## Histopathologic Changes and Molecular Characterization of Fascioliasis (a Zoonotic Disease) among Slaughtered Livestock in Erbil and Halabja Abattoirs, Kurdistan Region-Iraq

Qaraman Mamakhidr Koyee\*<sup>©</sup>, Rozhgar Abdullah Khailany<sup>©</sup>, Mahmud Luqman Rahman<sup>©</sup>, Liza Numan Nassraldin<sup>©</sup>

Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Salahaddin, Erbil, Iraq. \*Corresponding Author.

Received 24/07/2023, Revised 28/07/2023, Accepted 30/07/2023, Published Online First 25/12/2023, Published 1/7/2024

### $\odot$ $\odot$

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Abstract

Fascioliasis is a zoonotic disease, caused by the parasites Fasciola (F.) hepatica and F. gigantica, which poses a great threat to the ruminants in addition to humans in many countries, including Iraq. Hence, the study of this parasite and its deleterious impacts on host, morphologically, histopathologically, epidemiologically, and molecularly, is so important. Hence, the current study was designed to investigate this fluke of livestock in Erbil and Halabja slaughterhouses from August to October 2022. To meet the prerequisites of the study, 33 flukes were collected from the sheep and cattle at study sites. The samples were transported to the Zoology Research Laboratory, Salahaddin University-Erbil, Iraq. Some of them were used for morphological identification, and others were preserved in 96% ethanol and stored at -20°C. Subsequently, DNA was extracted. Conversely, pieces of the infested liver were fixed in 99% ethanol and 10% formalin before histopathologic examination. The parasite species were identified using traditional Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), and sequencing methods. Macroscopically, hepatomegaly was the main finding of the infestation. Among livestock, cattle exhibited the highest rate of morbidity, followed by goats and sheep. The amplified DNA region was 98-99%, matched to F. hepatica and F. gigantica sequences. It was also established that 28S rDNA sequencing combined with morphologic characteristics of Fasciola species can be applied as a salient indicator in the identification of flukes. The current study is reckoned as a comprehensive investigation regarding fascioliasis, because it covered the parasite epidemiology, morphology, and molecular identification, despite of histopathologic examination.

Keywords: Fascioliasis, Histopathology, Morphology, Molecular identification, Slaughtered Livestock.

### Introduction

*Fasciola* (*F.*) *hepatica* and *F. gigantica* are common parasitic flatworms that infest small ruminants (ovine and caprine) and large ruminants (bovine) in various countries across the globe, including Iraq.

Humans acquire the aforementioned liver flukes accidentally via water and food<sup>1, 2</sup>, This digenean trematode belongs to the Phylum; *Platyhelminthes*, Class; *Trematoda*, and Family: *Fasciolidae*. Hepatic

biliary ducts of the above-mentioned ruminants are predilection sites and habitats of the adult stage of the parasite, where sexual stages develop<sup>3</sup>.

The parasite life cycle begins with the position of unembryonated eggs, which are released with the feces of previous definitive hosts to the aquatic environment, where eggs become embryonated. following that, the miracidia hatch and attack an appropriate snail intermediate host, such as Lymnaea truncatula. Within the snail, parasites undertake several asexual developmental stages, namely sporocysts, rediae, and cercariae. Eventually, the cercariae depart from the snail and encyst as metacercariae, which serve as the infective stage, on aquatic plants or various surfaces<sup>4</sup>. Mammals get infestation by ingesting plants holding infective stages. Humans acquire the infection by eating metacercariae-containing fresh plants<sup>5, 6</sup>. Postingestion, the encysted metacercaria are exposed to liberate the small form of trematodes in the first part of the small intestine then penetrate the wall of the intestine, the peritoneal membrane, and the hepatocytes into the bile channels, where they grow into mature flat worms<sup>7</sup>. The continuous irritation of bile ducts by adult worms induces severe inflammatory reactions<sup>8</sup>. Inflammatory white blood cells infiltration, primarily phagocytic cells, and lymphocytes with moderate counts of acidophils, an increasing number of cells producing fibrosis around portal regions, with metaplasia and fibrosis of bile canaliculi are other main indications of chronic inflammation<sup>9</sup>. Clinical signs include anemia, systemic toxicity, submandibular swelling, malnutrition, and mortality<sup>10</sup>. Fascioliasis can inadvertently spread to humans when people intake eggs or larval metacercaria, generated inside intermediate hosts<sup>2, 6</sup>. According to the WHO, fascioliasis is reckoned a re-emerging zoonotic disease; it was estimated that more than 2 million people harbored the infection in more than 70 countries around the world, and approximately 91 million people could be at risk of getting it<sup>11</sup>. Certain Asian countries, including Turkey, Iran, Iraq, Yemen, Saudi Arabia, Korea, Japan, India, and



China, are being exposed to this zoonotic disease<sup>12</sup>, <sup>13</sup>. Certain parasitological zoonotic studies are carried out on the livestock animals of Iraq other than fascioliasis<sup>14, 15</sup>. Based on their morphologic physical characteristics, F. hepatica and F. gigantica are differentiated from each other through the ratio between body width and length, and the distance between the distal end and the ventral sucker of the body<sup>16, 17</sup>. Regarding inflammation types, chronic, occasionally acute, or subacute inflammation of the hepatic and biliary tract, together with anemia, submandibular systemic toxicity, swelling, malnutrition, and mortality, is the primary symptom of the disease<sup>10</sup>, it is considered a re-emerging neglected zoonotic disease<sup>18</sup>. This zoonotic disease affects several Asian countries, namely: Turkey, Iran, and Iraq<sup>19-21</sup>.

For a tentative diagnosis, stool investigations, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA), and liver biopsies are recommended<sup>22</sup>. Serological, clinical, and parasitological diagnoses are unable to distinguish between these two species<sup>23</sup>. Conversely, it is difficult to separate Fasciola species morphologically, but a molecular assay based on the numerous nuclear genes and gene spacers' DNA sequences are considered a reliable tool for this purpose<sup>4, 24</sup>. Concerning this, the internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) found in ribosomal DNA (rDNA) as well as the 28S rDNA gene can be utilized to differentiate between F. hepatica and F. gigantica<sup>25</sup>. The ITS-2 sequence acts as a separator between the coding regions of 5.8S and 28S within the rDNA. Thus, it is crucial for the confirmation and genetic description of both Fasciola species. Moreover, the identification, phylogeny, inter- and intra-specific variations, and genotyping analyses of this parasite have previously used mitochondrial genes<sup>16, 26</sup>. Clarifying the morphological and molecular identification of F. hepatica and F. gigantica in the Kurdistan Region of Iraq was a goal of this study. Furthermore, the demonstration of the salient histopathological changes caused by liver fascioliasis in sheep and cattle is inevitable.

# Baghdad Science Journal

### **Materials and Methods**

### **Study Site and Sample Collection**

The current research was carried out in the slaughterhouses of Erbil and Halabja provinces, the Kurdistan region of Iraq from August to October 2022. The survey included non-infected and infected-slaughtered animals. The sexes and species of animals in each province were precisely recorded on standardized data sheets. Liver pieces were squeezed to remove the flukes from the bile ducts and tissues as demonstrated in Fig. 1. Each isolated

liver fluke was examined and classified on its size and shape. A combined sum of 33 flukes was collected from slaughtered animals at different abattoirs of Erbil and Halabja provinces. Flukes were preserved in 96% ethanol and placed in Eppendorf tubes, then labeled for proper identification and preserved at -20°C for morphologic and molecular identification. Also, 2 samples of liver were kept in 96% ethanol and 4 liver samples were stored in 10% formalin and deposited at 4°C for extra histopathologic examinations.



Figure 1. Pressed liver pieces with a sharp blade to pinch the flukes out from the canaliculi of the bile ducts and tissues.

### **Morphological Analysis**

Depending on commonly used taxonomic keys, the liver fluke species were identified according to morphometric criteria<sup>27, 28</sup>. The flukes were embedded in boiled water to eliminate excess alcohol or formalin<sup>16</sup>. Any fluke with dimensions of up to 30 mm and 15 mm, and length–width ratio of 1.9–2.3 mm was classified as *F. hepatica*, whereas *F. gigantica* isolates, their dimensions reaching 75 mm long and 15 mm wide, with an average length–width ratio of  $4.4-5.2 \text{ mm}^{16}$ .

### **Molecular Identification**

### **Extraction of DNA**

Parts of *Fasciola* specimens were fragmented into small pieces using a sterilized scalpel and placed into microcentrifuge tubes with ethanol, then kept at -

20°C. After that, samples were taken out from the refrigerator and the ethanol was discarded. The genomic DNA was extracted from the collected and sliced parasite using the PureLink® Genomic DNA kit instruction steps from Invitrogen (the USA). The quantity, quality, and purity of the DNA were assessed using a Nanodrop, specifically the K5600 Micro-Spectrophotometer. Subsequently, the DNA was stored at -20°C to facilitate subsequent examinations and PCR analysis.

### **PCR** Amplification

Using two pairs of primers, the PCR was applied to amplify the 28S rDNA gene of each sample. The sequences of primers were recovered from the NCBI databases using the universal primers, forward sequence C1 arranged as 5'-ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCAT-3' at location 25 Page | 2193

sequence C3 ordered as 5'and reverse CTCTTCAGAGTACTTTTCAAC-3' location at 390<sup>29</sup>. Four tubes, each containing purified genomic DNA stored at a temperature of -20 °C were taken out from the refrigerator. These tubes were prepared for PCR cycles. Each of the 50 µl reactions consisted of a 2.5  $\mu$ L DNA template, 2  $\mu$ L 35× primer, with 1  $\mu$ L of forward primer and 1  $\mu$ L of reverse primer, 20.5  $\mu$ L of double distilled water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O) to reach the final volume and 25  $\mu$ L of a master mix (GENEDIREX, KOREA). The master mix contained dNTPs, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and Taq polymerase. Subsequently, PCR amplification was conducted following the thermal cycling conditions outlined by Mucheka, Lamb <sup>30</sup>: The process commenced with an initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 45 seconds. Annealing was carried out at 51 °C for 45 seconds, and extension took place at 72 °C for 45 seconds. The final extension phase was performed for 5 minutes at 72 °C. Using sample combs to create sample wells, fragments were separated through electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel, it was prepared with dissolved 1g agarose powder in 50 ml TBE solution, with  $3\mu$ L ethidium bromide, which is used to visualize the Fragments of DNA, 5 µL of each PCR product and a DNA ladder were loaded in the wells, at 100 V and 3 W for about 40 min, as a result, several bands are formed and are observed using а UV transilluminator.

The amplified DNA obtained from PCR subjected to the nucleotide sequence analyzer, An ABI 3130X located in SINGAPORE was used to determine the arrangement of 28S rDNA nucleotides. The parasite PCR fragments, serving as the DNA template, were extracted from the agarose gel and subsequently submitted to sequence-specific PCR amplification in Intergene Genetic Centre/ Ankara, Turkey.

### **Histopathological Studies**

For the histological examination, 6 liver samples (2 preserved in 96% ethanol and 4 preserved in 10% formalin), 3 positives for fascioliasis and 3 controls were collected from cattle and sheep at different abattoirs in Erbil and Halabja provinces. The specimens were analyzed at LUAY Private Histopathologic Laboratory, Doctor's Street, Erbil. The specimens were fixed in 10% formalin for 25 days, then washed with 70% alcohol five times. Serial alcohols were used in ascending order from 90-100%, each was used for 1 hour for dehydration of the sample, then acetone was employed twice, each for 40 minutes. Following two rounds of xylene clearing, each lasting for an hour, the tissue samples were submerged in paraffin wax for 2 hours as exhibited in Fig. 2. Then, using a rotary microtome, paraffin blocks were the partitioned at 3 µm thicknesses. The pieces were placed on a hot plate that was usually regulated at 2 to 3°C under the melting point of wax following that, they were retained at  $2 - 3^{\circ}$ Cover the melting point of the wax. Tissue slices were subjected to staining with hematoxylin and eosin. Subsequently, the stained sections were carefully placed on glass slides and covered with dibutylpthalate polystyrene xylene (D.P.X.) to ensure the absence of air bubbles. The tissue slices were allowed to dry adequately for a period of 15 to 30 minutes before mounting<sup>31</sup>.



Figure 2. Prepared liver specimen for histopathological examination, which is embedded in paraffin wax blocks.



### **Photomicrographs and Measurements**

Samsung Galaxy S21 FE Digital Camera with 12 Mega Pixels was used for taking photos. The

### **Results and Discussion**

### **Epidemiological Study**

The findings of this investigation revealed that sheep are the most slaughtered ruminants in the abattoir in Erbil as compared to goats and cattle. Considering the rate of fascioliasis among slaughtered animals, the highest morbidity rate was 5.6% in cattle, followed by 5.0% in goats, and 2.0% in sheep, as displayed in Table 1.

The epidemiology of helminth infections is thought to be a complicated process comprising an dimensions of *Fasciola* species were measured with an ordinary ruler and ocular-stage micrometer, as well as Image J software applied for scale-bar images.

association between both the morbidity rate and the host's immunity. In temperate and tropical regions, young animals are highly susceptible to severe infections as compared to older animals. This variation may be due to the paucity of immunity in young animals. Nevertheless, variations in the occurrence of the disease through different regions have been noted, particularly in tropical areas where prolonged hot and dry periods are prevalent, as well as in other tropical regions with a short or nonexistent dry season<sup>32, 33</sup>.

 Table 1. The total slaughtered animals infected with fascioliasis and morbidity rates for both genders in the Erbil abattoir during August – October 2022.

| One year<br>examination | Slaughtered<br>animal | Examined<br>male | Examined<br>female | Fascioliasis | Rate of infection % | Total<br>number |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| August                  | Cattle                | 17784            | 1917               | 1116         | 5.6                 | 19704           |
| to                      | Sheep                 | 121187           | 13068              | 2739         | 2                   | 134255          |
| October 2022            | Goat                  | 710              | 106                | 41           | 5                   | 816             |
|                         | Total                 | 139681           | 15091              | 3896         | 2.5                 | 154772          |

Regarding the number of monthly-slaughtered animals and morbidity rates, details are demonstrated in Table 2, that was taken from Erbil slaughtered house. The data revealed that the highest number of slaughtered animals (14584) was in July 2021, while the lowest number of slaughtered animals (10052) was in September 2020.





| ExaminationanimalMaleFemalePascioliassInfection %NumlSeptember 2020Cattle1159131906.91290Goat25613.231Total100529892962.411041October 2020Cattle11241181058.41242Goat25413.429Goat25413.429Goat25413.429Total1020111303242.814331November 2020Cattle13191381278.31447Seep927811532492.314457Goat39412.343Total1063612953773.111931December 2020Cattle1328139936.31467Sheep907011411941.810211Goat33325.536Total10431128328902.411714January 2021Cattle1377148875.71505Sheep957110522192.31667Goat32412.512311MarchCattle1497158935.516462021Sheep957110522192.110676Goat129 <td< th=""></td<>  |
|--|
| September 2020         Cattle         1159         131         90         6.9         1290           Sheep         8868         852         205         2.1         9720           Goat         25         6         1         3.2         31           Total         10052         989         296         2.4         11041           October 2020         Cattle         1124         118         105         8.4         1242           Sheep         9052         1008         218         2.1         10060           Goat         25         4         1         3.4         29           Total         10201         1130         324         2.8         11331           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         10431           Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36           Total         10431         1283 <td< th=""></td<>  |
| Sheep88688522052.19720Goat25613.231Total100529892962.411041October 2020Cattle11241181058.41242Goat25413.42910060Goat25413.4291007Total1020111303242.811331November 2020Cattle3191381278.7Goat39412.34431Goat39412.34431Goat39412.34431Goat39412.3447Sheep927811532492.310431Goat33325.536Total106361295373.111931December 2020Cattle1328139936.31467Sheep907011411941.810211Goat32412.736Total1043112832892.41174January 2021Cattle1357148875.71505Sheep95711052219210623Goat32412.512311MarchCattle1492154915.51646Qu21Sheep  |
| Goat         25         6         1         3.2         31           Total         10052         989         296         2.4         11041           October 2020         Cattle         1124         118         105         8.4         1242           Sheep         9052         1008         218         2.1         10060           Goat         25         4         1         3.4         29           Total         10201         1130         324         2.8         11331           November 2020         Cattle         1319         138         127         8.7         1457           Sheep         9278         1153         249         2.3         10431           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         43           Total         10636         1295         377         3.1         11931           December 2020         Cattle         1328         139         93         6.3         1467           Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36         11714           January 2021         Cattle         13431         1283         289         2.4         1  |
| Total         10052         989         296         2.4         11041           October 2020         Cattle         1124         118         105         8.4         1242           Sheep         9052         1008         218         2.1         10060           Goat         25         4         1         3.4         29           Total         10201         1130         324         2.8         11331           November 2020         Cattle         1319         138         127         8.7         1457           Sheep         9278         1153         249         2.3         10431           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         43           Total         10636         1295         377         3.1         11931           December 2020         Cattle         1328         139         93         6.3         1467           Sheep         9070         1141         194         1.8         1021           January 2021         Cattle         1337         148         87         5.7         1505           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36  |
| October 2020         Catle         1124         118         105         8.4         1242           Sheep         9052         1008         218         2.1         10060           Goat         25         4         1         3.4         29           Total         10201         1130         324         2.8         11331           November 2020         Catle         1319         138         127         8.7         1457           Sheep         9278         1153         249         2.3         10431           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         43           Total         10636         1295         377         3.1         11931           December 2020         Catle         1328         139         9.3         6.3         1467           Sheep         9070         1141         194         1.8         10211           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10431         1283         289         2.4         11714           January 2021         Cattle         137         148         87         5.7         1505   |
| October 1900         Calle         1121         113         103         103         113         113         113           Sheep         9052         1008         218         2.1         10060           Goat         25         4         1         3.4         29           Total         10201         1130         324         2.8         11331           November 2020         Cattle         1319         138         127         8.7         1457           Sheep         9278         1153         249         2.3         10431           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         43           Total         10636         1295         377         3.1         11931           December 2020         Cattle         1328         139         93         6.3         1467           Sheep         9070         1141         194         1.8         10211           Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36           Total         10431         1283         289         2.4         11714           January 2021         Cattle         1357         148         87   |
| Sheep         502         1005         216         2.1         3.4         29           Total         10201         1130         324         2.8         11331           November 2020         Cattle         1319         138         127         8.7         1457           Sheep         9278         1153         249         2.3         10431           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         43           Total         10636         1295         377         3.1         11931           December 2020         Cattle         1328         139         93         6.3         1467           Sheep         9070         1141         194         1.8         10211           Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36           Total         10431         1283         289         2.4         11714           January 2021         Cattle         1357         148         87         5.7         1505           Sheep         8989         1147         183         1.8         10136           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36 <tr< td=""></tr<>  |
| Total         10201         1130         324         2.8         11331           November 2020         Cattle         1319         138         127         8.7         1457           Sheep         9278         1153         249         2.3         10431           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         43           Total         10636         1295         377         3.1         11931           December 2020         Cattle         1328         139         93         6.3         1467           Sheep         9070         1141         194         1.8         10211           Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36           Total         10431         1283         289         2.4         11714           January 2021         Cattle         1357         148         87         5.7         1505           Sheep         8989         1147         183         1.8         10136           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           Fe  |
| November 2020         Cattle         1130         1138         127         8.7         1457           Sheep         9278         1153         249         2.3         10431           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         10431           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         10431           Goat         39         4         1         2.3         43           Total         10636         1295         377         3.1         11931           December 2020         Cattle         1328         139         93         6.3         1467           Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36         1467           January 2021         Cattle         1357         148         87         5.7         1505           Sheep         8989         1147         183         1.8         10136         1632           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           February 2021         Cattle         1474         158         95         5.8   |
| Norember 2020       Sheep       9278       1153       249       2.3       10431         Goat       39       4       1       2.3       43         Total       10636       1295       377       3.1       11931         December 2020       Cattle       1328       139       93       6.3       1467         Goat       33       3       2       5.5       36         Total       10431       1283       289       2.4       11714         January 2021       Cattle       1357       148       87       5.7       1505         Sheep       8989       1147       183       1.8       10136         Goat       32       4       1       2.7       36         Total       10378       1299       271       2.3       11677         February 2021       Cattle       1474       158       95       5.8       1632         Sheep       9571       1052       219       2       10623         Goat       49       7       3       5.3       56         Total       11094       1217       317       2.5       12311         Ma  |
| Bitep         J10         J10 </td   |
| Total         10636         1295         377         3.1         11931           December 2020         Cattle         1328         139         93         6.3         1467           Sheep         9070         1141         194         1.8         10211           Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36           Total         10431         1283         289         2.4         11714           January 2021         Cattle         1357         148         87         5.7         1505           Sheep         8989         1147         183         1.8         10136           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           February 2021         Cattle         1474         158         95         5.8         1632           Goat         49         7         3         5.3         56           Total         11094         1217         317         2.5         12311           March         Cattle         1492         154         91         5.5         1646  |
| December 2020         Cattle         1305         111         1457         517         1457           Goat         33         39         93         6.3         1457           Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36           Total         10431         1283         289         2.4         11714           January 2021         Cattle         1357         148         87         5.7         1505           Sheep         8989         1147         183         1.8         10136           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           February 2021         Cattle         1474         158         95         5.8         1632           Goat         49         7         3         5.3         56           Total         11094         1217         317         2.5         12311           March         Cattle         1492         154         91         5.5         1646           2021         Sheep         9651         1025         231         2.1         10676   |
| Determiner 2020         Stheep<br>Goat         192         193         193         103         103           Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36           Total         10431         1283         289         2.4         11714           January 2021         Cattle         1357         148         87         5.7         1505           Sheep         8989         1147         183         1.8         10136           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           February 2021         Cattle         1474         158         95         5.8         1632           Goat         49         7         3         5.3         56           Total         11094         1217         317         2.5         12311           March         Cattle         1492         154         91         5.5         1646           2021         Sheep         9651         1025         231         2.1         10676           Goat         129         24         7         4.5         153   |
| Goat         33         3         2         5.5         36           Total         10431         1283         289         2.4         11714           January 2021         Cattle         1357         148         87         5.7         1505           Sheep         8989         1147         183         1.8         10136           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           February 2021         Cattle         1474         158         95         5.8         1632           Goat         49         7         3         5.3         56           Total         11094         1217         317         2.5         12311           March         Cattle         1492         154         91         5.5         1646           2021         Sheep         9651         1025         231         2.1         10676           Goat         129         24         7         4.5         153           Total         11272         1203         329         2.6         12475           <   |
| Total         10431         1283         289         2.4         11714           January 2021         Cattle         1357         148         87         5.7         1505           Sheep         8989         1147         183         1.8         10136           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           February 2021         Cattle         1474         158         95         5.8         1632           Sheep         9571         1052         219         2         10623           Goat         49         7         3         5.3         56           Total         11094         1217         317         2.5         12311           March         Cattle         1492         154         91         5.5         1646           2021         Sheep         9651         1025         231         2.1         10676           Goat         129         24         7         4.5         153           Total         11272         1203         329         2.6         12475      A   |
| January 2021       Cattle       1357       148       87       5.7       1505         Sheep       8989       1147       183       1.8       10136         Goat       32       4       1       2.7       36         Total       10378       1299       271       2.3       11677         February 2021       Cattle       1474       158       95       5.8       1632         Sheep       9571       1052       219       2       10623         Goat       49       7       3       5.3       56         Total       11094       1217       317       2.5       12311         March       Cattle       1492       154       91       5.5       1646         2021       Sheep       9651       1025       231       2.1       10676         Goat       129       24       7       4.5       153         Total       11272       1203       329       2.6       12475         April       Cattle       1564       184       93       5.3       1748         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522 </td   |
| Sheep         8989         1147         183         1.8         10136           Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           February 2021         Cattle         1474         158         95         5.8         1632           Goat         49         7         3         5.3         56           Total         11094         1217         317         2.5         12311           March         Cattle         1492         154         91         5.5         1646           2021         Sheep         9651         1025         231         2.1         10676           Goat         129         24         7         4.5         153           Total         11272         1203         329         2.6         12475           April         Cattle         1564         184         93         5.3         1748           2021         Sheep         9494         1028         263         2.4         10522           Goat         91         15         4         3.7         106  |
| Goat         32         4         1         2.7         36           Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           February 2021         Cattle         1474         158         95         5.8         1632           Goat         49         7         3         5.3         56           Goat         49         7         3         5.3         56           Total         11094         1217         317         2.5         12311           March         Cattle         1492         154         91         5.5         1646           2021         Sheep         9651         1025         231         2.1         10676           Goat         129         24         7         4.5         153           Total         11272         1203         329         2.6         12475           April         Cattle         1564         184         93         5.3         1748           2021         Sheep         9494         1028         263         2.4         10522           Goat         91         15         4         3.7         106   |
| Total         10378         1299         271         2.3         11677           February 2021         Cattle         1474         158         95         5.8         1632           Sheep         9571         1052         219         2         10623           Goat         49         7         3         5.3         56           Total         11094         1217         317         2.5         12311           March         Cattle         1492         154         91         5.5         1646           2021         Sheep         9651         1025         231         2.1         10676           Goat         129         24         7         4.5         153           Total         11272         1203         329         2.6         12475           April         Cattle         1564         184         93         5.3         1748           2021         Sheep         9494         1028         263         2.4         10522           Goat         91         15         4         3.7         106           Total         11149         1227         360         2.9         12376  |
| February 2021       Cattle       1474       158       911       110       1632         Sheep       9571       1052       219       2       10623         Goat       49       7       3       5.3       56         Total       11094       1217       317       2.5       12311         March       Cattle       1492       154       91       5.5       1646         2021       Sheep       9651       1025       231       2.1       10676         Goat       129       24       7       4.5       153         Total       11272       1203       329       2.6       12475         April       Cattle       1564       184       93       5.3       1748         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272       2  |
| Containy 2021       Catale       1111       1102       101       1102       101       1002         Sheep       9571       1052       219       2       10623         Goat       49       7       3       5.3       56         Total       11094       1217       317       2.5       12311         March       Cattle       1492       154       91       5.5       1646         2021       Sheep       9651       1025       231       2.1       10676         Goat       129       24       7       4.5       153         Total       11272       1203       329       2.6       12475         April       Cattle       1564       184       93       5.3       1748         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272  |
| Goat       49       7       3       5.3       56         Total       11094       1217       317       2.5       12311         March       Cattle       1492       154       91       5.5       1646         2021       Sheep       9651       1025       231       2.1       10676         Goat       129       24       7       4.5       153         Total       11272       1203       329       2.6       12475         April       Cattle       1564       184       93       5.3       1748         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272       2       13266         Goat       74       11       5       5.8       85         Total       14082       1211       366       2.3       15293  |
| Total       11094       1217       317       2.5       12311         March       Cattle       1492       154       91       5.5       1646         2021       Sheep       9651       1025       231       2.1       10676         Goat       129       24       7       4.5       153         Total       11272       1203       329       2.6       12475         April       Cattle       1564       184       93       5.3       1748         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272       2       13266         Goat       74       11       5       5.8       85       5         June       Cattle       1917       218       83       3.8       2135         June       Cattle <th1917< th=""> <th218< th="">       83<!--</td--></th218<></th1917<>   |
| March         Cattle         1492         154         91         5.5         1646           2021         Sheep         9651         1025         231         2.1         10676           Goat         129         24         7         4.5         153           Total         11272         1203         329         2.6         12475           April         Cattle         1564         184         93         5.3         1748           2021         Sheep         9494         1028         263         2.4         10522           Goat         91         15         4         3.7         106           Total         11149         1227         360         2.9         12376           May         Cattle         1773         169         89         4.5         1942           2021         Sheep         12235         1031         272         2         13266           Goat         74         11         5         5.8         85           Total         14082         1211         366         2.3         15293           June         Cattle         1917         218         83  |
| 2021       Sheep       9651       1025       231       2.1       10676         Goat       129       24       7       4.5       153         Total       11272       1203       329       2.6       12475         April       Cattle       1564       184       93       5.3       1748         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272       2       13266         Goat       74       11       5       5.8       85         Total       14082       1211       366       2.3       15293         June       Cattle       1917       218       83       3.8       2135         2021       Sheep       12084       1272       293       2.1       13356         Goat       72       9       3       4.1       81  |
| Goat       129       24       7       4.5       153         Total       11272       1203       329       2.6       12475         April       Cattle       1564       184       93       5.3       1748         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272       2       13266         Goat       74       11       5       5.8       85         Total       14082       1211       366       2.3       15293         June       Cattle       1917       218       83       3.8       2135         2021       Sheep       12084       1272       293       2.1       13356         Goat       72       9       3       4.1       81  |
| Total       11272       1203       329       2.6       12475         April       Cattle       1564       184       93       5.3       1748         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272       2       13266         Goat       74       11       5       5.8       85         Total       14082       1211       366       2.3       15293         June       Cattle       1917       218       83       3.8       2135         2021       Sheep       12084       1272       293       2.1       13356         Goat       72       9       3       4.1       81   |
| April       Cattle       1564       184       93       5.3       1748         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272       2       13266         Goat       74       11       5       5.8       85         Total       14082       1211       366       2.3       15293         June       Cattle       1917       218       83       3.8       2135         2021       Sheep       12084       1272       293       2.1       13356         Goat       72       9       3       4.1       81  |
| Inplue       State       1001       101       101       101       101       101         2021       Sheep       9494       1028       263       2.4       10522         Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272       2       13266         Goat       74       11       5       5.8       85       5         June       Cattle       1917       218       83       3.8       2135         2021       Sheep       12084       1272       293       2.1       13356  |
| Goat       91       15       4       3.7       106         Total       11149       1227       360       2.9       12376         May       Cattle       1773       169       89       4.5       1942         2021       Sheep       12235       1031       272       2       13266         Goat       74       11       5       5.8       85         Total       14082       1211       366       2.3       15293         June       Cattle       1917       218       83       3.8       2135         2021       Sheep       12084       1272       293       2.1       13356         Goat       72       9       3       41       81  |
| May         Total         11149         1227         360         2.9         12376           May         Cattle         1773         169         89         4.5         1942           2021         Sheep         12235         1031         272         2         13266           Goat         74         11         5         5.8         85           Total         14082         1211         366         2.3         15293           June         Cattle         1917         218         83         3.8         2135           2021         Sheep         12084         1272         293         2.1         13356           Goat         72         9         3         4.1         81  |
| May         Cattle         1773         169         89         4.5         1942           2021         Sheep         12235         1031         272         2         13266           Goat         74         11         5         5.8         85           Total         14082         1211         366         2.3         15293           June         Cattle         1917         218         83         3.8         2135           2021         Sheep         12084         1272         293         2.1         13356           Goat         72         9         3         4.1         81   |
| 2021         Sheep         12235         1031         272         2         13266           Goat         74         11         5         5.8         85           Total         14082         1211         366         2.3         15293           June         Cattle         1917         218         83         3.8         2135           2021         Sheep         12084         1272         293         2.1         13356  |
| Goat         74         11         5         5.8         85           Total         14082         1211         366         2.3         15293           June         Cattle         1917         218         83         3.8         2135           2021         Sheep         12084         1272         293         2.1         13356  |
| JuneTotal1408212113662.315293JuneCattle1917218833.821352021Sheep1208412722932.113356Goat72934181   |
| JuneCattle1917218833.821352021Sheep1208412722932.113356Goat72934181  |
| <b>2021</b> Sheep 12084 1272 293 2.1 13356<br>Goat 72 9 3 41 81  |
| $G_{0}$ $T_{1}$ $T_{2}$ $T_{2}$ $T_{3}$ $T_{1}$ $T_{1}$ $T_{2}$ $T_{2}$ $T_{1}$ $T_{2}$ $T_{2}$ $T_{1}$ $T_{2}$ $T_{2$ |
|  |
| Total 14073 1499 379 2.4 15572   |
| July Cattle 1868 211 80 3.8 2079   |
| <b>2021</b> Sheep 12633 1254 248 1.7 13887   |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |
| Total 14584 1476 335 2 16060   |
| Angust Cattle 1409 149 83 5.3 1558   |
| <b>2021</b> Sheep 10262 1105 209 1.8 11367   |
| Goat 58 8 6 9 66   |
| Total 11729 1262 298 2.2 12991   |

# Table 2. The monthly distribution of liver fluke infections among slaughtered animals in Erbil abattoirfrom September 2020 to August 2021.

In addition to the number of slaughtered animals, the data encompassed other parameters, such as the difference in the susceptibility of slaughtered males and females to infection with fascioliasis. It was demonstrated in the aforementioned Table that the highest rate of infection with fascioliasis was reported among goats during August 2021 with a prevalence of 9.0%, whereas the lower rate was 1.7% among inspected sheep in July 2021. In contrast to our survey, the study of Piri *et al* <sup>32</sup>, carried out in Iran, showed that sheep and goats had lower rates of infection.

According to a study conducted in Mazandaran, northern Iran<sup>34</sup>, *F. hepatica* is more prevalent in sheep than goats, which does not match the findings of the current study. The infection rate with *F. hepatica* in sheep for the current investigation was lower than that carried out in Abu-Ghraib district, and Kirkuk province, which found 12.73%, and 72% respectively, using different diagnostic techniques<sup>35, 36</sup>. Although the current study outcome was lower than the rates obtained in Iran, which was 32%<sup>37</sup>. In contrast, the prevalence was lower than 7.5 % reported in Turkey<sup>38</sup>.

### The Morphological Study

Based on the dimensional measuring of body length/width/ratio, as shown in Fig. 3, morphological



measurements categorized the samples as F. hepatica and F. gigantica. Fig. 3A represents an adult whole mount of F. hepatica cleared by acetone, while Fig. 3B shows formalin preserved F. gigantica adult sample. From Fig. 3C, which was well preserved in formalin, demonstrations of oral sucker (OS) and ventral sucker (VS) can be seen. The two male organs are represented as multi-lobed testes (T), which are located in the body's medial region and are shown in Fig. 3D. On the other hand, Fig. 3E and F demonstrate the additional specific morphological traits, which are comprised of the major intestinal caeca (I), which diverges from the pharynx (Ph), mouth (M), the genital pore (GP), the ventral sucker (or acetabulum, VS), the main two vitelline glands (VG), one on each side, the single branched ovary (O), also on the left side of the fluke, and delivers the egg (E) through the oviduct (Od) to the ootype, in which each oocyte collaborates with vitelline cells, and an egg-shell (fully completed eggs) as indicated in Fig. 3G and H and reach the proximal folds of the uterus (U), where they are initially kept until the newer ova arrive from behind then push them forward to reach the genital hole.





Figure 3. From A-H included different stages of *Fasciola* species: fresh and fixed sample mounted.

- A: Photomicrograph of F. hepatica adult.
- B: Photomicrograph of F. gigantica adult.
- C: The Anterior view of adult Fasciola species, showing oral and ventral suckers (OS and VS).
- D: Photomicrograph of the anterior and middle parts of F. gigantica, showing testes of the male reproductive organs.
- E: Magnified photomicrograph of F. gigantica anterior end, showing female reproductive organs.
- F: The Anterior end of F. gigantica, showing mouth, oral sucker and pharynx.
- G; H: Operculated egg of Fasciola species.

The main two intestinal caeca (I) split off from the pharynx (Ph) behind the oral sucker (OS). The situation of the genital pore is marked (GP), the ventral sucker (or acetabulum, VS). The median region of the fluke's body is taken up by the two extensively lobed testes (T). Two bilaterally situated vitelline glands (VG). On the left side of the fluke, a single branching ovary (O) delivers oocytes or eggs, which then connect with vitelline cells at the ootype. At this point, an eggshell begins to form around the mass cells. Mehlis's glands are present (MG) developed eggs enter the proximal coils of the uterus (U) and are temporarily stored there before being sent towards the placenta.

For F. hepatica and F. gigantica, the typical length/width and corresponding standard deviation (SD) were  $21.16 \pm 4.29/10.53 \pm 1.80$  mm and 39.61 $\pm$  1.09/10.44  $\pm$  1.59 mm, respectively. The results additionally demonstrated that the key factor in morphological classifying 33 described samples as either F. hepatica or F. gigantica. Due to their distinct modes of transmission and epidemiological traits, the differential diagnosis of F. hepatica and F. gigantica infection in both people and animals are extremely important<sup>39</sup>. For follow-up investigation, infection control, and treatments, it is essential to determine the species of the genus of such parasites. Morphological approaches cannot provide the precise identification of Fasciola species due to numerous differences in morphological characters<sup>16</sup>. Several investigations were conducted to determine the species of Fasciola. To differentiate between species, the morphological characteristics of adult worms and eggs are typically employed. However, this method can be influenced by various factors, such as the age of the parasite and the preservation method used for the sample<sup>40</sup>, the phenotypic appearance of Fasciola spp. can also exhibit multiple variations, leading to difficulties in accurate identification and causing confusion among researchers<sup>41</sup>, As a result, there has been an increasing reliance on both morphometric and molecular techniques to effectively differentiate between species<sup>42</sup>.

### **Molecular Study**

The genomic DNA was extracted from four *Fasciola* individuals representing two different host species



(cattle and sheep) and two geographical regions in Erbil and Halabja, Kurdistan Region, Iraq. It was found that the designed forward (F) and reverse (R) primers were universal for the expected fragment of 365 bp as the 28S rDNA. This amplicon was observed in the studied samples as a visible amplicon with a 365 bp size as shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4. One percent of agarose gel electrophoresis with loading 3  $\mu$ l of extracted genomic DNA. S1-S4 represented sample number one to number4. The displayed bands considered as 28S rDNA primer for isolated liver flukes the position 360 bp, using standard molecular DNA ladder (M = 100 bp Marker) for comparison.

The sequence from extracted DNA of *F*. *hepatica* and *F*. *gigantica* was 28S rDNA of 339 bp (amplified fragment was 365 bp, while after sequencing 11-26 miss-nucleotides were excluded accordingly, related to improving the quality of sequencing analysis) as shown in Fig. 5A-D, after that, the sequences put to the BLAST then compared with the other stored species of *Fasciola* sequences from GenBank, the top score sequence similarity searching to identify homologous sequences and relative mutations for liver fluke databases.

The collection of information from different specimens deposited in GenBank. The BLAST results are constructed via alignment sequences. Regarding the query sequences, which was represented as forward sequence, showed 98% identical to *F. hepatica*, whereas, reverse sequence showed 99% identical to *F. hepatica*. On the other

Baghdad Science Journal

hand, the query forward sequence was 97% identical to *F. gigantica*, whereas, reverse sequence exhibited 99% identical to *F. gigantica*.

After the alignments of the sequences, the following mutations associated with the polymorphism of the query sequences were detected. From the DNA forward sequence of F. hepatica, at site 22 there was a gap representing the deletion of Cytosine (C), after that, there was another gap at site 30 which resulted from missing Adenine (A). The last deletion mutation was demonstrated at site 351 with Thymine (T). On the other hand, there was a single transition substitution mutation at site 349, which was C substituted with T and another transversion substitution at site 347, which was A converted with T. Additionally, 3 insertion mutations were reported at sites 70, 338 and 348, which were T, A and T respectively. According to the results of the reverse query DNA sequence, only a single deletion mutation with the Cytosine was determined at site 22.

Regarding the DNA forward sequence of F. *gigantica*, at site 15 there was a gap representing the deletion of Cytosine (C), after that, there were several gaps at sites 23, 31, and 42, which resulted in missing Adenine (A). There were two transition substitution mutations at sites 333 and 343: A substituted with G and C substituted with T respectively. Furthermore, the transversion

substitution occurred at site 344, which was represented as C converted with A. Additionally, the last insertion mutation was reported at sites 347 and 349 with Cytosine (C). On the other hand, and according to the results of the reverse query DNA sequence of *F. gigantica*, only single insertion and single deletion mutations with C were determined at sites 7 and 20 respectively.

According to Imani Baran, Cheraghi Saray <sup>43</sup>. Molecular methods have been regarded as more accurate when compared to other conventional techniques for identifying *Fasciola* species. Furthermore, the variation among *Fasciola* species regarding to life cycle and species-specific intermediate hosts is also recognized in many studies<sup>44-46</sup>. Several researches together constructed on nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences have shown that *F. hepatica*, is the commonest and greatest prevalent species in temperate areas, whereas *F. gigantica* is found in tropical countries of Africa<sup>26, 46</sup>.

The polymorphism of *F. hepatica* encountered in locating its snail host or in tissue migration in its vertebrate host, as well as variations in host preference among parasite populations, may be related to the high polymorphism reported in *F. hepatica*<sup>47</sup>. The development of novel anthelmintics that target the parasite's neuromuscular system may be especially relevant to this polymorphism<sup>48</sup>







Figure 5. The chromatogram of the sequenced PCR product, the results extracted from 28S rDNA Fasciola species representing nucleotide polymorphism (upward black arrow represents the insertion of nucleotide, downward discrete arrow represents deletion of nucleotide). A The Forward sequence of F. hepatica B The Reverse sequence of F. hepatica.

C The Forward sequence of F. gigantica

### Histopathological Study

### **Gross Hepatopathology**

The liver exhibited notably gross pathology, including an enlargement in size (hepatomegaly) as observed in Fig. 6A. This was accompanied by bleeding and inflammatory responses occurring within the liver tissue parenchyma, as shown in Fig. 6B. There were several pathologic lesions on the parietal surface of the liver, including congestion, B The Reverse sequence of F. hepatica. D The Reverse sequence of F. gigantica.

firm, whitish patches within the parenchyma assumed to be fibrosis, in addition to an abscess with calcification in certain cases and demonstrated in Fig. 6C. Engorgement of the bile in the conduit was one of the major biochemical abnormalities in the biliary duct. Prominent in the dissected sections, there were visibly enlarged and fibrotic bile ducts that were obstructed by twisted flukes. Blackishbrown exudates were noticed in the bile ducts of Fig. 6D.





Figure 6. Macroscopic description of infected sheep liver with Fascioliasis.A. HepatomegalyB. The congestion and HemorrhagesC. Necrosis and FibrosisD. Bile duct filled with blackish brown exudates.

The liver is the most important organ for an animal's health, reproduction, and production. The liver is associated with many disorders, either directly or indirectly, and liver dysfunction interferes with metabolic functions essential for optimal health and productivity<sup>49</sup>. Animals with fasciolosis suffer liver damage as a consequence of the mechanical or chemical actions of the parasite or as a result of the inflammatory and immunological reactions of the host. Infectious metacercaria induces mechanical harm as they pass through the liver capsule and hepatic tissue<sup>8</sup>.

The objective of this research was to evaluate the gross clinical and histological alterations that occur in the livers of sheep and cattle following infection with *F. hepatica* and *F. gigantica*. Gross pathology indicated an enlargement of the liver, which is related to immune response, alterations in the hepatocytes, and congestion on the liver's cell surface. These findings match the observations of

Ashoor & Wakid <sup>50</sup>, Hepatomegaly and congestion on the liver's surface were reported in persistent fasciolosis from livestock. The bleeding sites on the skin reflected the young parasites' portal of entry into the liver structure<sup>8, 51</sup>. It may also occur as a result of inflammatory reactions and immature fluke migration into the liver parenchyma. Moreover, multiple pale areas of the liver due to necrosis or injured tissue occurred. Congestion and rigid whitening patches within the parenchyma, referred to as fibrosis, were seen in certain instances. These findings are similar in some ways to those of Navarro & Uzal <sup>52</sup>, who found also pale and anemic discoloration, as well as swelling and several necrotic areas in the liver's impacted areas. Additionally, Al-Mahmood & Al-Sabaawy <sup>53</sup> reported similar findings. Researchers also documented bile duct engorgement. The bile ducts were found to be occluded with a dark brown discharge. It's possible that adult flukes in the bile duct produce constant irritation, resulting in

hyperplastic proliferation and severe ductular fibrosis<sup>8, 50</sup>.

### Histopathological Examination

In the liver and bile duct sections of cattle infected with *Fasciola* species, many histological changes were found, which occurred in various degrees based on the severity of the infection as compared to the non-infected sheep or cattle livers, in contrast to the normal structures in the non-infected sheep or cattle liver can be seen in Fig. 7. The infiltration of fibroblasts and mononuclear leukocytes (chronic inflammation) in the area originally invaded by immature flukes was a characteristic of the histological changes in cattle liver caused by fasciolosis. Clear regions with superficially located nuclei appeared in the cytosol of the liver cell, which had suffered fatty change.

Similarly, liver sections exhibited atrophy and necrosis of liver cells as a result of long-lasting fasciolosis. The hepatocyte was significantly damaged as a result of the parasite's migration through the liver and parenchyma tissue. The vacuolar disintegration of liver cells was attributed to the disruption of their cell walls, distorted nuclei, and the infiltration of cytosolic contents into the sinusoids. This demonstrates the acute infection or parenchymal phase, in addition to the significant pathological impacts it has. In some situations, periportal vein, cellular infiltration with eosinophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes was seen along with the breakdown of enlarged hepatocytes. With the



entrance of mononuclear inflammatory cells and extensive fibrous connective tissue proliferation in the gateway zone, pressure atrophy of hepatocytes close to the fibrosis zone was observed. This sometimes develops along with the formation of pleomorphic large cells. The modification of the hepatocytes was employed to categorize some components. However, additional sections similarly demonstrated hyperplasia of the bile ducts together with persistent fibrous cholangitis and an accumulation inflammatory of many cells, principally mononuclear cells and eosinophils. Yellowish-brown concretions representing the aggregation of bile pigment in the bile canaliculi or enlarged bile ducts were found. Portal triaditis (inflammation of liver triads and neighbor connective similarly detected. tissue) was Inflammation of the liver's triads and the surrounding connective tissue was also noted as portal triaditis. A few sections of the migratory tract had lymphocytic infiltration. The liver's histological alterations from fascioliasis were marked by the infiltration of eosinophils, fibroblasts, and lymphocytes into the area previously penetrated by immature flukes. The flukes' protease production results in long-lasting stimulation and recruitment into the hepatic cells, which promotes hemorrhages that cause tissue damage. The current results are in line with previous studies that demonstrated immature liver flukes induced bleeding, irritation. and cellular inflammatory responses as they moved across the tissue<sup>8, 54</sup>.





Figure 7. Histopathological sections of the liver. Hematoxylin-Eosin stains were used.

- A. The mononuclear leukocyte infiltrations and hepatocyte necrosis of infected cattle's liver with Fasciola spp.
- B. The liver of infected cattle with Fasciola spp. Experiences infiltrations of inflammatory cells, particularly macrophages.
- C. The presence of inflammatory cells, particularly macrophages and eosinophils, along with tissue fibrosis around the portal area, can be observed in the liver of cattle infected with Fasciola spp<sup>-</sup>
- D. Cattle infected with Fasciola spp. exhibit fatty alteration and the presence of large clear vacuoles.
- E. The uninfected cattle's liver.
- F. The uninfected sheep liver.

### Conclusion

Based on the findings of the present epidemiological study, it can be concluded that fascioliasis is widespread among sheep, goats, and cattle in Erbil and Halabja provinces of the Kurdistan Region of Iraq. This parasitic infection poses a significant barrier to the development of livestock resources and economic growth in the region. The identification of *Fasciola hepatica* and *F. gigantica* through the

### Acknowledgment

The assistance of the Vet. and the veterinarian staff of slaughtered animals in both Erbil and Halabja abattoirs, Kurdistan Region, Iraq, in collecting samples and data for this study is greatly appreciated. The authors acknowledge the help of Professor Dr. Shamall M.A. Abdullah from Salahaddin University, College of Agricultural Engineering Science, who

### **Authors' Declaration**

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- We hereby confirm that all the Figures and Tables in the manuscript are ours. Furthermore, any Figures and images, that are not ours, have been included with the necessary permission for republication, which is attached to the manuscript.

### **Authors' Contribution Statement**

Q. M.K. K.: Research title suggestion and proposal, laboratory techniques, parasites morphology-based identification, histological preparation, manuscript draft writing and revising.

R.A. Kh.: Molecular part techniques (including DNA amplification and sequencing) of the parasite.

### **Supplemental Files**

- Supplement 1: Appendix

### References

- Kipyegen C K, Muleke C I, Otachi E O. Human and animal fasciolosis: coprological survey in Narok, Baringo and Kisumu counties, Kenya. Onderstepoort J Vet Res. 12 February 2022; 89(1): e1-e6. <u>https://doi.org/10.4102/ojvr.v89i1.1954</u>.
- 2. Sabourin E, Alda P, Vázquez A, Hurtrez-Boussès S, Vittecoq M. Impact of human activities on fasciolosis

analysis of 28S rDNA sequences can provide valuable insights for designing effective control measures and minimizing economic losses in the field of animal husbandry. Furthermore, the identification of specific point mutations in the sequenced DNA allows researchers to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the genetic characterizations of the local *Fasciola* species.

made significant improvements to an earlier draft of this manuscript (Arabic Abstract). The authors would like to thank and appreciate helping of Histologist Dr. Shang Z. Abdulqader in the Biology Department-Science College, Salahaddin University-Erbil for taking photos and interpretating histopathological slides.

- Authors sign on ethical consideration's approval.
- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee at University of Salahaddin.

M. L. R. and L. N. N.: Sample and data collection from the slaughter houses, histopathological section preparation with making tabulation of the results and the parasite photo taking.

transmission. Trends Parasitol. 10 September 2018; 34(10): 891-903. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2018.08.004.

 Lucio-Forster A, Lejeune M A A, Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians. 11th Ed . St. Louis (MO): W.B. Saunders; 2021. Chap 7, Diagnostic Parasitology; p. 349-454.





- 4. Taylor M A, Coop R L, Wall R L. Veterinary Parasitology. Oxford: Blackwell .2017. P: 874.: <u>https://www.vet-ebooks.com/veterinary-parasitology-</u><u>3th-edition</u>
- 5. Rokni M B. Fasciola hepatica and F. gigantica. Reference Module in Food Science: Elsevier. 5 October 2022. . https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/ B9780128225219000629
- Odeniran P O, Omolabi K F, Ademola I O. Economic impact assessment of small ruminant fasciolosis in Nigeria using pooled prevalence obtained from literature and field epidemiological data. Vet Parasitol, regional studies and reports. 4 March 2021; 24: 100548.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VPRSR.2021.100548.

- 7. Toledo R, Fried B. Digenetic Trematodes. 2nd ed. Springer Nature Switzerland AG. Springer Cham. 1 January 2019. P. 487. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0915-5</u>.
- Lalor R, Cwiklinski K, Calvani N E D, Dorey A, Hamon S, Corrales J L, et al. Pathogenicity and virulence of the liver flukes *Fasciola hepatica* and *Fasciola gigantica* that cause the zoonosis Fasciolosis. Virulence. 27 October 2021; 12(1): 2839-2867. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2021.1996520</u>.
- Machicado C, Machicado J D, Maco V, Terashima A, Marcos L A. Association of *Fasciola hepatica* Infection with Liver Fibrosis, Cirrhosis, and Cancer: A Systematic Review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 28 September 2016; 10(9): e0004962. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004962.
- Rosen E, Bakshi N, Watters A, Rosen H R, Mehler P S. Hepatic Complications of Anorexia Nervosa. Dig Dis Sci. 20 September 2017; 62(11): 2977-2981. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-017-4766-9</u>.
- 11. WHO. Human fascioliasis: review provides fresh perspectives on infection and control. 2018. https://www.who.int/news/item/26-07-2018-human-fascioliasis-review-provides-fresh-perspectives-on-infection-and-control
- Trung Dung D, Van De N, Waikagul J, Dalsgaard A, Chai J Y, Sohn W M, et al. Fishborne zoonotic intestinal trematodes, Vietnam. Emerg Infect Dis. 9 December 2007; 13(12): 1828-1833. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1312.070554.
- Salahi-Moghaddam A, Habibi-Nokhandam M, Fuentes M V. Low-altitude outbreaks of human fascioliasis related with summer rainfall in Gilan province, Iran. Geospat Health. 24 November 2011; 6(1): 133-136. <u>https://doi.org/10.4081/gh.2011.165</u>.
- 14. Al-Tikrity I A A, Al-Janabi Z A M, Al-jubory A H A. Comparative study of hydatid cysts isolated from livers of different hosts. Baghdad Sci J. 01 June 2014;

#### 11(2): 928-933. https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2014.11.2.928-933.

- 15. Al-Asadi S A M, Hansh W J, Awad A H H. Employing NADH Dehydrogenase Subunit 1 in the Determination of *Echinococcus granulosus* Strain in Sheep, Cattle and Human in Thi-Qar Province, Iraq. Baghdad Sci J. 01 July 2021; 18(2): 238-246. https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.2.0238.
- 16. Haridwal S, Malatji M P, Mukaratirwa S. Morphological and molecular characterization of *Fasciola hepatica* and *Fasciola gigantica* phenotypes from co-endemic localities in Mpumalanga and KwaZulu-Natal provinces of South Africa. Food Waterborne Parasitol. 22 March 2021; 22: e00114. <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S24</u> 05676621000056?via%3Dihub
- 17. Itagaki T, Hayashi K, Ohari Y. The causative agents of fascioliasis in animals and humans: Parthenogenetic *Fasciola* in Asia and other regions. Infect Genet Evol. April 2022; 99: 105248. <a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S15">https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S15</a>
  67134822000454?via%3Dihub
- Caravedo M A, Cabada M M. Human Fascioliasis: Current Epidemiological Status and Strategies for Diagnosis, Treatment, and Control. Res Rep Trop Med. 26 November 2020; 11: 149-158. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/rrtm.S237461</u>.
- 19. Nerway C A, Mero W M S, Mohammed A B. Prevalence of *Fasciola* spp. Among Slaughtered Livestock in Zakho City, Duhok Governorate - Iraq. Acad J Nawroz Univ. 13 June 2021; 10(2): 199-206. https://doi.org/10.25007/ajnu.v10n2a1034.
- 20. Torbehbar E B, Houshmand E. Fascioliasis in Iran. Clin Microbiol. 9 September 2021; 10(9): 1-4. <u>https://www.walshmedicalmedia.com/author/ershiya-bagheri-torbehbar-27460</u>.
- 21. İnci A, Doğanay M, Özdarendeli A, Düzlü Ö, Yıldırım A. Overview of Zoonotic Diseases in Turkey: The One Health Concept and Future Threats. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 22 May 2018; 42(1): 39-80. <a href="https://doi.org/10.5152/tpd.2018.5701">https://doi.org/10.5152/tpd.2018.5701</a>.
- 22. Tran N T D, Ton Nu P A, Intuyod K, Dao L T K, Pinlaor P, Nawa Y, et al. Evaluation of a Commercial Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay Kit and In-House *Fasciola gigantica* Cysteine Proteinases-Based Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays for Diagnosis of Human Fascioliasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. March 2019;100(3):591-598.

https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.18-0833.

23. Olaogun S C, Byaruhanga C, Ochai S O, Fosgate G T, Marufu M C. Comparison of Three Diagnostic Methods to Detect the Occurrence of *Fasciola* Species in Communally Grazed Cattle in the North West Province, South Africa. Pathogens. 22 November Page | 2206 2022; 11(12): 1398. <u>https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0817/11/12/1398</u>

- 24. Yuan W, Liu J M, Lu K, Li H, Duan M M, Feng J T, et al. Molecular identification and seasonal infections of species of *Fasciola* in ruminants from two provinces in China. J Helminthol. May 2016; 90(3): 359-563. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022149x15000383.
- 25. Anh D N, Anh L T, Tuan L Q, Bac N D, Tien T V, Phuong V T B, et al. Identification of *Fasciola* species Isolates from Nghe An Province, Vietnam, Based on ITS1 Sequence of Ribosomal DNA Using a Simple PCR-RFLP Method. J Parasitol Res. 4 December 2018; 2018: 2958026. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2958026.
- 26. Alajmi R A. Molecular characterization of *Fasciola* flukes using mitochondrial 28S rRNA gene in Naimi Saudi sheep. Saudi J Biol Sci. 10 January 2019; 26(1): 112-117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2017.06.010</u>.
- 27. Sahba G H, Arfaa F, Farahmandian I, Jalali H. Animal fascioliasis in Khuzestan, southwestern Iran. J Parasitol. 1 August 1972; 58(4): 712-716. https://doi.org/10.2307/3278298.
- 28. Yamaguti S. Systema Helminthum. The Digenetic Trematodes of Vertebrate. New York: Interscience Publisher; 1958. P: 981-1575. <u>https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/1960290</u> 2519
- Mollaret I, Lim L H, Justine J. Phylogenetic position of the monogeneans *Sundanonchus*, *Thaparocleidus*, and *Cichlidogyrus* inferred from 28S rDNA sequences. Int J Parasitol. 24 April 2000; 30(5):659-662. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7519(00)00039-4</u>.
- Mucheka V T, Lamb J M, Pfukenyi D M, Mukaratirwa S. DNA sequence analyses reveal co-occurrence of novel haplotypes of *Fasciola gigantica* with *F. hepatica* in South Africa and Zimbabwe. Vet Parasitol. 30 November 2015; 214(1-2): 144-151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.09.024.
- 31. Sathawane P, Kamal M M, Deotale P R, Mankar H. Nuances of the Papanicolaou stain. Cytojournal. 14 June 2022; 19: 43. https://doi.org/10.25259/cmas 03 18 2021.
- 32. Piri K, Saidijam M, Maghsood A, Matini M, Fallah M. Prevalence of Animal Fasciolosis and Specification of *Fasciola* spp. Isolated from Sheep, Goats and Cattle by Molecular Method: Hamadan Province, West of Iran. Iran J Parasitol. October-December 2018; 13(4): 524-531.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6348 227/.

33. Idris O A, Wintola O A, Afolayan A J. Helminthiases; prevalence, transmission, host-parasite interactions, resistance to common synthetic drugs and treatment. Heliyon. 19 February 2019; 5(1): e01161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01161.

- 34. Kantzoura V, Kouam M K, Demiris N, Feidas H, Theodoropoulos G. Risk factors and geospatial modelling for the presence of *Fasciola hepatica* infection in sheep and goat farms in the Greek temperate Mediterranean environment. Parasitol. January 2011; 138(7): 926-938. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182011000436.
- 35. Raoof H S, Marif H F, Rahman H S, Omar M, Sheikh B, San Ahmed A M. Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis of *Fasciola* species in sheep and goats in Sulaymaniyah Province, Northern Iraq. J Zankoy Sulaimani. 20 June 2020; 22(1): 297-305. https://doi.org/0.33899/IJVS.2022.134290.2358.
- 36. Oleiwi K I, Hussein Z S, Salman K O. Detection of *Fasciola hepatica* in Abu-Ghraib district (Iraq). J Entomol Zool Stud. 2017; 5(6): 1068-1072. <u>https://www.entomoljournal.com/archives/2017/vol5i</u> <u>ssue6/PartO/5-5-49-741.pdf</u>
- 37. Abass K, Ibrahim E, Khalaf R, Esmail R. Prevalence of liver fluke infections in slaughtered animals in Kirkuk Province, Iraq. J Anim Sci Livest Prod. 31 August 2018; 2(2): 05. <u>https://doi.org/10.21767/2577-0594.10005</u>.
- 38. Çelik Ö Y, Celik B A. Investigation of the prevalence of *Fasciola hepatica* in small ruminants in the Siirt region, Turkey. Iran J Parasitol. 11 January 2018; 13(4): 627. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6348 227/?report=classic.
- 39. Sumruayphol S, Siribat P, Dujardin J P, Dujardin S, Komalamisra C, Thaenkham U. *Fasciola gigantica*, *F. hepatica* and *Fasciola intermediate* forms: geometric morphometrics and an artificial neural network to help morphological identification. Peer J. 18 February 2020; 8: e8597. <u>https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8597</u>.
- 40. Amiri S, Shemshadi B, Shirali S, Kheirandish F, Fallahi S. Accurate and rapid detection of *Fasciola hepatica* copro-DNA in sheep using loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) technique. Vet Med Sci. 24 February 2021; 7(4): 1316-1324. https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.455.
- 41. Mir S, Dabirzadeh M, Rokni M B, Aryaeipour M, Shahraki M K, Azizi H. Identification and Phylogenetic Classification of *Fasciola* species Isolated from Sheep and Cattle by PCR-RFLP in Zabol, in Sistan and Baluchistan Province, Southeast Iran. Iran J Public Health. 17 May 2019; 48(5): 934-942. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31523651/</u>.
- 42. Diyana J N A, Mahiza M I N, Latiffah H, Fazila S H N, Lokman I H, Hazfalinda H N, et al. Occurrence, Morphometric, and Molecular Investigation of Cattle and Buffalo Liver Adult Fluke in Peninsular Malaysia



Baghdad Science Journal

Main Abattoirs. J Parasitol Res. 12 June 2020; 2020: 5436846. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5436846</u>.

- 43. Baran A I, Saray H C, Katiraee F. Molecular Determination of *Fasciola* spp. Isolates from Domestic Ruminants Fecal Samples in the Northwest of Iran. Iran J Parasitol. 2 Aufust 2017; 12(2): 243-250. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5527</u> 035/.
- 44. Beesley N J, Caminade C, Charlier J, Flynn R J, Hodgkinson J E, Martinez-Moreno A, et al. *Fasciola* and fasciolosis in ruminants in Europe: Identifying research needs. Transbound Emerg Dis. 13 July 2018; 65(Suppl 1): 199-216. https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12682.
- 45. Calvani, NED, Šlapeta, J. *Fasciola* species Introgression: Just a Fluke or Something More? Trends Parasitol. January 2021; 37(1): 25-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2020.09.008.
- 46. Nukeri S, Malatji M P, Sengupta M E, Vennervald B J, Stensgaard A-S, Chaisi M, et al. Potential Hybridization of *Fasciola hepatica* and *F. gigantica* in Africa & mdash; A Scoping Review. Pathogens. 6 November 2022; 11(11): 1303. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11111303.
- 47. Calvani N E D, Ichikawa-Seki M, Bush R D, Khounsy S, Šlapeta J. Which species is in the faeces at a time of global livestock movements: single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping assays for the differentiation of *Fasciola* spp. Int J Parasitol. 22 February 2020; 50(2): 91-101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.12.002.
- 48. McVeigh P, Atkinson L, Marks N J, Mousley A, Dalzell J J, Sluder A, et al. Parasite neuropeptide

biology: Seeding rational drug target selection? Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. December 2012;2:76-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2011.10.004.

- 49. Adam M, Bakare R A, Ola-Fadunsin S D, Akanbi O B, Kigir E S, Barka S A. Pathological Changes of *Fasciola* Species Infection in Cattle Slaughtered in Ilorin Abattoir Kwara State, Nigeria. Iran J Vet Med. October 2022; 16(4): 356-563. https://doi.org/10.22059/ijvm.2022.342551.1005270.
- 50. Ashoor S J, Wakid M H. Prevalence and hepatic histopathological findings of fascioliasis in sheep slaughtered in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Sci Rep. 24 April 2023; 13(1): 6609. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-33927-0</u>.
- 51. McManus D P. Recent Progress in the Development of Liver Fluke and Blood Fluke Vaccines. Vaccines (Basel). 26 Septembe 2020; 8(3): 553. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8030553</u>
- 52. Navarro M A, Uzal F A. Pathobiology and diagnosis of clostridial hepatitis in animals. J Vet Diagnostic Invest. 18 November 2019; 32(2): 192-202. https://doi.org/10.1177/1040638719886567.
- 53. Al-Mahmood S S, Al-Sabaawy H B. Fasciolosis: grading the histopathological lesions in naturally infected bovine liver in Mosul city. Iraqi J Vet Sci. 1 September 2019; 33(2): 379-387. https://doi.org/10.33899/ijvs.2019.125546.1066.
- 54. Okoye I, Egbu F, Ubachukwu P, Obiezue N R. Liver histopathology in bovine Fascioliasis. Afr J Biotechnol. 19 August 2015; 14(33): 2576-2582. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB2014.13756.



# التغيرات النسيجية والتوصيف الجزيئي لداء المتورقات (مرض حيواني المنشأ) بين الماشية المذبوحة في مجازر أربيل وحلبجة، إقليم كوردستان – العراق

قاردمان مامه خضر كۆيى ، رۆژگار عبدالله خيلانى ، محمود لقمان رحمن، ليزا نعمان نصر الدين

قسم علوم الحياة، كلية العلوم، جامعة الصلاح الدين، أربيل، العراق.

الخلاصة

داء حلزون كبد الأغنام (المتورقات) الناجم عن Fasciola (F.) hepatica و Acض معد يصيب الإنسان و الحيوانات المجترة في العديد من دول العالم، بما في ذلك العراق. إن دراسة هذه الطفيليات، وتأثيراتها الضارة على مختلف المضائف، شكليًا ، نسيجيا ، وبائيًا وجزيئيًا مهمة جدًا. لهذا السبب تم تصميم البحث الحالي للتحقق من المثقوبة الكبدية في الماشية التي تم ذبحها في مسالخ مدينة أربيل وجزيئيًا مهمة جدًا. لهذا السبب تم تصميم البحث الحالي للتحقق من المثقوبة الكبدية في الماشية التي تم ذبحها في مسالخ مدينة أربيل وجلبجة من شهر اب إلى شهر تشرين الأول ٢٠٢٢. حيث تم جمع ٣٣ مثقوبة كبدية من القنوات الصفراوية للحيوانات. مدينة أربيل وحلبجة من شهر اب إلى شهر تشرين الأول ٢٠٢٢. حيث تم جمع ٣٣ مثقوبة كبدية من القنوات الصفراوية للحيوانات. فقلت العينات إلى مختبر أبحاث علم الحيوان، جامعة صلاح الدين- أربيل. تم استخدام بعض العينات لتحديد العينة مظهريا، في حين تم حفظ بعضها في ٦٦ ٪ من الإيثانول وتخزينها عند -20 م<sup>°</sup> ثم تم استخلاص الحمض النووي لتحديد العينة جزيئيا. من ناحية أخرى، تم تشبيت قطع من كبد المجترات المصابة في ٩٩٪ إيثانول و ٢٠١٪ فور مالين قبل استخدام بعض النووي لتحديد العينة مظهريا، في حين تم تشبيت قطع من كبد المجترات المصابة في ٩٩٪ إيثانول و ٢٠٠٪ فور مالين قبل استخدامها في فحص الأنسجة. تم التعرف على أنواع هذه تشبيت قطع من كبد المجترات المصابة في ٩٩٪ إيثانول و ٢٠٠٪ فور مالين قبل استخدامها في فحص الأنسجة. تم التعرف على أنواع هذه الطفيليات باستخدام الوحدة الو حية لينها عند -20 م<sup>°</sup> ثم تم استخلاص الحمض النووي لتحديد العينة جزيئيا. من ناحية أخرى، تم تشبيت قطع من كبد المجترات المصابة في ٩٩٪ إيثانول و ٢٠٠٪ فور مالين قبل استخدامها في فحص الأنسجة. تم أنواع هذه كان تضخم الكبد هو النتيجة الرئيسية للاصابات. كانت أعلى نسبة للالصابة بين الأبقار ٢٠٠٪. ولنا المولي التفع المنوري الموري التعرب الماعز ٢٠٠٪، والأغذام ٢٠٠٪. كان تنضخم الكبد هو النتيجة الرئيسية للاصابات. كانت أعلى نسبة للالصابة بين الأبقار ٢٠٠٪. ولمورقا ٢٠٤ ملمان من بنك الجينات لى لمعات ملي ماعز من ماع مايد مار ٢٠٠٪، والأغذام ٢٠٠٪. ين مان تضخم الكبد هو النتيجة الرئيسية للاصابات. كانت أعلى نسبة للالصابة بين ألمان المان المقوبات للماع مامه ملي والي وولا ماعما ٢٠٠٪. ولمون المام ماعم يولي مالمانا المقوبات

الكلمات المفتاحية: داء المتورقات، أمراض الأنسجة، علم التشكل، التحديد الجزيئي، الماشية المذبوحة.