Um-Salama Science Journal **Vol.6(1)2009**

Some Results On Lie Ideals With (σ,τ)-derivation In Prime Rings

Kassim Abdul-Hameed Jassim*

Date of acceptance 2/9/2008

Abstract

In this paper, we proved that if R is a prime ring, U be a nonzero Lie ideal of R , d be a nonzero (σ,τ)-derivation of R. Then if $Ua\subset Z(R)$ (or $aU\subset Z(R)$) for $a\in R$, then either or U is commutative Also, we assumed that Uis a ring to prove that: (i) If $Ua\subset Z(R)$ (or $aU\subset Z(R)$) for $a\in R$, then either a=0 or U is commutative. (ii) If $ad(U)=0$ (or $d(U)a=0$) for $a\in R$, then either a=0 or U is commutative. (iii) If d is a homomorphism on U such that $ad(U)\subset Z(R)$(or $d(U)a\subset Z(R)$, then a=0 or U is commutative.

Key words: R: prime ring, 6,J: R⟶R: automorphism mapping, U: lieideal

1.Introduction

Let $d: R\rightarrow R$ be an additive mapping If $d(xy)=d(x)\sigma(y)+\tau(x)d(y)$ for all $x,y\in R$, then d is called a (σ,τ)-derivation of R, where $\sigma,\tau:R\rightarrow R$ be two mappings on R [4]. On the other hand we said that d is an homomorphism or anti-homomorphism respectively if $d(xy)=d(x)d(y)$ or $d(xy)=d(y)d(x)$ for all x , $y\in R$.

Recall that a ring R is a prime if $aRb=0$, $a,b\in R$, implies that either a=0 or $b=0$ [4] . Also, we recall that U is a Lie ideal of a ring R if whenevere $u\in U$ and $r\in R$, $[u,r]\in U$ [3].Neset Aydin and Oznur Golbasi proved R is a prime ring and d is (σ,τ)-derivation of R , where $\sigma,\tau:R\rightarrow R$ automorphisms on R [2]. Then (i) If U is a nonzero left ideal of R which is a semiprime as a ring. If Ua=0 (or aU=0) for $a\in R$, then a=0. (ii) If U is a nonzero left ideal of R which is a semiprime as a ring such that $d(U)=0$, then $d(R)=0$.

In this paper we considered R *is* a prime ring ,U be a Lie ideal of R and d is a (σ,τ)-derivation of R, where $\sigma,\tau:R\rightarrow R$ be two automorphisms on R .

Also, we used the identities in this paper as follows: For all $x ,y ,z \in R$.

(i) $[xy,z]= x[y,z] + [x,z]y$

(ii) $[x,yz]= [x,y]z + z[x,z]$

(iii) $[xy,z]_{\sigma,\tau} = x[y,\sigma(z)]+[x,z]_{\sigma,\tau}$
$= x[y,z]_{\sigma,\tau}+[x,\tau(z)]y$

2.Results

Theorem(2. 1)

Let R be a prime ring ,U be nonzero Lie ideal of R . If $Ua=0$ ($aU=0$) for $a\in R$, then $a=0$.

Proof:

If $Ua=0$, then for all $u\in U$, $r\in R$, we have $0=[u,r]a=ura-rua=ura$. Hence $URa=0$. Since R is a Prime ring , then $a=0$. If $aU=0$, then for all $u\in U$, $r\in R$,we have $0=a[u,r]=aur-aru=-aru$ .Then $aru=0$, for all $u\in U$, $r\in R$. So, $aRU=0$. Since R is a prime ring, then $a=0$. Now, we can prove the first Theorem.

Theorem(2.2)

Let R be a prime ring , U nonzero Lie ideal of R and which ring . If $Ua\subset Z(R)$ ($aU\subset Z(R)$) for then either $a=0$ or U is commutative.

* College of Science – University of Baghdad.

Proof:

Assume $aU \subset Z(R)$, then for all we have $auv \in Z(R)$. So, for all $r \in R$ $0=[auv, r]= au[v,r]+[au,r]v = au[v,r]$ for all $u,v \in U$ $r \in R$ .Also, have $aUR[U,R]=0$. By a primeness of R , we have either $aU=0$ or $U \subset Z(R)$. If $aU=0$, then $a=0$ [by Lemma(2. 1)]. If $U \subset Z(R)$, then U is commutative. The same thing if we have $Ua \subset Z(R)$ so for all $u,v \in U$, $r \in R$ we have $0= [uva ,r]= u[va,r]+[u,r]va = [u,r]va$ Also, we have $[U,R]RUa=0$. By a primeness of R , we have either $U \subset Z(R)$ or $Ua=0$. Also, we have either $a=0$ or U *is* commutative.

Example(2.3)

Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} ,x,y,z,t \in Z \right\}$,where Z is the number of integers be 2x 2 matrices with respect to the usual operation of addition and multiplication, then R is a prime ring see[1].Let $\sigma,\tau: R \to R$ be automorphisms $\sigma\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}$, $\tau\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ -z & t \end{pmatrix}$ .Let d: $R \to R$, defined by $d\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is (σ,τ)-derivation of R . Let $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \; a \in Z \right\}$ be an additive subgroup of R. So, U be a Lie ideal of R and which as is a ring .By the hypothesis of Theorem(2.2), we have $a \in R$ such that $a=\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $a_1 \in Z(R)$ and so by the hypothesis $aU \subset Z(R)$(*or* $Ua \subset Z(R)$) is satisfied and we get U is commutative.

Lemma(2.4)

Let R be a prime ring , U be a nonzero Lie ideal of R , d be (σ,τ)derivation of R. If $d(U)=0$, then $d(R)=0$ or U is commutative.

Proof:

Assume that $d(U)=0$, then for all $u \in U$, $r \in R$, we have

$0=d(ur-ru)=d(ur)-d(ru)$

$=d(u)\sigma(r)+\tau(u)d(r)-d(r)\sigma(u)-\tau(r)d(u)$

$=\tau(u)(r)- d(r)\sigma(u)$

$=-[d(r),u]_{\sigma,\tau}$, so we have $[d(r),u]_{\sigma,\tau}=0$. Take rv ,$v \in U$ instead of r, then $0=[d(rv)u]_{\sigma,\tau}=[d(r)\sigma(v)+\tau(r)d(v),u]$

$=[d(r)\sigma(v),u]_{\sigma,\tau}$

$= d(r)[\sigma(v),\sigma(u)]+[d(r),u]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(v)$

$= d(r)[\sigma(v),\sigma(u)]$

Take xr instead of r, $x \in R$, then $0=d(xr)[\sigma(v),\sigma(u)]$

$=d(x)\sigma(r)[\sigma(v),\sigma(u)]+\tau(x)d(r)[\sigma(v),\sigma(u)]$

$= d(x)\sigma(r)[\sigma(v),\sigma(u)]$ for all $u,v \in U$ $x,r \in R$. . Hence ,$d(R)R[\sigma(U),\sigma(U)]=0$. Since R is a prime ring , then we have either $d(R)$ or U is commutative.

Lemma (2.5)

Let R be a prime ring, Ube a nonzero Lie ideal of R. If $aUb=0$, for a, $b \in R$ then $a=0$ or $b=0$.

Proof :

Assume that $aUb=0$.So, for all $u \in U$, $r \in R$ we have $0=a[u,r]b =aurb-arub$. Take bx , $x \in R$ instead of r, then we have $aubxb-abxub=0$.Also,. $-abxub=0$.Then we have $abxub=0$ for all $u \in U$, $x \in R$ Hence , $abRUb=0$.By primeness of R, we have either $ab=0$ or $Ub=0$.If $Ub=0$, then by Lemma (2.1), we have $b=0$. If $ab=0$ we have $b \neq 0$,then $a=0$.

Theorem (2.6)

Let R be a prime ring ,U be a nonzero Lie ideal of R which as is a ring, d be a nonzero (σ,τ)-derivation of R such that if $ad(U)=0$ (or $d(U)a=0$) for $a \in R$ then either a=0 or U is commutative .

Proof:

If $ad(U)=0$, then for all $u,v \in U$ we have $0=ad(uv)= ad(u)\sigma(v)+a\tau(u)d(v) = a\tau(u)d(v)$.*Since* τ is an automorphism of R, then $\tau(a)u\tau(d(v))=0$ for all $u,v\in U$ Hence , $\tau(a)U\tau(d(v))=0$. By Lemma (2.5) we have either a=0 or d(v)=0.If $d(v)=0$, then $d(U)=0$.So , by Lemma(2.4), we get U is commutative. If d(U)a=0, then for all $u,v\in U$ we have $0=d(uv)a= d(u)\sigma(v)a+\tau(u)d(v)a =d(u)\sigma(v)a$.Since σ is an automorphism *of* R, then $\sigma(d(u))v\sigma(a)=0$ for all $u,v\in U$. Hence, $\sigma(d(u))U\sigma(a)=0$. Therefore, we get either $d(u)=0$ or a= 0. If $d(u)=0$, then $d(U)=0$.So , by Lemma(2.4), we get U is commutative.

Example(2.7)

From Example(2.3) , we have R is a prime ring, U be a Lie ideal of R , d be a nonzero (σ,τ)-derivation of R. By the hypothesis of Theorem (2.6) , we have $ad(U)=0$ (or $d(U)a=0$) for $a\in R$, is satisfied and we get U is commutative.

Lemma(2.8)

Let R be a prime ring and let d be a (σ,τ)-derivation and is a homomorphism on U, when U be a nonzero Lie ideal of R which as is a ring. If $d(U)\subset Z(R)$, then $d=0$ or U is commutative.

Proof:

Since $d(U)\subset Z(R)$, then for all $u,v\in U$ and we have $d(uv)\in Z(R)$. Hence, $d(uv)=d(u)d(v)$

$d(u)\sigma(v)+\tau(u)d(v)\in Z(R)$ ….(1)

Replace u by urn ,$rn\in U$, then

$d(urn)d(v)=$

$d(u)d(rn)\sigma(v)+\tau(u)\tau(rn)d(v)$

$d(u)d(rn)d(v)=d(u)d(rn)\sigma(v)+\tau(u)\tau(rn)d(v)$

$d(u)d(rnv)=d(u)d(rn)\sigma(v)+d(u)\tau(rn)d(v)$

$= d(u)\ d(rn)\sigma(v)+\tau(u)\tau(rn)d(v)$ for all $u,v,rn\in U$. Then $d(u)\tau(rn)d(v)= \tau(u)\ \tau(rn)d(v)$.Also, we have $[d(u)-\tau(u)]\ \tau(rn)d(v)=0$. Since $d(U)\subset Z(R)$ then $[d(u)-\tau(u)]\tau(m)Rd(v)=0$. By a primeness of R, we have either $d(U)=0$ or $\tau^{-1}(d(u)-\tau(u))m=0$.If $d(U)=0$, then by Lemma(2.4) we get either $d=0$ or U is commutative.

If $\tau^{-1}(d(u)-\tau(u))m = 0$ then $\tau^{-1}(d(u)-\tau(u))U=0$. Hence, by Lemma(2.1) , we have $d(u)-\tau(u)=0$ and so we have $d(u)=\tau(u)$ for all $u,v\in U$. From (1) , we have $d(u)\sigma(v)=0$. Also, we have $\sigma^{-1}(d(u))v=0$ for all $u,v\in U$. Hence , $\sigma^{-1}(d(u))U=0$. Then we have $d(u)=0$ [by Lemma (2. 1)] . Hence, $d(U)=0$. And so we have either U is commutative or d(R)=0 , by Lemma(2.3)

Theorem(2.9)

Let R be a prime ring and let d be a nonzero (σ,τ) -derivation and is a homomorphism on U , when U be a nonzero Lie ideal of R which as is a ring. If $ad(U)\subset Z(R)$ $(d(U)a)\subset Z(R)$, then $a=0$ or U is commutative.

Proof:

Assume that $ad(U)\subset Z(R)$. So, for all $u,v\in U$ we have $ad(uv)=ad(u)d(v)\in Z(R)$. Then for all $r\in R$ we have $0=[ad(u)d(v),r]$

$= ad(u)[d(v),r]+[ad(u),r]d(v)$

$= ad(u)[d(v),r]$.

Also, we have $ad(u)R[d(v),r]=0$. Since R is a prime ring , then we have either $ad(u)=0$ or $d(U)\subset Z(R)$. If $ad(u)=0$ for all $u\in U$, then $ad(U)=0$.So, by Theorem (2.6) , we get either $a=0$ or U is commutative. If $d(U)\subset Z(R)$, then U is commutative. Assume that $d(U)a\subset Z(R)$. So, for all $u,v\in U$, we have $d(uv)a=d(u)d(v)a\in Z(R)$. Then for all $r\in R$ we have $0=[d(u)d(v)a,r]=d(u)[d(v)a,r]+[d(u),r]d(v)a$

$= [d(u),r]d(v)a$ .Also, we have $[d(u),r]Rd(v)a=0$.

Since R is a prime ring , then we have either $d(u)a=0$ or $d(U)\subset Z(R)$. If

$d(u)a=0$ for all $u \in U$ then $d(U)a=0$. So, by Theorem (2.6), we get either $a=0$ or U is commutative. If $d(U) \subset Z(R)$, then U is commutative.

References:

1. Aydin,N.,Kaya,K. and Golbasi, O. ,2001. Some results for generalized Lie ideals with derivation II. Applied Mathematics E-Notes .1(1)24-30.
2. Golbasi,O. and Aydin, N.(2002).Some results on endomorphisms of prime ring which are (σ,τ)-derivation. East Asian Math. J. 1(18):33-41.
3. Herstein ,I.N. 1969. Topics in ring theory . Univ. of Chicago press . Chicago.
4. Yasuyuki Hirano and Hisao Tominga .(1984) . Some Commutativity theorems for prime rings with derivations and deferentially semiprime rings .Math. J.Okayama Univ. 1(26). 101-108 .

بعض النتائج على امثلة لي مع مشتقة(σ,τ) في الحلقات الاولية

*قاسم عبدالحميد جاسم**

*كلية العلوم–جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث, سوف نبرهن على انه أذا كانت R حلقة اولية , U مثالي لي غير صفري فـــي R , d مشتقة (σ,τ) غير صفرية في R وكان العنصر $a \in R$. لقد اعتبرنا ان U تمثل بحد ذاتها حلقة لبرهنـــة التالي:

1. اذا كان $Ua \subset Z(R)$ او $(aU \subset Z(R))$, فانه امــا $a=0$ او U ابدالية.
2. اذا كان $ad(U)=0$ او $(d(U)a=0)$ و $a \in R$, فانه اما $a=0$ او U ابدالية.
3. اذا كانت d متشاكلة على U بحيث ان $ad(U) \subset Z(R)$ أو $(d(U)a \subset Z(R))$, فانه اما $a=0$ او U ابدالية .