $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are substituted into Eq. 31 in the following:

If i = 1, then

$$L_k = L_j^2 + 1. 33$$

$$L_k = L_j^2 + 9. 34$$

• Finally, if i = 3, then

 $L_k = L_j^2 + 16.$ 35

The values of j and k that correspond to each value of i need to be determined.

 Δ The combination of Eq. 33 with identity 5 yields the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 10X^2 + 125X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 10X^2 - 75X$,

where $X = 5x_1^2$ such that $x_1 = L_j$. The points for $(X, Y) \in \{(-15, 0), (0, 0), (5, 0)\}$ are obtained using the SageMath function integral_points(). In the search for the positive of *X*, the value of X = 5 is selected. Since $5 = X = 5x_1^2 = L_j^2$, then $L_j = 1$. So, j = 1. By substituting j = 1 in Eq. 33, then $L_k = L_1^2 + 1 = 2$ which gives k = 0. Since i < j, this solution is excluded.

 Δ Next, substituting Eq. 34 in identity 5 gives the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 90X^2 + 2125X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 90X^2 + 1925X$,

such that $X = 5x_1^2$ and $x_1 = L_j$. Similarity, the SageMath function integral_points(), leads to $X \in \{45, 27720, 145968900\}$. As done in the previous case, $45 = X = L_j^2$ since it implies a solution. Hence, $L_j = 3$. Thus, j = 2. Substituting j = 2 in Eq. 34 implies that $L_k = 18$, which offers no solution.

 Δ Again, the combination of Eq. 34 and identity 5 generates the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 160X^2 + 6500X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 160X^2 + 6300X$.

where $X = 5x_1^2$ such that $x_1 = L_j$. Then X = 30 and 210, and these clearly give no solution.

As a result, Eq. 12 with $i, j, k \ge 1$ has no solution, and the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 3: An upper bound for *i* in the equation

$$P_k = P_i^2 + P_i^2 \tag{36}$$

with $i, j, k \ge 1$, is determined first. Using the same approach used in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 with the assumption of $1 \le i \le j < k$ gives that

$$|\alpha_2^i| \le |\frac{5}{\alpha_2^{l} - 2.5}|.$$
 37

Assume that

$$B = \min_{I \in Z} |\alpha_2^I - 2.5|.$$

If I = 0, that gives B = 1.5.

If $l \ge 1$, then $\alpha_2^l \ge \alpha_2^1 > 2.414$. This means that B > 0.086.

Similarly, if $I \le -1$ then B > 2.086.

As a result, B = 0.086 can be obtained. Thus, inequality 37 indicates that $\alpha_2^i < 58.139$, and it means that

$$i \leq \frac{ln(58.139)}{ln(\alpha_2)} < \frac{ln(58.139)}{ln(2.414)} < 4.61.$$

Therefore, $i \le 4$. Next, the values of j and k corresponding to the values of $1 \le i \le 4$ in Eq. 36 with $i, j, k \ge 1$ are determined. The first step is determining the values of X derived from the integral points (X, Y) of the curves presented by 23. Details of the calculations for the solutions $(x, y, p) = (P_i, P_j, P_k)$ of Eq. 12 under the assumption that $i \le j < k$ are given in (Table 1), with an emphasis on the triples $[1, B_1, A_1C_1]$ representing the coefficients of elliptic curves of form 23 such that the values $X = A_1 x_1^2$ with $x_1 = P_j$ (Note that the third column contains only the positive values of X for which $X = A_1 x_1^2 = A_1 P_j^2$ provides an integer value for x_1 that represents a Pell-Lucas number).

Table 1. Detail of computations of the solutions (P_i, P_j, P_k) of Eq.12.

i	$[1, B_1, A_1 C_1]$	X	(X_1, j)	K	$\{(x, y, p)\}$
1	[1, 16, 96]	8	(1, 1)	2	$\{(1, 1, 2)\}$
	[1, 16, 32]	32	(2, 2)	3	$\{(1, 2, 5)\}$
2	[1, 64, 1056]	-	-	-	{}
	[1, 64, 992]	8	(1, 1)	2	$\{(1, 1, 2)\}$
		200	(5, 3)	5	{(2, 5, 29)}
3	[1, 400,	-	-	-	{ }
	40032]	32	(2, 2)	5	{ignored}
	[1, 400, 39968]	1152	(12, 4)	7	{ignored}
4	[1, 2304,	-	-	-	{}
	1327168	200	(5, 3)	7	{ignored}
	[1, 2304, 1327072]	6728	(29, 5)	9	{ignored}

Finally, the following set of solutions of Eq. 12, with $i, j, k \ge 1$, are obtained by permuting the first two components in the solutions $(x, y, p) = (P_i, P_i, P_k)$:

$$(x, y, p) = (P_i, P_j, P_k) \in \{(1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 5), (2, 1, 5), (2, 3, 5), (3, 2, 5)\}.$$

So, the proof of Theorem 3 is totally completed.

Proof of Theorem 4: The proof begins by determining an upper bound for *i* in the equation

$$Q_k = Q_i^2 + Q_i^2, \qquad 38$$

such that $i, j, k \ge 1$ and $i \le j < k$. By following the argument applied in the proofs of the previous theorems, the solutions of Eq. 38 are first found under the condition that $1 \le i \le j < k$. Dividing the latter equation by Q_j with using the fact of inequality 17 and Binet's formula of Pell-Lucas sequence presented in (7 or 11) imply that

$$\alpha_{2}^{k} + \beta_{2}^{k} \leq (\alpha_{2}^{j} + \beta_{2}^{j})(\alpha_{2}^{i+1} + \alpha_{2}^{j+1}) \leq \alpha_{2}^{i+j+1} + \alpha_{2}^{2j+1} + \beta_{2}^{j}\alpha_{2}^{i+1} + \beta_{2}^{j}\alpha_{2}^{j+1} .$$

It follows that

$$|\alpha_2^k| \le |4\alpha_2^{2j+1}| + |\frac{1}{\alpha_2^i}|.$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_2^{k-2j-i-1} \le \frac{5}{\alpha_2^i}.$$

Thus, it can be concluded that

$$\left|\alpha_{2}^{i}\right| \leq \frac{5}{B}$$

where $B = \min_{I \in \mathbb{Z}} |\alpha_2^I - 2|$ such that I = k - 2j - i - 1. In fact, $B \ge 0.414$ is obtained. So, the last inequality gives that

$$i \leq \frac{ln(12.077)}{ln(2.414)} < 2.826.$$

This shows $i \le 2$. The values of j and k corresponding to $i \in \{1, 2\}$ can be found by plugging the values of i in Eq. 38.

If i = 1, that gives

$$Q_k = Q_j^2 + 4.$$

The substitution of the last equation in identity 5 is applied to get the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 64X^2 + 800X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 64X^2 + 480X$,

with $X = 8x_1^2$ and $x_1 = Q_j$. Using the SageMath function integral_points() gives $X \in \{25, 32\}$. For $X = 8Q_i^2 = 25$ or 32, no solution exists.

If i = 2, then

$$Q_k = Q_i^2 + 36.$$

Now, the combination of the latter equation and identity 5 generates the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 576X^2 + 83200X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 576X^2 + 82688X$,

where $X = 8x_1^2$ such that $x_1 = Q_j$. Thus, X = 260 - and 320; this gives no solution.

Hence, Eq. 12 with $i, j, k \ge 1$ has no solutions, and this proves Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 5: To start the proof, an upper bound for *i* in the Eq.

$$M_k = M_i^2 + M_i^2 \tag{39}$$

Must be obtained, where $i, j, k \ge 1$, and $i \le j < k$. Using $i \le j$ and dividing Eq. 39 by M_j , it can be found that

$$\frac{M_k}{M_j} \le M_i + M_j. \tag{40}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\alpha^{k} - \beta^{k} \leq (\alpha^{j} - \beta^{j})(2\alpha^{i} + 2\alpha^{j}) \leq 2\alpha^{i+j} + 2\alpha^{2j} - 2\beta^{j}\alpha^{i} - 2\beta^{j}\alpha^{j},$$

which can be obtained by combining inequality 18 with (identity 6 with $(\alpha, \beta) = ((3 + \sqrt{5})/2, (3 - \sqrt{5})/2))$ in inequality 40. Substituting the assumption $i \le j < k$ with the fact $\beta = \frac{-1}{\alpha}$ in the later inequality gives that Δ

$$\left|\alpha^{k}\right| \leq |8\alpha^{2j}| + |\frac{1}{\alpha^{i}}|.$$

Hence,

$$\alpha^k \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^i} (9\alpha^{2j+i}).$$

So, dividing the last inequality by α^{2j+i} gives

$$\alpha^{k-2j-i} \leq \frac{9}{\alpha^i}.$$

This can be written as

$$|\alpha^i| \le \left|\frac{9}{\alpha^{l} - 2}\right| \tag{41}$$

such that I = k - 2j - i.

Now, let

$$B=\min_{I\in Z}|\alpha^I-2|.$$

If I = 0, then B = 1.

If $l \ge 1$, then $\alpha^{l} \ge \alpha^{1} > 2.618$. Thus, B > 0.618.

Similarly, if $I \le -1$ then B > 2.118. This gives that $B \ge 0.618$. Thus, inequality 41 gives that

$$i < \frac{\ln(14.563)}{\ln(2.618)} < 2.783.$$

Therefore, $i \le 2$. The next step is substituting the values of *i* in Eq. 39 to determine the values of j and k corresponding to i = 1 and 2.

 Δ If i = 1, the following Eq. is obtained

$$M_k = M_i^2 + 1.$$

The latter equation is then substituted in identity 5, resulting in the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 10X^2 + 45X$$

with $X = 5x_1^2$ and $x_1 = M_j$. By using the Sage Math function integral points (), it leads to (X, Y) = (0, 0). Therefore, no has solution.

If i = 2, then

$$M_k = M_i^2 + 9.$$

Finally, the last equation is combined with identity 5 to obtain the equation

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 90X^2 + 2045X,$$

where $X = 5x_1^2$ such that $x_1 = M_j$. The result is X = 0, but once again there is no solution.

As a consequence, Eq. 12 with $i, j, k \ge 1$ has no solution, and the proof of Theorem 5 is accomplished.

Proof of Theorem 6: Once more, the proof begins with determining the upper bound of i in the equation

$$N_k = N_i^2 + N_i^2, \qquad 42$$

for $i, j, k \ge 1$. Following the same strategy used in the proofs of the previous theorems with the assumption of $1 \le i \le j < k$ gives that

 $\alpha^{i} \leq \frac{9}{B}$, 43 where $B = \min_{I \in \mathbb{Z}} |\alpha^{I} - 2.5|$ such that $\alpha = (3 + \sqrt{5})/2$.

- If I = 0, this means that B = 1.5.
- If $l \ge 1$ then $\alpha^l \ge \alpha^1 > 2.618$. It follows that B > 0.118.
 - Similarly, if $I \le -1$ then B > 2.118.

Therefore, B > 0.118. As a result, inequality 43 shows that $\alpha^i < 76.271$, which implies that

$$i \le \frac{\ln(76.271)}{\ln(\alpha)} < \frac{\ln(76.271)}{\ln(2.618)} < 4.503.$$

Hence, $i \le 4$. The next step is finding the values of j and k that correspond to the values of $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$. Now, the values of X are determined from the integral points (X, Y) of the curves shown in 23. The specifics of calculations for the solutions $(x, y, p) = (N_i, N_j, N_k)$ of Eq. 12 under the assumption of $1 \le i \le j < k$ are given in (Table 2), emphasizing that the triples $[1, B_1, A_1C_1]$ representing the coefficients of elliptic curves of form 23 such that the values of $X = A_1 x_1^2$ with $x_1 = N_j$.

Table 2. Detail of computations of the solutions (N_i, N_j, N_k) of Eq.12.

i	$[1, \boldsymbol{B}_1, \boldsymbol{A}_1\boldsymbol{C}_1]$	X	(x_1, j)	k	$\{(x, y, p)\}$
1	[1, 90, 2125]	0	-	-	{}
2	[1, 490, 60125]	0	-	-	{}
3	[1, 3240, 2624500]	0 45125	-	-	{} {}
4	[1, 22090, 121992125]	0	-	-	{}

So, Eq. 12 with $i, j, k \ge 1$ has no solution, and Theorem 6 has been proved.

Proof of Theorem 7: Similarly, an upper bound is obtained for *i* (with $1 \le i \le j < k$) in the Eq.

$$D_k = D_j^2 + D_i^2. 44$$

Here is a summary of the steps required to obtain this bound:

• First, Eq. 44 is divided by D_i to get

$$\frac{D_k}{D_j} = \frac{D_i^2}{D_j} + D_j.$$

As $i \leq j$, it can be concluded that

$$\frac{D_k}{D_j} \le D_i + D_j. \tag{45}$$

By substituting inequality 18 and identity 6 into inequality 45 (with $(\alpha, \beta) = ((3 + \sqrt{13})/2, (3 - \sqrt{13})/2))$ gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^k - \beta^k &\leq (\alpha^j - \beta^j)(2\alpha^i + 2\alpha^j) \leq 2\alpha^{i+j} + \\ & 2\alpha^{2j} - 2\beta^j \alpha^i - 2\beta^j \alpha^j . \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption of $i \le j < k$ with $\beta_1 = \frac{-1}{\alpha_1}$, the latter inequality leads to

$$\alpha^k \le 8\alpha^{2j} \pm \frac{1}{\alpha_i}.$$

Now, let's apply the absolute value to the latter inequality to get

$$\left|\alpha^{k}\right| \leq \left|8\alpha^{2j}\right| + \left|\frac{1}{\alpha^{i}}\right|.$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha^k \le \frac{1}{\alpha^i} \left(9\alpha^{2j+i} \right) \tag{46}$$

as $1 < \alpha^{2j+i}$.

Dividing inequality 46 by α^{2j+i} gives

$$\alpha^{k-2j-i} \leq \frac{9}{\alpha^i}.$$

After simplifying, it can be deduced that $\alpha^i < 45.455$, resulting in i < 3.195.

Thus, $i \leq 3$. According to the second step of the main approach, each value of *i* such that $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ in Eq.44 must be replaced; namely,

- For i = 1, the following Eq. is obtained

$$D_k = D_j^2 + 1.$$
 47

- For i = 2, then

$$D_k = D_i^2 + 9.$$
 48

• Finally, if i = 3 then

$$D_k = D_j^2 + 100.$$

The values of *j* and *k* that correspond i = 1, 2, and 3 are then determined.

49

 Δ Firstly, Eq. 47 is combined with identity 5 to obtain the elliptic curves

 $Y^2 = X^3 + 26X^2 + 221X$ and $Y^2 = X^3 + 26X^2 + 117X$,

where $X = 13x_1^2$ such that $x_1 = D_j$. Using the Sage – Math function integral points () gives that

$$(X, Y) \in \{(-13, 26), (-9, 18), (0, 0), (1, 12), (117, 1704)\}.$$

X = 1 and 117 are chosen since only positive values of X are being searched for.

- For X = 1 and $= 13x_1^2 = 13D_j^2$; that is impossible.

- If $117 = 13D_j^2$, then $D_j^2 = 9$. Hence, j = 2. Substituting j = 2 in Eq.47 results in $D_k = D_2^2 + 1 = 10$, which yields no solution.

 Δ Next, substituting Eq. 48 in identity 5 gives the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 234X^2 + 13741X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 234X^2 + 13637X$.

such that $X = 13x_1^2$ and $x_1 = D_j$. Similarly, using Sage Math software gives that

$$X \in \{13, 1049, 1300, 122733\}.$$

Baghdad Science Journal

Solutions for $X = 13D_j^2$ are obtained only when X = 13 and 1300. Thus,

- If X = 13, then $D_j = 1$. Thus, j = 1. Substituting j = 1 in Eq. 48 results in $D_k = 10$, and this has no solution.

- Similarly, for X = 1300, j = 3 is obtained, implying that $D_k = 109$. Hence, k = 5. The corresponding solution to Eq. 12 with $i \le j < k$ is (x, y, p) = (3, 10, 109).

 Δ Finally, combining Eq. 49 with identity 5 generates the elliptic curves

 $Y^2 = X^3 + 2600X^2 + 1690052X$ and $Y^2 = X^3 + 2600X^2 + 1689948X$,

where $X = 13D_i^2$. Here, it is obtained that

X∈{117, 1472, 3925, 14157, 14444, 23552, 168994800}.

Similarly, X = 117 and 1472 are the only choices.

If $117 = X = 13D_j^2$, then $D_j = 3$ and j = 2. In this case, i = 3, and it was assumed that $i \le j < k$, hence this solution is excluded.

For X = 14157, then $D_j = 33$. This leads to j = 4 and k = 7. So, the corresponding solution is (x, y, p) = (10, 33, 1189).

The complete set of solutions to Eq. 12 with $i, j, k \ge 1$ is obtained by permuting the first two components in the obtained solutions $(x, y, p) = (D_i, D_j, D_k)$ with $i \le j < k$, and it indeed is as follows:

$$(x, y, p) = (D_i, D_j, D_k) \in \{(3, 10, 109), (10, 3, 109), (10, 33, 1189), (33, 10, 1189)\}.$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 7 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 8: To start, an upper bound for *i* is determined in the equation

$$E_k = E_i^2 + E_i^2, 50$$

where $i, j, k \ge 1$ and $i \le j < k$. Again, dividing the latter equation by E_j , using the fact of inequality 19 and Binet's formula stated in 7 (with $(\alpha, \beta) = ((3 + \sqrt{13})/2, (3 - \sqrt{13})/2))$, leads to the conclusion that

$$\alpha^{k} + \beta^{k} \leq (\alpha^{j} + \beta^{j})(2\alpha^{i} + 2\alpha^{j}) \leq 2\alpha^{i+j} + 2\alpha^{2j} + 2\beta^{j}\alpha^{i} + 2\beta^{j}\alpha^{j}.$$

Consequently, after some simplification, it is determined that $i \leq 2$.

In order to determine the values of j and k corresponding to the values of $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the values of i are substituted into Eq. 50 as follows:

$$E_k = E_j^2 + 9.$$

Combining the above equation with identity 5 yields the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 234X^2 + 14365X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 234X^2 + 13013X$,

where $X = 13x_1^2$ such that $x_1 = E_j$. Using the SageMath function integral_points() gives $X \in \{-143, -91, 0\}$. Since only positive solutions are being sought, it is concluded that this equation is unsolvable.

• If
$$i = 2$$
, then

$$E_k = E_i^2 + 121.$$

Now, substituting this equation in identity 5 gives the equations

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 33696X^2 + 283855780X$$
 and $Y^2 = X^3 + 33696X^2 + 283854428X$,

with $= 13E_j^2$. $X \in \{-1599, -1547, 0\}$ is obtained, and once again, no solution is found.

Thus, Eq. 12 has no solutions of the form $(x, y, p) = (E_i, E_j, E_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$. Hence, Theorem 8 is proved.

Proof of Proposition 1: According to the result of Theorem 1, the set of solutions to Eq. 12 is given by (assuming that $i, j, k \ge 2$).

$$(x, y, p) = (F_i, F_j, F_k) \in \{(1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 5), (2, 1, 5), (2, 3, 13), (3, 2, 13)\}.$$

To consider the set of solutions of Eq.13 where $i, j, k \ge 2$, Eq. 13 can be rewritten as equation Eq. 12, namely $p = x^2 + Y^2$ with $Y = y^2$. Therefore, the solutions of Eq. 13 can be obtained from the above set of solutions to Eq. 12 with which the component y can be square rooted. Hence, the solution to Eq. 13 gives by

$$(x, y, p) \in \{(1, 1, 2), (2, 1, 5)\}.$$

As a result, Proposition 1 is completely proven.

Proof of Proposition 3: The proof of this proposition can be followed from the result of Theorem 3, which presents the set of solutions to Eq.12 as

$$(x, y, p) = (P_i, P_j, P_k) \in \{(1,1,2), (1,2,5), (2,1,5), (2,3,5), (3,2,5)\},$$

where $i, j, k \ge 1$. To find the set of solutions to Eq. 13 where $(x, y, p) = (P_i, P_j, P_k)$ and $i, j, k \ge 1$, this Eq. is rewritten as $p = x^2 + Y^2$ where $Y = y^2$. Consequently, the solutions of Eq. 13 may be found from the above set of solutions (x, y, p) of Eq. 12 such that *y* can be square rooted. Thus, the solutions to Eq. 13 are (x, y, p) = (1, 1, 2) and (2, 1, 5). So, the proof of Proposition 3 is achieved.

Proof of Proposition 7: Similarly, Eq. 13 can be rewritten as $p = x^2 + Y^2$ with $Y = y^2$. According to the result of Theorem 7, the solutions $(x, y, p) = (D_i, D_j, D_k)$ with $i, j, k \ge 1$ of the equation $p = x^2 + y^2$ are given by the set

 $\{(3, 10, 109), (10, 3, 109), (10, 33, 1189), (33, 10, 1189)\}.$

Therefore, the triple (D_i, D_j, D_k) (with $i, j, k \ge 1$) that satisfy Eq.13 can be obtained from the above set of solutions where the component y can be square rooted. It is clear that there are no such solutions. So, Proposition 7 is completely proven.



Proof of Propositions 2,4,5,6 and 8: The proofs of these propositions can be obtained from the results of Theorems 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8, respectively. As there are no solutions for Eq. 12 where

Conclusion

The equations $p = x^2 + y^2$ and $p = x^2 + y^4$, which have an infinite number of solutions over rational integers, have only a finite number of

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referee for their careful reading and comments that improved the articles quality

Authors' Declaration

- Conflicts of Interest: None.

Authors' Contribution Statement

A. S. A. and H. R. H. investigated the equations presented, then developed the theory and performed the computations. The authors both discussed the findings and contributed to the final manuscript.

References

 Landau E. Gel"oste und ungel"oste Probleme aus der Theorie der Primzahlverteilung und der Riemannschen Zetafunktion Dtsch. Math. 1912; 21: 208-228.

http://resolver.sub.unigoettingen.de/purl?PPN377218 57X0021.

- Shanks D. An analytic criterion for the existence of infinitely many primes of the form ¹/₂ (n² + 1). Ill. J Math. 1964; 8: 377–379. https://projecteuclid.org/10.1215/1256059560.
- 3. Shanks D. On Numbers of the Form $n^4 + 1$. Math Comput. 1961; 15: 186–189. https://community.ams.org/S0025-5718-1961.
- 4. Bussotti P, Pisano R. Historical and Foundational Details on the Method of Infinite Descent: Every Prime Number of the Form 4n + 1 is the Sum of Two Squares. Found Sci. 2020; 25(3): 671–702. https://doi.org/10.100/s10699-09642-3
- 5. Euler L. Demonstration theormatis fermatiani omnem numerum primum formae 4n + 1 esse summam duorum quadratorum, Novi Comment. Acad Sci.

 $(x, y, p) \in \{(L_i, L_j, L_k), (Q_i, Q_j, Q_k), (M_i, M_j, M_k), (N_i, N_i, N_k), (E_i, E_i, E_k)\},\$

it can be easily concluded that Eq. 13 does not have such solutions. Hence, the proofs for these propositions are obtained.

solutions (x, y, p), where x, y, and p are Lucas numbers of the first or second kind.

- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee at University of Baghdad.

- 1760; 5(1754–5): 3–13. https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/eulerworks/241.
- Zhang S. On the Infinitude of Some Special Kinds of Primes. Math GM. 2009; 49(3): 1943-1987. <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0905.1655</u>.
- 7. Friedlander J, Iwaniec H. The Polynomial $x^2 + y^4$ Captures its Primes. Ann Math. 1998; 148(3): 945– 1040. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/121034</u>.
- 8. Dubner H, Keller W. New Fibonacci and Lucas Primes. Math Comput. 1999; 68: 417–427. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2585129.
- DOĞRU Y. New Presentations of Real Numbers with k-Lucas Numbers. J BAUN Inst Sci Technol. 2023; 25(1): 31-36. https://doi.org/10.25092/baunfbed.972697.
- 10. Somer L, Krizek M. On Primes in Lucas Sequences. Fibonacci Q. 2015; 53(1): 2–23. <u>https://www.fq.math.ca/Papers1/53-</u> <u>1/SomerKrizek5222014</u>.
- 11. Hashim HR, Szalay L, Tengely S. Markoff-Rosenberger Triples and Generalized Lucas





Sequences. Period Math Hung. 2022; 85(1): 188–202. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-021-00430-w</u>.

- 12. Trojovsky P. On Diophantine equations related to order of appearance in Fibonacci sequence. Math. 2019; 7(11): 1073-1083. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/math7111073</u>.
- 13. Shanmuganandham P, Deepa C. Sum of Squares of 'n' Consecutive Carol Numbers. Baghdad Sci J. 2023 Mar. 1; 20(1(SI)): 0263-0263. <u>https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2023.8399</u>
- 14. Devi MU, Kamaraj M, Arockiaraj S. Odd Fibonacci Edge Irregular Labeling for Some Trees Obtained from Subdivision and Vertex Identification Operations. Baghdad Sci. J 2023; 20(1(SI)): 0332-0332. <u>https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2023.8420</u>.
- 15. Athab AS, Hashim HR. On Certain Prime Numbers in Lucas Sequences. 7th Int Conf. Comb Cryptography Comp Sci Comput. 2022; 157–168. <u>http://i4c.iust.ac.ir/UPL/Paper2022/accpapers/i4c2022</u> -1102.pdf.
- 16. Athab AS, Hashim HR. The Generalized Lucas Primes in the Landau's and Shanks' Conjectures. J Math Stat. 2023; 4(1): 41–57. https://doi.org/10.32996/jmss.2023.4.1.4.
- 17. Stein W, Joyner D, Kohel D, Cremona J, Burçin E, Estrada D, et al. SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.0), 2020. <u>http://www.sagemath.org</u>

حلول معادلة مربعي فيرما وتعميمها في متواليات لوكاس

علي صحن عذاب ، حيدر رحيم هاشم

قسم الرياضيات، كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات، جامعة الكوفة، النجف، العراق.

الخلاصة

من المعروف أن هناك عدد غير منتهي من الأعداد الأولية في أشكال خاصة مثل شكل مربع فيرما $p = x^2 + y^2$ ، أو تعميمها الذي يحتوي على الشكل لا يحتوي على الشكل مربع فيرما $p = x^2 + y^2$ ، و y , x و y , x و العداد الصحيحة. الهدف الرئيسي من هذه الورقة هو التحقق مما إذا كانت الاشكال أعلاه لا تزال تحتوي على العديد من الحلول أم لا عندما تكون هذه المتغيرات مشتقة من متواليات تحتوي على عدد غير منتهي من الأعداد الأولية في أشكال خاصة مثل مربع فيرما و معن الأعداد المحيحة. الهدف الرئيسي من هذه الورقة هو التحقق مما إذا كانت الاشكال أعلاه لا تزال تحتوي على العديد من الحلول أم لا عندما تكون هذه المتغيرات مشتقة من متواليات تحتوي على عدد غير منتهي من الأعداد الصحيحة. المتغيرات مشتقة من متواليات تحتوي على عدد غير منتهي من الأعداد الأولية. بتعبير أدق ، تركز هذه الورقة على التحقيق في حلول هذه الأشكال عندما تمثل هذه المتغيرات مصطلحات في تسلسلات تكرار خطية ثنائية معينة تسمى متواليات لوكاس من النوع الأول والنوع الثاني الأشكال أحلول أم لا عندما تكون من المتغيرات مشتقة من متواليات تحتوي على على عدد غير منتهي من الأعداد الأولية. بتعبير أدق ، تركز هذه الورقة على التحقيق في حلول والنوع الأشكال عندما تمثل هذه المتغيرات مصطلحات في تسلسلات تكرار خطية ثنائية معينة تسمى متواليات لوكاس من النوع الأول والنوع الثاني.

الكلمات المفتاحية: : معادلة ديوفانتاين، المنحنيات الأهليجيه، نظرية مربعي فيرمات، متتاليات لوكاس، الأعداد الأولية.