

Synthesis, Characterization, Biological Activity, and Molecular Docking Study of Some New Sulfamethoxazole Derivatives.

Athra G. Sager*¹ $\square \bigcirc$, Jawad Kadhim Abaies $^{1} \square \bigcirc$, Rusul Abdulridah Issa $^{2} \square \oslash$

¹ Department of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Waist, Waist, Iraq.

² Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Waist, Waist, Iraq.

*Corresponding Author.

Received 10/05/2023, Revised 11/09/2023, Accepted 13/09/2023, Published Online First 20/04/2024

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International</u> <u>License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

In this paper, new Sulfamethoxazole derivatives were studied in order to improve the treatment efficiency of this medicinal compound. Six compounds of Schiff bases were prepared via an intermediate reaction of Mannich bases. Two derivatives of thiadiazine heterocyclic compound were prepared as well. Characterization and the stereo conformations of the synthesized compounds were determined using ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, and FT-IR techniques, in addition to elemental analysis and melting point measurements. The biological activity of the produced compounds against five types of bacteria including (Staphylococcus aureus Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumonia Salmonella, and *Proteus*) was investigated. The obtained results showed that biological activities against bacteria vary from high activity to not active. Moreover, (PyRx) software was utilized for the calculation of the binding affinity (kcal/mol) for the prepared compounds with proteins FYV (S. aureus), 4H2M (E. coli), 6P4T (Salmonella). The highest linking values with proteins were found to be (-9.4) with 4H2M and (-7.8) with 6P4T, whereas the lowest values were found (-5.3) with FYV. On the other hand, the results revealed that amino acids located around the prepared compound, which were linked through hydrogen bonding or charged and (aryne-aryne) hydrophobic interactions were also determined. In addition, the three dimensions' shape, which explains the linkage way between proteins and the synthesized compounds, was stated. By recognizing the characteristic features of amino acids, which surround these compounds, the electronic densities of the compounds were also reported.

Keywords: Bacteria, Insilico, Mannich bases, Molecular docking, Sulfamethoxazole.

Introduction

Sulfamethoxazole (Sulf), 4-amino-N-(5methylisoxazol-3-yl) benzene sulfonamide is a drug derivative of the sulfonamide compound. It is exploited as an inhibitor for the growth of various sorts of bacteria including E. coli, Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Morganella morganii, Proteus mirabilis, and Proteus Vulgari. Sulfamethoxazole works together with trimethoprim to prevent folic acid formation, which stops the growth and reproduction of bacteria^{1,2}. These therapeutic drugs have made significant contributions to increase average human life expectancy^{3,4}. Chemists have a significant role in improving drug industry by exploiting novel methods to prepare original physiologically active compounds⁵. In recent years, researchers have carried out many works for synthesizing various sulfamethoxazole derivatives. These derivatives have been widely used in clinical medication as a pharmacological agent with a wide range of biological applications such as anti-cancer ³, antiviral agents⁴, antifungal⁵, herbicidal activities⁶, mycobacterial^{7,} and anti-tubercular ⁸. The aim of the

present work was to improve the efficiency of sulfamethoxazole via the synthesis of new sulfamethoxazole derivatives by exploiting the appropriate procedures to prepare physiologically active compounds. One of these is the Mannich reaction, which is a condensation reaction of different compounds. The Mannich reaction involved the condensation of aldehyde, amine, and compounds that have H-activity. For many years, The Mannich reaction has been an essential method for vielding molecules with desirable pharmacological characteristics^{9,10}. The significant applications of these produced compounds have withdrawn researchers' attention accordingly; this led to extending their efforts in doing much research in this field of knowledge¹¹. The scientific reports indicated that sulfonamides incorporated into Mannich bases have been displayed as remarkably

Materials and Methods

Write Sulfamethoxazole was acquired from SDI in Iraq. All reagents and solvents were obtained from Sigma Aldrich, Germany. All the solvents used were purified before use. The Veego VMP-PM apparatus was utilized to measure melting points. To observe the reaction progress, a thin layer chromatogram (TLC) was carried out exploiting Merck silica gel 60 F254 and visualization by UV light, and the solvent used was ethyl acetate: benzene (1:3). Shimadzu 8400 S, Japan, was used ¹H-¹³CNMR to record the FT-IR spectra. spectroscopic measurements were carried out using a 400 MHz NMR spectrophotometer at the College of Sciences, University of Basra. Micro elemental analysis CHN at the University of Tehran, Iran.

General procedure for the synthesis of Mannich bases (a, b).

To a solution of sulfamethoxazole (10 mmol) in absolute ethanol (10 mL), (10 mmol) of formalin was added directly. The acetophenone or piceol was then added drop by drop¹⁸. After that, the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC, using a mixture of (1:3) ethyl acetate and benzene. The resulting crude solids were recrystallized from ethanol, and then the obtained product was filtered and dried.

N-(5-methylisoxazol-3-yl)-4-((3-oxo-3-phenylpropyl) amino) benzene sulfonamide. a.



effective antibacterial agents, and are less toxic than the parent sulfonamide $^{12-14}$. On the other hand, both series of heterocyclic ring thiadiazine and of Schiff bases compounds are designed; and synthesized . The development of drugs is a process that aims to design safe and effective medications to improve life's quality and to reduce suffering to a minimum. Computational drug design methods minimize time and the cost needed for the drug designing process in comparison to traditional drug discovery methods ¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Aims of this study to design safe and effective new Sulfamethoxazole derivatives and study molecular docking performed by using the PyRx software, which is an important tool for gaining an understanding of the binding interactions between a- ligand-protein then preparation of compounds and application in the laboratory.

The physical data were given in Table 1. Yield: 83%, $R_f = 0.36$; MP. 110-111°C; FTIR (KBr) (cm⁻¹). 1374 (C-N), 1634(C=O), 3157-3046 (CH, Ar-H), 3383(NH); ¹H- NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz), δ (ppm): 2.25 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.59 (m, 2H, -CH₂C=O), 3.43 (m, 2H, CH₂NH), 4. 55 (s, 1H, NH), 6.11(s, 1H, CH, oxazole), 6.61-7.98 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 10.97 (s, 1H, -NHSO₂); ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz), δ (ppm): 198(C=O), 31.8 (CH₂), 26.5(CH₂NH), 12.1(CH₃), 96 and 170 (carbon in oxazole ring), 112-137.4 (aromatic carbon), 198.3 (carbonyl). Elemental Analysis: Calc: C, 59.21; H,4.97; N, 10.90. Found: C, 60.01; H, 4.91; N, 10.93.

4-((3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-oxopropyl) amino)-N-(5-methylisoxazol-3-yl) benzene sulfonamide. **b**.

The physical data were given in Table 2. Yield =88%, $R_f = 0.52$; Mp.162-163 °C; FT-IR: 2936cm⁻¹ (Aliphatic carbon), 3626cm⁻¹(OH) ¹H- NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz) δ (ppm): 2.28 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.53(m, 2H, CH₂C=O), 4.01(s, 2H, CH₂NH), 6.05(s, 1H, NH), 6.37(s, 1H, CH in oxazole), 6.7-7.77(m, 9H, Ar-H), 9.1(s, 1H, OH). ¹³C-NMR (DMSO, 400 MHz), δ (ppm): 45.31(CH₂), 39.5 (CH₂NH), 17.25 (CH₃), 92.01and 95 (two carbons in oxazole), 191.5 (C=O), 114-139 (aromatic carbons). Elemental Analysis: Calc: C, 56.85; H, 4.77; N, 10.47; found: C,57.01; H,4.71; N,11.01.

General procedure for reduction of ketones 1a, 1b without additional solvent.

(Clemmensen reduction without Hg)

To a mixture of ketones (a & b) (1 mmol) and zinc dust (3 mmol), a mixture of 20 mL of concentrated HCl and 20 mL of distilled water was added. The obtained mixture was then refluxed overnight. The reaction progress was monitored by a thin layer of chromatography (TLC). At the end of the reaction, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The solvent was eliminated via the evaporator, and the residue was purified by re-crystallization.

General procedure for preparation of compounds (2a, 2b)

To a solution of compounds (1a &1b) (1 mmol) in DMF (20 mL), chloroacetyl chloride (3 ml, 3 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixtures were refluxed for 8 hours. Then, the produced mixture was cooled, filtered, dried, and recrystallized from ethanol. Tables. 1 and 2 show the spectroscopic measurements data (FT-IR, ¹H-NMR, and ¹³C-NMR) of compounds 2a and 2

General procedure for preparation of compounds (3a and 3b)

A mixture of (2 ml, 2 mmol), 99.5% Hydrazine hydrate with (1 mmol) compounds (2a and 2b) were refluxed for 6 hours. The reaction was monitored by TLC. The produced precipitate was collected, washed, and recrystallized from ethanol. Tables. 1 and 2 show the spectroscopic measurements data (FT-IR, ¹H-NMR, and ¹³C-NMR) of compounds 3a and 3b

General procedure for preparation of compounds Schiff bases (3a_i-3b_{ii})

To a stirred solution of aldehyde derivatives (5mmol) in ethanol (10 mL) for 25 min at room temperature, a few drops of GAA were added. (5 mmol) of compound 2a or 2b in ethanol (10 mL) was added dropwise, and the mixture was refluxed for 4 hours. The development of the reaction was followed by TLC with an eluent solution of ethyl acetate: benzene (1:3) mixture. Subsequently, the mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure to remove solvents. The precipitate was filtered and recrystallized. The reactions yielded compounds 3ai-3bii. The produced compounds were dried at 70 °C, and then the melting point was measured.

Baghdad Science Journal

Tables 1 and 2 show the spectroscopic measurement data (FT-IR, ¹H-NMR, and ¹³C-NMR) of compounds 3a_i-3b_{ii}.

General procedure for preparation of compounds (4a and 4b)

To a solution of compounds (3a and 3b) (1 mmol) in ethanol (20 ml), (2.73g, 1 mmol) of p-chloro phenyl isocyanate was added dropwise and refluxed for 6 hrs. The reaction was monitored with TLC. The produced mixture was filtered, dried, and recrystallized from ethanol. Tables 1 and 2 show the spectroscopic measurement data (FT-IR, ¹H-NMR, and ¹³C-NMR) of compounds 4a and 4b.

General procedure for preparation of compounds (5a and 5b)

A small amount of compound (4a or 4b) (1 mmol) was mixed carefully with ethyl acetoacetate (1mmol) and ethanol (15 mL) was mixed carefully. The mixture was refluxed for 3 hours. The produced mixture was concentrated and cooled with crushed ice to form a precipitate. The formed precipitate was then filtered, dried, and recrystallized from ethanol. Tables 1 and 2 show the spectroscopic measurements data (FT-IR, ¹H-NMR, and ¹³C-NMR) of compounds 5a and 5b.

Antimicrobial activity

The diffusion plates technique in disc-agar was utilized for testing compound efficacy against five strains (Staphylococcus of bacteria aureus, Klebsiella pneumonia. Escherichia coli. Salmonella, and Proteus), which were obtained from the research laboratory, College of Science, Wasit University. These bacteria strains were grown in an incubator at 37 °C for 24 hrs. The inhibition zoom mm) was exploited to determine antibacterial activity after incubating the sample for 24 hours. On the other hand, three different doses of the synthesized chemicals were prepared by diluting them in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) with concentrations of [50, 100, and 200 g/mL]. The biological activity of these doses was compared to Sulfamethoxazole activity. Whatman (no.3), filter paper discs (mm), were impregnated with 20 ml of each of the prepared diluted solvents, and the discs were stored at room temperature. Muller Hinton agar was covered with discs containing both natural and synthetic ingredients^{19, 20}.

Results and Discussion

Newly synthesized derivatives of sulfamethoxazole are presented in Schemes 1 and 2. FT-IR frequencies of Schiff bases, mannich bases, and the new heterocyclic compounds are shown in Table1. FT-IR spectra of (a and b) compounds showed sharp peaks appearing at 1374 and 1385cm⁻¹, which are assigned to the presence of (-C-N-) groups in structures of compounds (a and b), respectively. These spectra also show the appearance of a single sharp peak (instead of double sharp peaks of NH₂ groups) at 3383, 3401 cm⁻¹, which indicates the existence of just (N-H) groups in structures of a and b compounds, respectively. Moreover, the (C-H) aliphatic peaks are located between 2980 and 2700 cm⁻¹, which refers to the formation of the CH₂-CH₂-NH. FT-IR spectra of Schiff base (3a_i-3b_{ii}) show the absorption of azomethine (CH=N) groups listed in Table 1 On the other hand, FT-IR spectra of compounds (5a and 5b) show the disappearance of v(-NHNH₂), and (CH₂) groups, that considered good proof of the formation of thiadiazine ring in compounds (5a and 5b). Table 1 all important vibrational for shows new compounds²¹. ¹H-NMR spectrums of compounds (a, 3b_{ii}), show important characteristics of chemical shifts (DMSO, δppm) as listed in Table 3. ¹H NMR spectrum of compound a shows a signal at $\delta 2.25$ ppm due to (3H) in methyl group(CH₃), chemical shift seen at $\delta 2.59$ ppm due to (2H) in the CH₂C=O group²². A chemical shift was noticed at δ 3.43 ppm due to (2H) in the CH_2NH group²². A signal



appeared at δ 6.11 ppm due to (1H) of the oxazole ring and the region at δ 6.61-7.59 ppm due to aromatic protons, while a signal is assigned to a group (NHCH₂) at δ 4.86 ppm. The spectrum also shows a signal at δ 10.97 ppm belongs to (SO₂NH) as seen in Fig. 1. Fig. 3 shows the spectrum to ¹H-NMR of compound 5b as listed in Table 3. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound (a) is shown in Fig. 2, which displays signals of aromatic carbons at 111-136 ppm (aromatic carbons). Signals appeared at 26.9, and 19.3 ppm were assigned to (CH₂) groups, and signals were seen at 11.7 ppm due to (CH₃) group, and 96 ppm belonged to (HC=) group in heterocyclic. All signals of ¹³C NMR of compounds are shown in Table 3. It displayed signals attributed to compound 5a that attached to thiadiazine moiety, (CH₃)group attached to is oxazole ring, for two-CH- groups of thiadiazine ring as shown in Table 4.



Scheme 1. Synthesis Mannich bases a and b.

	Tuble 1.11 comparison of experimental vibrational frequencies auta (a e.s.).								
No.	v	υNH	vC-Н	С-Н	С-Н	vC-N	Others.		
	(OH)		Hetro	Aromatic	Alpha.				
a	_	3383	3157	3111-3046	2971-2928	1677	C=O(1634),S-N(961)		
3ai	-	3331	3132	3073-3002	2965-2915	1593	C=O(1735)		
3a _{ii}	-	3362	3142	3111-3008	2985-2926	1610	C=O(1712)		
5a	-	3324	3131	3080-3002	2945-2915	1539	S-C(1165)		
b	3526	3382	3154	3054	2936	1626	C-N934		
3b	3541	3267	3171	3123-3019	2954	1604	C=O(1702),NH ₂ (3412)		
3bi	3528	3263	3155	3134-3001	2943	1604	C=O(1712)		
3b _{ii}	3546	3245	3175	3094-3002	2935	1643	C=O(1732)		
5b	3534	3415	3112	3088-3013	2939	1547	S-C(1143)SO ₂ (1373)		

 Table 1. A comparison of experimental vibrational frequencies data (a-5b).











Scheme 2. Synthesis s sulfamethoxazole derivative of Schiff bases 3ai-3aiv and thiadiazine 5a

Table 2. ¹ H and ¹³ C-NMR spectral data (δppm) for selected prepa	ared compounds(1a-5b).
---	------------------------

No.	Name	H-NMR	¹³ C-NMR
1a	N-(5-methylisoxazol-3-yl)-	$2.3(s, 3H, CH_3), 1.9 (m, 2H, CH_2),$	17.21 (-CH ₃), 39.1,33.5 and $46.7(2CH_3)$
	benzene sulfonamide.	$5.52(1,2H, CH_2-NH), 5.21(1,2H, CH_2-AI),$ 7.24-7.81(m,9H,Ar-H).	113.5-141(aromatic-carbon).
			``````````````````````````````````````
5a	N-(5-methylisoxazol-3-yl)-	2.61 (s, 3H, CH ₃ ), 2.03 (m, 2H, CH ₂ ), 5.54	12.7 (-CH ₃ ), 48.5(-CH ₂ NH).
	N-(2-(phenylamino)-4H-	(s, 1H, C-H thiadiazine), 6.67-7.51 (m, 14H,	119-147 (aromatic carbon).
	1,3,4-thiadiazin-6-yl)-4-((3-	Ar-H), 9.13(s, 1H,NH-Ph),	157 (Carbon in thiadiazine).
	phenylpropyl)amino)	10.61(s, 1H, NH thiadiazine).	
39:	2-(2-(furan-2-vlmethylene)	2 12 (s 3H CH ₂ ) 2 01 (m 2H CH ₂ ) 3 91(t	14.8(-CH ₂ ) 29-44.3(4CH ₂ )
Ju	hydrazinyl)-N-(5-methyl	$2H. CH_2-NH)$ , $3.1$ (t. $2H.CH_2-Ar$ ), $8.14$ (s.	110.2-131.6(aromatic carbon).
	isoxazol-3-yl)-N-((4-((3-	1H, CH=N).	147, 149.1( furan).
	phenylpropyl)amino)		173.4( carbonyl(C=O)).
	phenyl) sulfonyl)acetamide		
3a _{ii}	N-(5-methylisoxazol-3-yl)-	2.52 (s, 3H, CH ₃ ), 2.01 (m, 2H, CH ₂ ), 3.26	17.4(-CH ₃ ).
	N-((4-((3-phenylpropyl)	$(t,2H, CH_2-NH), 2. 3(t, 2H, CH_2-Ar), 8.53 (s, 11) CH_2-NH)$	29.3-44.2(4CH ₂ ).
	amino)phenyl)sulfonyl)-2-	IH, CH=N).	112.1-129.4(aromatic
	(2-(unopnen-2ynneurynene) hydrazinyl)acetamide		(C=0)
3a;;;	2-(2-(4-chlorobenzylidene)	2.01(s, 3H, CH ₃ ), 1.91 (m, 2H, CH ₂ ), 3.43	16-47.2 (aliphatic carbon).
e uni	hydrazinyl)-N-(5-methyl	$(t,2H, CH_2-NH), 2. 61(t, 2H,CH_2-Ar), 8.01$	119-134 (aromatic carbon).
	isoxazol-3-yl)-N-((4-((3-	(s, 1H, CH=N).	176.1 ( carbonyl(C=O)).
	phenylpropyl)amino)		
	phenyl)sulfonyl)acetamide		
3aiv	2-(2-(4-bromobenzylidene)	2.41(s, 3H, CH ₃ ), 2.17 (m, 2H, CH ₂ ), 3.71	19.3-42.5(aliphatic carbon),
	hydrazinyl)-N-(5-methyl	$(t, 2H, CH_2-NH), 7.35-7.78(m, 13H, CH_2-Ar),$	110-137.6(aromatic carbon).



	isoxazol-3-yl)-N-((4-((3-	8.51 (s, 1H, CH=N).	171.3( carbonyl(C=O)).
	phenylpropyl)amino)		
	phenyl)sulfonyl)acetamide		
2b	4-((3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)	2.1(s, 3H, CH ₃ ), 1.87 (m, 2H, CH ₂ CH ₂ -CH),	19.3(-CH ₃ ), 35.2, 37.51 and
	propyl)amino)-N-(5-	3.81 (t. 2H. CH ₂ -NH). 2.91 (t. 2H.CH ₂ -Ar).	49.1(3CH ₂ ).
	methylisoxazol-3-yl)	7.03-7.48 (m, 8H, H-Ar), 9.3(s, 1H, OH-	112.6-143(aromatic carbon).
	benzene sulfonamide	Ar)	
5b	4-((3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)	2.36 (s. 3H, CH ₃ ), 5.39 (s. 1H, C-H	18-49.2 (aliphatic carbon).
0.0	propyl)amino)-N-(5-methyl	thiadiazine) $655-756 (m 13H Ar-H) 905$	119 1-150(aromatic carbon)
	isoxazol-3-vl)-N-(2-(phenvl	(s 1H OH-Ar) 10 29 (s 1H NH-Ph)	156 (Carbon in thiadiazine)
	amino)-4H-1 3 4-thiadiazin-	10.9 (s. 1H NH thiadiazine)	100 (Curbon in unadazine).
	6-vl)benzene sulfonamide	10. 9 (3, 111, 1111 underdzinie).	
3h.	$2_{-}(2_{-}(furan_{-}2_{-}vl) methylene)$	2.6 (s. 3H, CH ₂ ), 1.93 (m. 2H, CH ₂ ), 3.72(t	$13.61(-CH_2)$
501	2-(2-(101an-2-y1) - 1000)	2.6 (s, 511, CH3), 1.95 (iii, 211, CH2), $5.72(t, 2H, CH2, NH)$ 3.48 (t. 2H, CH2, $\Lambda r$ ) 6.8	$26.1 \text{ and } 30.7 (3CH_{\circ})$
	hydroxyphenyl)propyl)	7.65(m.8H.H.Ar) 7.83(d.1H.furan)	114, 136 (aromatic carbon)
	amino)phonyl)sulfonyl) N	2.05(11, 011, 11-AI), $7.05(0, 111, 10101)$ , $2.42(2, 111, CH-N)$	14-130 (aromatic-carbon).
	(5 mathediagnagel 2 ml)	$0.42(8, 1\Pi, C\Pi - N)$	140,145.0(10101).
	(5-methylisoxazoi-3-yi)		1/7.1( carbonyl(C=O)).
21	acetamide. $N_{i}$	2.42 ( $211.011$ ) $16.9$ ( $22.011.011$ ) $2.41$ ( $4$	17.9( CU )
3Dii	N-((4-((3-(4-nydroxy	$2.42$ (s, $3H$ , $CH_3$ ), $16.8$ (m, $2H$ , $CH_2$ ), $3.41$ (t,	$1/.8(-CH_3),$
	phenyl)propyl)amino)	2H, CH ₂ -NH), $2.47$ (t, 2H,CH ₂ -Ar), 8.63 (s,	26.5, 35.1, and 42.3(3CH ₂ ).
	phenyl)sulfonyl)-N-(5-	IH, CH=N).	116-13/(aromatic carbon).
	methylisoxazol-3-yl)-2-(2-		176.1( carbonyl(C=O)).
	(thiophen-2-ylmethylene)		
	hydrazinyl) acetamide		



Figure 2. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound a.





Figure 3. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 5b.

Table 3.	CHN	analysis	data and	physical	properties o	f selected	prepared	compounds.
		•		1 1	1 1		1 1	1

No.	Mol. Formulas	Yield (%)	MP. °C.	Color	Solvent	Calc. (Found)
					re-cryst.	
1a	$C_{19}H_{21}N_3O_3S$	67	116	White	Ethanol	С,61.44( 60.32); Н,
						5.709(5.60); N,11.31(10.64)
5a	$C_{28}H_{28}N_6O_3S_2$	68	153	Deep	Ethanol	C,59.98(60.02); H,5.03(5.21);
				yellow		N, 14.99(14.77)
3ai	$C_{26}H_{27}N_5O_5S$	43	139	yellow	Ethanol	C,59.87(59.7); H, 5.22(4.97);
						N, 13.43(13.07)
3a _{ii}	$C_{26}H_{27}N_5O_4S_2$	62	143	brown	Ethanol	C, 58.08(57.6); H, 5.06(4.86);
						N, 13.03(12.97).
3a _{iii}	$C_{28}H_{28}ClN_5O_4S$	67	181	Light	Ethanol	C,59.41(59.03); H, 4.99(4.37);
				yellow		N, 12.37(11.79).
3a _{vi}	$C_{28}H_{28}BrN_5O_4S$	72	187	yellow	Ethanol	C,55.08(53.98);H,4.62(4.52);
						N, 11.47(11.04).
2b	$C_{19}H_{21}N_3O_4S$	57	174	White	Ethanol	C,58.90(58.63);H,5.46(4.98);
						N,10.85(10.17)
5b	$C_{28}H_{28}N_6O_4S_2\\$	71	206	Light	Ethanol	C,58.32(57.28); H, 4.89(4.01);
				brown		N, 14.57(14.10)
3b _i	$C_{26}H_{27}N_5O_6S$	43	183	Deep	Ethanol	C,58.09(58.62);H,5.06(5.36);
				brown		N,13.03(12.82).
3b _{ii}	$C_{26}H_{27}N_5O_5S_2$	54	191	Light	Ethanol	C,56.40(57.07);H,4.92(5.03);
_				yellow		N,12.65(12.12).

#### **Antibacterial Activity**

Table. 5 exhibits the outcome of antimicrobial activity versus three strains of Gram-positive bacteria (*Salmonella, Staphylococcus aureus, and* 

*Proteus*), and two strains of Gram-negative bacteria *(Escherichia coli and Klebsiella)* confronted with Sulfamethoxazole as the control. Outcomes of compounds a,b,5a,5b, 3a_i, 3a_{ii}, 3b_i, and 3b_{ii} showed excellent bioactivity against *E. coli, staphylococcus* 



*aureus*, and *proteus* with a concentration of 3 mg.ml⁻¹. Also, compounds a, and be inhabited a good activity against *salmonella*²⁴. In contrast, a weak action was noticed for a compound against

Klebsiella pneumonia as shown in Table 4. Also,Fig.4. shows the inhibitory effects around each disctocompoundsaandb.

No	10-3	E-coli	Klebsiella	Salmonella	Staphylococcus	Proteus
			pneumoniae		aureus	
	1	10	-	6	6	8
a	2	14	6	8	8	14
	3	17	6	11	12	22
b	1	15	8	10	12	9
	2	19	10	10	16	17
	3	22	17	11	26	21
5a	1	27	10	6	6	8
	2	24	10	7	4	14
	3	26	14	10	25	27
5b	1	20	-	8	6	10
	2	24	9	7	10	18
	3	29	12	10	17	27
3ai	1	14	17	17	-	12
	2	12	20	22	20	15
	3	12	21	21	19	20
3a _{ii}	1	4	-	11	6	-
	2	10	12	16	10	4
	3	14	14	19	12	8
3bi	1	12	10	14	4	-
	2	18	14	16	4	4
	3	22	18	21	8	10
3b _{ii}	1	8	6	10	-	7
	2	10	6	11	-	10
	3	12	7	14	4	18
(Sulf)	1	-	-	-	-	-
	Staphyl	lococcus aureu	LS .	Klebsiella pneu	moniae	
Amoxicillin	-			-		
Impenem	30			26		
Doxvcvcline	22			14		
Ampicillin	-			-		

Table 4. Shows Antibacterial action data.



Figure 4. The inhibitory effects around each disc a and b.

#### **Molecular Docking Study**

Study silico was carried out on some synthesized compounds in order to foretell their closeness to bacterial protein 4H2M from *E. coli*, 3FYV from

*S.aureus*, and 6P4T from Salmonella. Analysis of the docking demonstrates that some sulfamethoxazole derivatives displayed they inhabit the different domains of 4H2M, 3FYV, and 6P4T binding pockets with perfect docking interaction scores Table 5.

As explained in the empirical outcomes, these compounds a -5b showed activity toward bacteria, and the docking studies of Sulfamethoxazole derivatives uncovered their ability as antibacterial. All compounds (a-5b) have aromatic rings in their structures, which show remarkable hydrophobic interactions along with the amino acid in the protein. These compounds are ranked according to their binding energy, and a check of each

molecule's total interactions with the binding site was effectively performed by counting the total number of H-bonds. As a detailed docking of all compounds, it can be noted that compound 5a contains in its structure a thiadiazin ring, which formed three conventional H-bond with (4H2M in E. coil) ALA; A:69, ASN: A:144, and TYR;A:145 via the amine groups. Furthermore, it is important as an inhibitor is perceptible through many hydrophobic interactions such as alkyl and Pi-Alkyl with HIS;A:43, MET;A:86, ALA:143 and PHE, A:89, Pi-Pi stacked with HIS, A:43, Pi-Sulfur with MET;A:25.

On the other hand, compound 5a is linked mainly with protein 3FYV by one H-bond with residues: ASN;A:26. Also, the occurrences of a perceptible inhibition through hydrophobic interactions such as Pi-Sigma via VAL.A:137, Alkyl and Pi-Alkyl with LYS;A:33, LYS;A:29, LEU;A:34 and HIS;A:30. Additionally, compound 5b bonded mainly with protein 4H2M by eight H-bonds, with GLN; A: 157, GLN; A:153, GLY;A:111, GLU:A:185, and VAL;A:181. Furthermore, it is an inhibition took



place through hydrophobic interactions such as Pi-Pi T-shaped with HIS;A:43. Whereas, compound 5b, consists of a thiadiazin ring, which showed docking results with 3FYV (*S.aureus*) via one Hbond in ASN:26.

The obtained results revealed that most of our compounds exhibit an interesting antibacterial activity (binding affinity= - 6.0 to -9.4 kcal/mol) compared referenced to the compound, sulfamethoxazole (binding affinity= -7.1 kcal/mol). Energy values of the complexes (target -protein) resulting from the interactions is referred to as the lower energy, more stable complex, and better activity. The compounds (a-5a), exhibited the lowest energy value -9.4 (kcal/ mol). In general, docking study indicates that compounds bonding with 3FYV is less than 4H2M, and this demonstrates the weak efficiency towards Grampositive bacteria. Figs. 5-15 show the bonding of some compounds in proteins. Table 5 shows various interactions involved between receptors and compounds, types of bonding, and bond length.

No.	Type protein	Binding	H-bond (Bond length ( A ^o ))	Types of bond (Bond length( A ^o ))
		affinity		
	4H2M	-9.1	TYR A: 211(1.87).	Pi-pi T-shaped (5.23), Pi-cation(4.15)
	(E. Coli)		GUL A: 240(2.26).	Carbon (3.72, 3.35), Pi-Alkyl(4.47),
а				Pi-sigma (3.44), Doner-Doner (2.23).
	3FYV	-8.9	THR-121(2.09).	Pi-pi stacked (3.86)(3.39),
	(S. aureus)		ASN-18(2.91).	Pi-DonerAmide-Pi-stacked(4.23),
				Pi-Alkyl(5.08, 5.44, 4.09), Pi-Alkyl,
				Alkyl(3.84), Pi-Pi-T-shaped (5.08)
	6P4T	-6.4	SERE94(2.13);	Pi-Sigma (3.55, 3.74, 3.79),
	(Salmonella)		ASP-E87(1.78).	Pi-Alkyl (4.93).
			ARG-D90(2.17).	
	4H2M	-7.9	TYR A:145(2,54).	Pi-Alkyl( 4.21, 4.6), Pi-anion (4.76),
	(E.coli)		ASN A:144(2.36).	Pi -Pi-Stacked(5.94), carbon(3.49, 2.78),
				Pi-sulfur(5.57),Pi-sigma(5.61).
	3FYV	-5.3	ASN X;18(2.32).	Pi-Alkyl(4.78), Alkyl(4.50),
3a _i	(S. aureus)		SER X:49(2.55).	Pi-Cation(3.59), Pi -Pi-Stacked(3.76).
			GLN X:19(2.5)	
	6P4T	-7.2	LYS E:83(2.12)	Pi-Alkyl( 4.83), Pi-anion(4.76),
	(Salmonella)		ARG E:90(2.32)	Pi -Pi-Stacked(3.37,4.84),
			ARG B:90(2.89)	Charge-Charge (4.78, 4.59).
			LYS B:83(2.61)	
			ASP E:87(2.47).	
	4H2M	-6.0	SERA:114(2.15).	Pi-Alkyl (4.01), Pi-Pi Stacked(3.91),
	(E.coli)		GLYA:111(3.01)	Pi-Sulfur(5.51).
			GLN A:157(2.87).	



3a _{ii}	3FYV (S. aureus)	-7.9	ASN X:26(2.04, 2.42)	Pi-Alkyl (5.23, 4.36)Pi-anion(4.83) Pi -Pi-Stacked( 3.74, 4.03)Charge-charge(
	6P4T (Salmonella)	-7.7	ASP E:87(2.02). LUS E:8(1.93). LYS A:83(2.25)	2.23, 5.80). Pi-Alkyl (4.01), Pi-anion (3.45), Charge-charge(4.77).
	4H2M (E.coli)	-9.1	ALA A:69(2.86). ASN A:144(2.16) TYR A:14(2.46)	Alkyl(4.03),Carbon(3.64), Sulfur(5.62), Pi-Alkyl(4.87).
5a	3FYV (S. aureus)	-7.1	ASN:26(3.07)	Pi-Alkyl(5.16, 4.66, 5.04), Alkyl(4.02), Pi- sigma(3.76), Pi -Pi-Stacked(5.09).
	6P4T (Salmonella)	-7.9	TYR:91(2.61). ARG:90(2.49). ASP.:87(2.84).	Alkyl(4.4), Pi-Cation(4.59).
b	4H2M (E.coli)	-7.6	TRP221(2.28) TYR68(2.32),ALA 69(2.1) ASN144(2.73),KEU85(2.02)	Pi-pi stacked (5.78), Pi-alkyl(4.41 4.45,4.08, 5.36), Amide-Pi stacked (4.17) Sulfur(5.64).
	3FYV (S. aureus)	-9.4	SER 49(2.13) LYS 45(2.15) PHE 92(2.02)	Pi-pi stacked(4.37),Pi-alkyl(5.19, 5.01, 4.58), Pi – Sigma(3.09), Carbon(3.57), Doner-Doner(2.01)
	6P4T (Salmonella)	-6.7	SER E;94(2.79) ARG E90(2.79) ARG-D90(2.46)	Pi-Alkyl (4.42, 4.82) Pi-Pi stacked(3.7), Pi-Cation(5.0)
3bi	4H2M (E.coli)	-6.9	THR A:113(2.04) GLN A:153(1.84) GLY A:111(2.03) ILE A:109(2.29)	Pi-Alkyl(5.25, 5.01), Pi -Pi-Stacked(3.72), Carbon(3.64), Pi-sulfur(5.72) Pi- Cation(2.93).
	3FYV (S. aureus)	-6.6	ASN X:26 (2.39,1.84)	Pi-alkyl(4.79, 4.72), Pi – Pi Stacked Carbon(3.74), Pi-Cation (3.31), Pi-Doner (3.77), Charge-charge(3.93).
	6P4T (Salmonella)	-7.1	ARG D:90(2.3) LYS D:83(2.78), ASP C:87(2.82) LYS C:83(2.82)	Pi-Alkyl(4.91), Pi -Pi-Stacked(3.74), Carbon(3.43) Pi-Cation(3.86), Pi- anion(3.83).
3bii	4H2M (E.coli)	-6.5	CYS A:182(2.13). GLN A:153(3.32) ILE A:109(3.0)	Pi-pi stacked (3.74), Pi-Alkyl(4.22), Alkyl (4.63, 5.05), Carbon (3.46), Pi-Doner(2.63).
	3FYV (S. aureus)	-6.2	ASN X:26(2.31, 2.71).	Pi-Alkyl (4.01, 4.45). Pi -Pi-Stacked(3.71).
	6P4T (Salmonella)	-7.8	LYS B:83(2.57) ARG B:90(2.67) ASP E:87(2.79)	Pi-Alkyl (5.08, 4.8, 5.19), Pi-Anion(4.17, 3.55), Charge-charge(4.53), Pi-Cation( 2.64).
5b	4H2M (E.coli)	-7.2	GLN A:157(2.69) GLNA:153(2.62) GLY A:111 (2.93) GLU A:185(2.48) VAL A:181(2.64).	Pi-Alkyl (4.13), sulfur (5.12). Pi -Pi-Stacked(3.37), Carbon(3.46),
	3FYV (S. aureus)	-6.0	ASN X:26(2.31, 2.69).	Pi-pi stacked (5.01, 3.68), Pi-alkyl(5.01), Carbon(3.50), Pi-sulfur(5.59)
	6P4T (Salmonella)	-7.5	ASP A:87(2.4, 2.00)	Pi-Alkyl (4.10, 4.91). Pi-Pi stacked(3.68).





Figure 5. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound a with E.coli (PDB ID.4H2M).



Figure 6. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound 3ai with E. coli (PDB ID.4H2M).



Figure 7. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound 3ai with S. aureus (PDB ID.3FYV).



Figure 8. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound 3ai with Salmonella (PDB ID.6P4T).

> GLU A:128

Conventional Hydrogen Bond

Carbon Hydrogen Bond

HIS

ARG A:108

Interactions

H-Bonds Donor

Acceptor



GLN A:157

Pi-Sulfur

Pi-Alkyl





ASN 14

Figure 9. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound 3a ii with E. coli (PDB ID.4H2M).



Figure 10. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound 3aii with Salmonella (PDB ID.6P4T).

Figure 11. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound 5a with E. coli (PDB ID.4H2M).



Figure 12. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound 5a with S. aureus (PDB ID.3FYV).





Figure 13. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound 5a with Salmonella (PDB ID.6P4T).



**Baghdad Science Journal** 

Figure 14. 3D and 2D Binding site interaction of compound b with E. coli (PDB ID.4H2M).



Figure 15. 2D Binding site interaction of compound 5b with Salmonella (PDB ID.6P4T).

b) have been synthesized via the reaction of sulfamethoxazole with acetophenone or piceol, and formaldehyde. Accordingly, modification of Mannich bases via reaction of Schiff bases. All compounds have been characterized by FT-IR, ¹H-¹³C-NMR. The results of these investigations supported the suggested structure of all compounds. Compounds a-5b, are important chemicals that can be considered primary substances for drugs, especially when it is being antibacterial.

#### Conclusion

A molecular docking study of Sulfamethoxazole derivatives compounds with proteins including 6P4T (*Salmonella*), 4H2M (*E. coli*), and 3FYV (*S. aureus*) revealed that compounds have good interactions in the favorable sites with proteins, which was explained by strong bond length, and the lowest binding energy with active sites of proteins. Moreover, it can be concluded that Mannich bases could be used in improving drugs through the designing and modification of more potent compounds. Therefore, Novel Mannich bases (a and

#### Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the University of Wasit for support of this research, and the University of

#### **Authors' Declaration**

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- We hereby confirm that all the Figures and Tables in the manuscript are ours. Furthermore, any Figures and images, that are not ours, have been included with the necessary permission for re-publication, which is attached to the manuscript.

#### **Authors' Contribution Statement**

A. G. S., J. K. A., and R. A. I. Contributed to the design and implementation of the research, to the

#### References

- Escala N, Pineda LM, Ng M G, Coronado LM, Spadafora C, Olmo E D. Antiplasmodial activity, structure-activity relationship and studies on the action of novel benzimidazole derivatives. Sci Rep. 2023; 2023 Jan 6;13(1):285-298. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-27351-z.
- Alsahib S A. Characterization and Biological Activity of Some New Derivatives Derived from Sulfamethoxazole Compound., Baghdad Sci J. 2020; 17(2): 471-480. https://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2020.17.2.047.
- Lee Y T, Tan Y J, On C E. Benzimidazole and its derivatives as cancer therapeutics: The potential role from traditional to precision medicine. Acta Pharm Sin B. 2023; 13(2): 478-497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2022.09.010.
- Al-Mudhafar M M J, Omeer T N-A, Abdul Hadi S L. Bis-Schiff Bases of Isatin Derivatives Synthesis, and their Biological Activities: A Review, Al Must J Pharm Sci. 2022; 22(1): 23-48. https://doi.org/10.32947/ajps.v22i1.827.
- Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, Ren R, at el. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. N Engl. J Med. 2020; 382(13); 1199-1207. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001316</u>.
- Olyaei A, Sadeghpour M, Khalaj M. Mannich bases derived from lawsone and their metal complexes: synthetic strategies and biological properties, RSC Adv. 2020; 10: 30265-30281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA05</u>717G.
- 7. Deepak M N, Shelke U S. Synthesis of N-Mannich bases from 3, 4-dihydropyridine-2 (1H)-ones by

Basra for the help it provided in the analysis of the samples.

- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee in University of Wasit.
- Ethics statement:
  - No animal studies are present in the manuscript. No human studies are present in the manuscript. No potentially identified images or data are present in the manuscript.

analysis of the results, and to the writing of the manuscript.

using nanostructured Cobalt Chloride Doped Polyaniline Composite as Catalyst (PANI-Co). J Phys.: Conf Ser. IOP Publishing. 2020; 1644: 012018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1644/1/012018</u>.

- Hayun H, Gavrila I, Silviana S, Siahaan A E K, Vonnan R F, Latifah M I. Synthesis and antioxidant activity study of new Mannich bases derived from vanillic. Rasayan J Chem. 2020; 13(1): 131-138. https://dx.doi.org/10.31788/RJC.2020.1315300.
- 9. Raoof S S, Sadiq A S. Mannich Bases: Synthesis, Pharmacological Activity, and Applications: A Review. Iraq J. Sci. 2022: 63(12): 5086-5105. https://dx.doi.org/10.24996/ijs.2022.63.12.1.
- Mizushima Y, Kobayashi M. Interaction of antiinflammatory drugs with serum proteins, especially with some biologically active proteins. J Pharm Pharmacol. 1968; 20: 169–173. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-</u> 7158.1968.tb09718.x.
- Ahmed F S, Mohammed K M. Hameed A S. Threecomponent one-pot synthesis of some Mannich base from morpholine and primary amines. Kirkuk Uni. J Sci Stud. 2017; 12(1): 186-201. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.32894/kujss.2017.124970</u>.
- Abdelgawad M A, Bukhari S NA, Musa A, Elmowafy M, Elkomy M H, Nayl A A, et. al. New Sulfamethoxazole Derivatives as Selective Carbonic Anhydrase IX and XII Inhibitors: Design, Synthesis, Cytotoxic Activity and Molecular Modeling. Pharmaceuticals. 2022; 15(9):1134. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph15091134.





- Xu S, Uddin M J, Banerjee S, Duggan K, Musee J, Kiefer J R. et al. Fluorescent indomethacin dansyl conjugates utilize the membrane-binding domain of cyclooxygenase-2 to block the opening to the active site. J Biol Chem. 2019; 294(22): 8690–8698. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.007405.
- Elshihawy M M S, Abdel Aziz Y M, Elgawish MS, Elewa M, Elshihawy HA, Said MM. Pharmacophore modeling, 3D-QSAR, synthesis, and anti-lung cancer evaluation of novel thieno [2,3-d][1,2,3] triazines targeting EGFR. Arch Pharm. 2020; 353: 1900108. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ardp.201900108</u>.
- 15. Alkhaldi A A M, Al-Sanea M M, Nocentini A, Bonardi A, Lasheen D S, Elrazaz E Z, et al. 3-Methylthiazolo[3,2-a]benzimidazole-benzene sulfonamide conjugates as novel carbonic anhydrase inhibitors endowed with anticancer activity: design, synthesis, biological and molecular modeling studies. Eur J Med Chem. 2020; 207: 112745. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112745</u>.
- 16. Eldeeb A H, Abo-Ashour MF, Angeli A, Bonardi A, Lasheen D S, Elrazaz EZ, et al. Novel benzene sulfonamides aryl and aryl sulfone conjugates adopting tail/dual tail approaches: synthesis, carbonic anhydrase inhibitory activity and molecular modeling studies. Eur J Med Chem. 2021; 221: 113486.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113486.

- Yamali C, Sakagami H, Uesawa Y, Kurosaki K, Satoh K, Masuda Y, et al. Comprehensive study on potent and selective carbonic anhydrase inhibitors: Synthesis, bioactivities and molecular modelling studies of 4-(3-(2-arylidenehydrazine-1-carbonyl)-5-(thiophen-2-yl)-1H-pyrazole-1-yl)benzenes sulfonamides. Eur J Med Chem 217. 2021: 113351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113351.
- Sager G S, Salah A S, Mekky A H. Microwave Synthesis, Characterization of Some Novel Curcumin Compound and its Metal Complexes with Antimicrobial, Antioxidant Studies. Int J Med Pharm Res. 2020; 12(1): 429-448. https://doi.org/10.31838/ijpr/2020.12.01.200.
- Kamoon R A, Al-Mudhafar M M J, Omar T N-A. Synthesis, Characterization and Antimicrobial

Evaluation New Azo Compounds Derived from Sulfonamides and Isatin Schiff Base, Int. J Drug Deliv. Technol. 2020; 10(1): 150-155.

- Akili S, Hadda D B, Bitar Y, Balash A, Chehna MF. Design, Synthesis and Characterization of Novel Sulfonamides Derivatives as Anticancer Agent Targeting EGFR TK, and Development of New Methods of Synthesis by Microwave Irradiation. Int J Org Chem. 2021; 11(4): 119-123. https://doi.org/10.4236/ijoc.2021.114014.
- Sangande F, Julianti E, Tjahjono D H. Ligand-Based Pharmacophore Modeling, Molecular Docking, and Molecular Dynamic Studies of Dual Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor of EGFR. Int J Cell Sci Mol Biol. 2020; 21(20): 7779. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21207779.
- Ibraheem I H, Mubder N S, Abdullah M A, Al-Neshmi H. Synthesis, characterization and bioactivity Study from azo –ligand derived frommethyl-2-amino benzoate with some metal ions. Baghdad Sci J. 2023; 20(1): 114-120. https://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2022.6584.
- Rasheed A M, Al-Bayati S M M, Al-Hasani R A M, Shaker M A. Synthesizing Structure and Characterizing Bioactivities of Cr (III), La(III) and Ce(III)Complexes with Nitrogen ,Oxygen and Sulphur donor bidentate Schiff base ligands. Baghdad Sci. J. 2021;b18(4): 1547. https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.4(Suppl.).1545.
- 24. Abdul Kareem H M, Al-Tameemi M, Ibraheem I H, Sameer M. Surfactant Cloud Point Extraction as a Procedure of Preconcentrating for Metoclopramide Determination Using Spectro Analytical Technique. Baghdad Sci J . 2020; 17(1): 57-65.

https://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2020.17.1.0057.

25. Brito T O, Abreu L O, Gomes K M, Lourenço M C S, Pereira P M L, Yamada-Ogatta S F, at.el. Benzoyl thioureas: design, synthesis and antimyco bacterial evaluation, Med. Chem,2020; 16:93-103, <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/15734064156661812081107</u> <u>53</u>.



### تحضير وتشخيص و ودراسة النشاط البيولوجي والالتحام الجزيئي لبعض مشتقات السلفاميثوكسازول الجديدة.

عذراء كطامى صكر 1، جواد كاظم عبيس 1، رسل عبد الرضا عيسى 2

¹ قسم الكيمياء ، كلية العلوم ، جامعة واسط ، واسط ، الكوت ، العراق.
² قسم علوم الحياة ، كلية العلوم ، جامعة واسط ، واسط ، الكوت ، العراق.

#### الخلاصة

تضمنت الدراسة في هذا البحث تحضير مركبات كيميائية جديدة مشتقة من المركب الدوائي السلفاميثوكسازول بهدف تطوير فعاليته العلاجية. تم تحضير ستة مركبات من قواعد شيف بواسطة تفاعل قواعد مانخ، كما تم تحضير مشتقين للحلقة الغير متجانسة ثيادايازين. تشخيص المركبات المحضرة والتاكد من تركيبها تم باستخدام طيف الأشعة تحت الحمراء وطيف الرنين النووي المغناطيسي و تقنية تحليل العناصر بالأضافة الى قياس درجات الاتصهار واللون. تم دراسة الفعالية البايلوجية للمركبات المحضرة وتأثير هاعلى تثبيط خمسة انواع من البكتريا وهي المكورات العنقودية الذهبية، الإشريكية القولونية، الالتهاب الرئوي كليبسيلا، السالمونيلا، والبروتيوس. أظهرت نتائج الدراسة قيم متفاوتة للفعالية البايلوجية تتراوح بين القيمة العالية الى عدم الفعالية. تم استخدام وبروتين (PyRx) لحساب طاقة الارتباط للمركبات مع بروتينات البكتيريا وهي بروتين (YYC) في المكورات العنقودية الذهبية وبروتين (H12M) في الإشريكية القولونية، وبروتين (GP41) في السالمونيلا، حيث تم حساب قيم طاقات الارتباط وكانت اعلى قيمة وبروتين (H12M) في الإشريكية القولونية، وبروتين (GP45) في السالمونيلا، حيث تم حساب قيم طاقات الارتباط وكانت اعلى قيمة وبروتين (H12M) في الإشريكية القولونية، وبروتين (GP45) في السالمونيلا، حيث تم حساب قيم طاقات الارتباط وكانت اعلى قيمة وجدت مع البروتين (H12M) وكانت (5.3-كيلوكلري/مول) و مع البروتين (GP47) كانت (7.8-كيلوكلري/مول) و اقل طاقة ارتباط وجدت مع البروتين (FYV) وكانت (5.3-كيلوكلري/مول). تم تحديد الحوامض الامينية المحيطة بالمركبات والتي ترتبط بهاغالبا وجدت مع البروتين (FYV) وكانت (5.3-كيلوكلري/مول). تم تحديد الحوامض الامينية المحيطة بالمركبات والتي ترتبط بهاغالبا وجدت مع البروتين (FYV) وكانت (5.3-كيلوكلري/مول). تم تحديد الحوامض الامينية المحيطة بالمركبات والتي ترتبط بهاغالبا بواسطة الاصرة الهيدر وجينية اوبواسطة تاثرات هيدروفوبية نوع (شحنة شحنة) او (ارين-ارين )، فضلًا على الحمل براسطة الاصرة الهيدر وجينية اوبواسطة تاثرات هيدروفوبية نوع (شحنة شحنة) او (ارين-ارين )، فضلًا على الحصول على شكل الامينية المحيط بالمركبات المحضرة .

الكلمات المفتاحية: البكتريا، الحاسوبية، قواعد مانخ ، الالتحام الجزيئي، سلفاميثوكسازول.