

# **Mechanical Design Procedure and Modelling for 8-DOF Upper Limb Rehabilitation Robotic**

# *MohanadAl-Asady*  $*$   $\bullet$  *[,](mailto:mohanad.ali.eng@gmail.com) Ayad Murad Takhakh*  $\bullet$   $\bullet$

Mechanical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, Al Nahrain University, Baghdad, Iraq.

Received 12/10/2024, Revised 24/02/2024, Accepted 26/02/2024, Published Online First 20/12/2024

 $\odot$  $\odot$ © 2022 The Author(s). Published by College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License,](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **Abstract**

Treating muscular medical injuries that impede movement of the human body has become of wide interest because of the importance it holds for improving the lives of people who are exposed to this type of injury. During the past years, several studies have attempted to create a robot that works to rehabilitate the injured upper limbs of the human body. In this research, a curriculum for the procedures will be developed for designing a medical robot by displaying the volumetric tolerances for this robot according to the age and weight of the injured person and the weight and volumetric constants for each part of the robot to be used in the mathematical model that is used to move this robot. Then the design of a robot with 8 DOF will be presented and examined using finite element analysis (FEA) in order to assess its ability to withstand and function in accordance with the load exerted by human weight. The upper limb exoskeleton model is subjected to analysis utilizing the Ansys® software, which facilitates the execution of von Mises stress analysis.

**Keywords:** Anthropometric, Finite element analysis FEA, Robotic, Upper limp exoskeletons, Von mises stress analysis.

# **Introduction**

The application of upper-limb exoskeleton robots encompasses a variety of applications, including but not limited to rehabilitation, mobility aid, and human power amplification, among others<sup>1</sup>. Based on the provided application, they must fulfil distinct criteria. Nevertheless, it is imperative that these various categories adhere to many crucial overarching criteria.

Ensuring safety is a major issue when developing systems that directly interact with human users. To ensure the safe operation of a mechanical system, it is necessary to implement specific controls. These include mechanical breaks to limit range of motion (ROM) regulation, installing software emergency shut-offs, mechanical constrains blocks and ensuring alignment with human joints<sup>2</sup>. Furthermore, in the case that the robot necessitates attachment to a support, it is important that the mechanism remains

capable of performing anthropomorphic motion. Deviation from the physiologically right angle of human joints is frequently observed in exoskeleton robots. In essence, misalignment between exoskeleton joints and human joints can result in significant joint injury. Hence, it is important to take into account other mechanisms in order to counterbalance the adverse impact resulting from the mechanism. Alternatively, the exoskeleton of the robot must be securely fastened to the separate body segments to minimize substantial deviations<sup>3</sup>. In addition, it should be noted that the robot is positioned on the surface of the skin rather than along the hypothetical line connecting the joint rotation centers. Consequently, a gap will exist between the rotation center of the robot segments joints and that of the corresponding human segments joints. The mentioned gap necessitates careful consideration



while constructing the joints of the exoskeleton, particularly in the case of complex joints that include several degrees of freedom, such as the shoulder<sup>4</sup>.

The shoulder complex is considered to be one of the most intricate anatomical regions inside the human body. Therefore, the process of ball-and-socket joint constructing, such as the shoulder complex, necessitates the include of certain factors. The presence of motion singularities resulting from the center offset and the mathematical representation of these joints give a significant challenge in the study of the shoulder joint. The design of a shoulder joint exoskeleton should not only consider the physical ROM required by the joint but also ensure that it does not impede the natural mobility of the joint<sup>5-7</sup>. Additionally, it should be noted that the center of rotation (COR) of the shoulder joint undergoes modifications throughout the motion. The observed motion is contingent upon the characteristics of the scapular motion and the joint surfaces. The influence of joint surface characteristics on shoulder mobility may be deemed insignificant. Nevertheless, as previously mentioned, the impact of scapular motion on shoulder complex might be substantial if it is beyond a certain threshold. Therefore, it is imperative to exercise caution while performing an activity of daily living (ADL) that involves a range of motion exceeding the intended range. This is crucial in order to prevent any additional physical damaging to the shoulder.

The elbow-joint involves three main bones, namely the humerus, radius and ulna. The elbow-joint has been conceptualized as a uniaxial-hinge joint $\delta$ . The robot's rotation axis, situated at the user's elbowjoint, may be represented as a basic revolute joint in the model.

Islam,  $M.R<sup>9</sup>$  created a novel upper arm equipped with sensors to accurately detect the forces exerted during interactions with the arm. Both joint-based and endpoint workouts were subjected to a PID control approach. The trial findings confirmed the effectiveness of both forms of functionality of the built robot. While, Hairui  $LU^{10}$  chose several sorts of elements and created a finite element model after analyzing the results, irrelevant sections were eliminated and simplified, while the essential components were enhanced through parameter definition to optimize and redesign the structure. The static analysis and harmonious response analysis of the updated model demonstrate significant improvements in the structural mechanical characteristics compared to the original design. These modifications successfully meet all design criteria.

To provide effective support, it is essential to develop rehabilitation robots to enable them to replicate the normal human motion across different groups of injured cases. To clarify, the complex gait patterns revealed by people could differ on a caseby-case basis due to variations in the range of motion and size specific to each injured case. However, it is important for the robot to possess the capability of adapting to a new motion rang trajectory for ensure the execution of seamless motion while also preventing any potential bodily harm. The objective is accomplished through the process of converting human motion characteristics into biomechanical design standards. This implies that individuals should possess anthropomorphic characteristics and adhere to ergonomic principles $11$ .

# **Exoskeleton Structural Components Measurement and Modeling**

#### **1. Anthropometrics Properties of the Human Body**

Anthropometric measurements usually are studies used for historical objectives. In the last few years these studies have started to be used for the human body and man-machine, tool, vehicles, etc. interaction, however anthropometrics is considered a branch of anthropology which is defined as "the physical measures of a person's size, form, and functional capacities"<sup>12</sup> and "the science of studying present and past of humans, where the knowledge from the social and biological sciences meet the humanities and physical sciences" in American Anthropological Association definitions<sup>13</sup>.

### 1.1.**Segment Dimensions**

By considering the segments length between joints, it can easily describe the human body size in a detailed manner, which varies with the human gender, body type, age and race. Gull MA & Bai S. 14,15 put the first proposal for the model of estimates of joint locations and segment lengths, while Drillis and Contini<sup>16,17</sup> noted that this average value evaluated from Fig.  $1^{18}$  is a segment size of the robot segments parts but not replace real life data from individuals.





# **Figure 1. Segment-length as a function of height<sup>18</sup>**

#### 1.2.**Segment Mass, Center of Mass**

The mass of each segment could be calculated from formula of percentage of the total body mass, in addition to the center of the mass location from the proximal or distal end of the segment can be increased or decreased proportional to the segment length, Table  $1^{18}$  describes the relation between the segment proportional ratio for the mass and its center, however, these data value is required for the kinetic and kinematic analyses.

#### 1.3.**Moment of Inertia**

Moment of inertia can be calculated by using the radius of gyration of limbs. The center of gravity and average weight and length of targeted upper limbs can be provided in Table  $1^{18}$ 





### **2. System Modeling**

The robot manipulator from 8 DOF when each join represents one degree of freedom in the 3D SolidWorks model illustrated in Fig. 2



**Figure 2. Upper limb Robotic 3D Model**

The robot structure works in space in three directions (x, y and z) coordinates moved in two of them and rotate about one which means the system configuration on  $(x, y \text{ and } \theta)$  parameters, in the realworld application it necessary to describe robot position and orientation on the space a six parameter (x, y, z, yaw, roll and bitch).

The robot 3D model in Fig. 2 shows the robot consists of links and joints, the links are connected serially to each other by joints, that's means link1 connects to link2 in joint1 and link3 connects to link2 in joint2 eventually, Calculation of movement of each link relative to the main link in the frame.

#### 2.1.**System Kinematic**

The Denavit-Hartenberg (DH) parameters are a set of four parameters used to describe the kinematics of robotic systems with revolute or prismatic joints. The

Published Online First: December, 2024 <https://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2024.9935> P-ISSN: 2078-8665 - E-ISSN: 2411-7986

DH parameters were introduced by Jacques Denavit and Richard Hartenberg in the 1950s and are widely used in robotics and computer graphics.

Using these four parameters, the transformation matrix between adjacent joints can be derived, allowing the orientation and position of the endeffector of a robot to be calculated<sup>19</sup>. The DH parameters are typically specified relative to a fixed reference frame, and the transformation matrices can be multiplied together to obtain the orientation and position of the end-effector with respect to the fixed reference frame, by the axis that is referenced on the robot as shown in Fig. 3. Table 2 show the DH parameters for the proposed 8 DOF rehabilitation robotic.

**Table 2. DH Parameter for ULERD 8 robot**

Joi nt	$\alpha_{i-1}$ <b>Twist</b> Angle	$a_{i-1}$ <b>Offset</b> Link	$\mathbf{d}_i$ Link Length	$\theta_i$ Joint Angle
1	0	0	$L_1$	$\theta_1$
2	$\frac{\pi}{3}$	L <sub>2</sub>	0	$\theta_2$ $+ \frac{\pi}{3}$
3	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	0	0	$\theta_3$ $+ \frac{\pi}{3}$
4	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	0	$L_{4-1}$	$\theta_4$
5	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	0	$\Omega$	$\theta_5$
6	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	0	$L_{6-1}$	$\theta_6$
7	$\frac{\pi}{2}$	0	0	$\theta$ <sub>7</sub>
8	$\pi$ ,	0	0	$\theta_8$



**Figure 3. Upper limb Robotic joint referenced axes**

By using DH parameters, the homogenous transformation matrix referred to in Eq. 3 is used for finding the transformation matrix to describe the position and orientation of each joint of the robot with reference to the global coordinate and can be simplified in Eq. 1

$$
T_8^0 = [T_1^0 T_2^1 T_3^2 T_4^3 T_5^4 T_6^5 T_7^6 T_8^7] \t 1
$$
  

$$
T_8^0 = \begin{bmatrix} R_8^0 & P_8^0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \t 2
$$

Where: R is rotation matrix and P is the position matrix description.

Each joint transformation matrix can be obtained by Eq. 3:

 $T_i^{i-1} =$  $\cos \theta_i$  − sin θ<sub>i</sub> cos  $\alpha_i$  sin θ<sub>i</sub> sin  $\alpha_i$  a<sub>i</sub> cos θ<sub>i</sub><br>sin θ<sub>i</sub> cos θ<sub>i</sub> cos α<sub>i</sub> − cos θ<sub>i</sub> sin α<sub>i</sub> a<sub>i</sub> sin θ<sub>i</sub>  $\sin \theta_i$  cos  $\theta_i \cos \alpha_i$  – cos  $\theta_i \sin \alpha_i$  a<sub>i</sub> sin<br>0  $\sin \alpha_i$  cos  $\alpha_i$  d<sub>i</sub>  $\begin{array}{ccc} \n\frac{\partial}{\partial a} & \cos \alpha_i \\ \n0 & 0 \n\end{array}$ 0 0 0 1  $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ 

By using the Eqs. 1,2,3 for individual joints of robot that are related in each frame of the robot and after submitting DH parameters in table 2, the homogenous transformation matrix for the robot frames from 1 to 8 can be obtained:

$$
T_1^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & L_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \, 4
$$

$$
T_2^1 = \n\begin{pmatrix}\n\cos(\theta_2 + \frac{\pi}{3}) & -\sin(\theta_2 + \frac{\pi}{3})\cos\frac{\pi}{3} & \sin(\theta_2 + \frac{\pi}{3})\sin\frac{\pi}{3} & L_2\cos(\theta_2 + \frac{\pi}{3}) \\
\sin(\theta_2 + \frac{\pi}{3}) & \cos(\theta_2 + \frac{\pi}{3})\cos\frac{\pi}{3} & -\cos(\theta_2 + \frac{\pi}{3})\sin\frac{\pi}{3} & L_2\sin(\theta_2 + \frac{\pi}{3}) \\
0 & \sin\frac{\pi}{3} & \cos\frac{\pi}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
T_3^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_3 + \frac{\pi}{3}) & 0 & \sin(\theta_3 + \frac{\pi}{2}) & 0 \\ \sin(\theta_3 + \frac{\pi}{3}) & 0 & -\cos(\theta_3 + \frac{\pi}{3}) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} 6
$$

$$
T_4^3 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_4 & 0 & \sin \theta_4 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_4 & 0 & -\cos \theta_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L_{4-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} 7
$$

$$
T_5^4 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_5 & 0 & \sin \theta_5 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_5 & 0 & -\cos \theta_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} 8
$$

$$
T_6^5 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_6 & 0 & \sin \theta_6 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_6 & 0 & -\cos \theta_6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & L_{6-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} 9
$$

$$
T_7^6 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_7 & 0 & \sin \theta_7 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_7 & 0 & -\cos \theta_7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} 10
$$

$$
T_8^7 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_8 & 0 & \sin \theta_8 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_8 & 0 & -\cos \theta_8 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} 11
$$

#### 2.2.**Dynamic Model**

For studying the system motion with considering the torque and force and moment, Euler-Lagrange approach are used frequently for dynamic modeling of multi rigid robotic system, Euler-Lagrange contained the kinetic and potential energies of a system described as L=T-V where T is kinetic energy part and V is potential energy part of the system, the function represented by using generalized coordinates $q_i$  and generalized velocity  $\dot{q}_i$  as:

$$
L = L(q_i, \dots, q_d, \dot{q}_i, \dots, \dot{q}_d) \qquad 12
$$

Where d is the number of DOF

#### **Robotic Design**

In order to develop the wearable robot, it is necessary to take into account several characteristics, including the anthropometry of the intended users, constraints on the weight of the robot, considerations of comfort and ergonomics, the ROM required, and any physical limitations that may exist. Each of these factors will be thoroughly examined and established in the subsequent discussion.

### **1. Targeted Users and Subsequent Anthropomorphic Data**

Adaptation ability is considered to be a fundamental element in the field of design. In essence, the design of an exoskeleton robot should have adaptability to accommodate individuals with diverse characteristics, including variations in weight, height, and other relevant factors. Given that the length of limbs, center of gravity and weight of individuals undergo changes as they mature, it is important to take into account the likely age range of users throughout the design stage. This section aims to establish the specific age range of users and afterwards utilize this range to derive the succeeding metrics associated with different age groups.

Research indicates that age has a significant role in the outcomes of stroke patients. The incidence of stroke exhibits a twofold increase for every decade beyond the age of  $55^{20}$ . Therefore, the selected demographic comprises individuals aged 50 to 80 years, as this age group is considered particularly susceptible to stroke. The anthropometric measurements of height and weight among boys across various racial and ethnic backgrounds exhibit variations within the specified age range. These data were collected in the following manner<sup>19</sup> as listed in Table 3.





As indicated in the preceding tables (Table 3), the height range observed between patients aged 50-80 years is 62 to 114.3 kg, while the corresponding height range is 161.3 to 186.8 centimeters. Therefore, it is imperative that the assistive robot have adjustability within this range and the capability to deliver assistive force to patients of varying weights.

The initial parameter assessed pertained (as show in Fig. 4) to the dimensions of the robot as listed in Table 4 below. The measurements essential for determining the size of the robot are presented in the subsequent tables.







### **Figure 4 Upper Limb Critical Segment length**

Another factor that needs to be taken into account is the limbs weight and the level of required assistive force. Table 1 displays the specified ranges for the weight, center of gravity (CG) listed in table 5 below, and radius of gyration of the limbs, which are dependent on the weight.

**Table 5. Range of Weight and Moment of Inertia of the Limbs of Targets Range** 

	<b>Upper</b>	<b>Forearm</b> and
	arm	Hand
	1.7360-	$1.3640-$
Weight (kg)	3.2004	2.5146
Moment of Inertia about	$0.0132 -$	$0.0501 -$
$CG$ (kg.m2)	0.0327	0.1240

# **2. Current Actuation Technologies**

There are several actuating systems technologies existed. Historically, the predominant means of supplying energy to widely utilized actuators has been through the use of electric current, pneumatic pressure and hydraulic fluid. The selection of the energy source has a direct impact on the choice of actuators employed inside the system. In the majority of actuation systems, energy is supplied in the form of electric current. Furthermore, there are occasions in which several actuator systems are selectively mixed to fulfil certain design objectives.

The design objectives include the integration of a variety of movements, velocities, and accelerations while considering power limitations, workspace demands, mobility, and cost considerations. Several commonly used types of actuators mentioned before. These actuators can also be integrated to create configurations with several actuator systems to fulfil design objectives.

When choosing actuators, several selection factors were taken into account, including produced torques, motor size, velocity, acceleration, power consumption, mobility, weight, and the encoder type. The actuator systems most frequently employed in various applications include electric, hydraulic, and pneumatic systems. Each form of actuator possesses distinct advantages and disadvantages. In recent times, there has been a growing research focus on actuators for soft robotics, encompassing many types such as pneumatics, electrical actuation, and chemical actuation systems<sup>22,23</sup>.

# **3. Current Sensor technologies**

The coordination between the human body and mechatronic structures and is facilitated by the use of sensor data, which contributes to the complexity of their interaction. During the design phase, intricate methodologies involve the adjustment of the exoskeleton's behaviors to align with the motions of the patients. This is achieved by utilizing data from several systems, including optical motion capture systems, EMG sensors, IMU sensors, sensors for muscle activation<sup>24</sup>.

Exoskeletons commonly incorporate several types of sensors, including as EMG sensors, IMU sensors, encoders , strain gauges and force sensors to facilitate the collection of real-time data for control objectives. Control algorithms utilize measured data to assess patient movement intentions, as an example. Consequently, the generation of position and motor torque commands is facilitated by the utilization of adaptive control approaches, which rely on patients' exertions and sensory inputs. Furthermore, advanced controllers have the capability to modify the necessary motor torque in accordance with the progress exhibited by patients.

# **4. Exoskeleton Safety**

The significance of safety in the design of upper limb exoskeletons is of utmost importance because of the direct interaction between the robot and the human body. Rehabilitation devices are classified as medical equipment and, as such, are required to conform to high and specific standards throughout the whole process, including design and production<sup>25</sup>. Safety elements are included in three distinct levels, mainly electrical, mechanical and software<sup>26</sup>. In the realm of mechanical design, it is possible to incorporate physical stoppers into each joint. The purpose of these stoppers is twofold: to limit undesired motion and to safeguard against excessive excursions that may lead to hyperextension or hyperflexion of particular joints. Moreover, the design might have electric emergency stop switches strategically positioned in

conveniently accessible locations for the user. Moreover, the control programmed has the capability to restrict the maximum torque and velocity of the exoskeleton, thus mitigating the occurrence of unforeseen abrupt movements.

#### **5. Exoskeleton Structure Stress analysis**

The finite element analysis used to validate the robot structure stress analysis to clarify the chosen material validate used for this application<sup>27</sup>, the maximum force applied calculated on the individual segment is varying according to the segment body weight $^{28}$  and robot segments parts weight and can be calculated according to this equation:

### Avarage mass of upper  $limb =$  $(upper arm % x MH) + (fore arm % x MH) +$  $(h$ and %  $x$  MH $)$  13

Where:

MH is Average mass of a male human ( male will be considered due to its larger weight than female in same age range) as illiterate in table 6 below

Upper arm segment mass percentage can be calculated from the data obtained from the literature as in the table below<sup>1</sup>





### **6. Robot Material Using for Manufacturing**

The process of selecting materials for upper limb rehabilitation robots involves careful consideration

### **Results and Discussion**

#### **1. Finite Element Analysis**

The upper limb exoskeleton assembly model is subjected to analysis under certain conditions utilizing the Ansys® software. The model is generated on the SolidWorks® software. Fig. 2 displays the upper limb exoskeleton model. The concept of materials is initially defined. A comprehensive analysis will be conducted utilizing all three sources.

### **2. Constrains, Force & Meshing**



of several criteria like strength, weight, flexibility, comfort, and durability<sup>29</sup>. The integration of lightweight metals, plastics, composites, and soft materials enables these robots to deliver efficient and pleasant therapy to persons undergoing rehabilitation for upper limb injuries or impairments, hence helping their progress towards enhanced mobility and functioning.

Aluminum or other lightweight metals are commonly employed as major materials in the fabrication of upper limb rehabilitation robots due to their combination of low weight and high durability. These materials provide the requisite structural integrity to effectively sustain the robotic components, while also ensuring that the overall weight of the device remains within reasonable limits. The significance of this matter lies in the fact that an excessive amount of weight might hinder the patient's capacity to utilize the robot in a comfortable manner, particularly while engaging in prolonged therapy sessions.

In conjunction with metallic elements, components of rehabilitation robots frequently integrate highstrength polymers and composite materials. These materials contribute to the reduction of the device's total weight and improve its design flexibility. As an illustration, the arm or joint mechanics of the robot might potentially integrate materials such as polycarbonate or carbon fiber-reinforced composites. These materials possess a combination of strength and flexibility that enables them to imitate the natural motions of joints during therapeutic interventions.

The maximum magnitude of the force utilized for analysis is 106 Newtons. The force provided is determined by the mass of the object. The mass of the subject is 100 kg taking in the account the maximum weight possible, the weight is deferent to each part of robot (back element, shoulder complex, forearm complex and hand complex) and the calculated weight is (10.814, 9.814, 4.166 and 1.06 kg) respectively. Once the prerequisites have been established, the process of meshing becomes crucial. Meshing is a fundamental procedure that involves the subdivision of a continuous geometric structure

into several smaller shapes, often numbering in the thousands or more. This subdivision is undertaken with the purpose of accurately delineating the physical form of the item in question. The accuracy of a simulation model (FEA) is directly proportional to the level of detail included in the mesh. The meshing technique employed in this study is automated method meshing. The Fig. 5 displays the meshed exoskeleton. The robotic element constrains (boundary condition) shown in Fig.  $6$ 









**Figure 5 robot (back element, shoulder complex, forearm complex and hand complex) meshing**

**3. Von-mises stress for the upper limb exoskeleton**



Fig. 6 illustrates the comprehensive stress output of the upper limb exoskeleton. The stress ranging from 4.6108 MPa to 29.05 MPa is reported effect on the inner and anterior regions of components. Fig. 7 illustrates that the shoulder complex sliding connection part are caring a maximum stress value of 29.05 MPa that's is shows a suitability of this design for implementation by using the available bio compactable polymer material like PMMA and PLA and Perlon<sup>30</sup>.



**Figure 6 applied force constrain**







**Figure 7 Von-mises stress analysis of exoskeleton**

### **Conclusion**

This study presents a proposal for the creation of an upper limb exoskeleton intended for the purpose of proceeding with a physiotherapy rehabilitation program. The analysis of the skeletal strength of exoskeletons using the finite element method is a topic of significant scholarly interest. Based on the analysis given in the previous part, it can be inferred that the following conclusion may be drawn:

The successful development of an upper limb exoskeleton design for physiotherapy rehabilitation has been achieved by introducing an adjustable light weight design. The simulation indicate that the

# **Authors' Declaration**

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- We hereby confirm that all figures and tables in the manuscript are ours. Furthermore, figures and images, that are not ours, have been included with the necessary permission for re-publication, which is attached to the manuscript.

### **Authors' Contribution Statement**

Both authors M.A and A.M.T contributed in the design and implementation of the research, the

exoskeleton design is considered safe for providing physiotherapy rehabilitation ordinary loading conditions. In the future, research will be conducted to enhance the dependability and efficiency of this technique for optimizing structures. This will involve including other objectives such as compliance and volume, as well as addressing restrictions like as stress and natural frequency. The computational cost is a significant obstacle when implementing topology optimization techniques for the lightweight design of the collaborative robot will be also challenge in the future.

- No animal studies are present in the manuscript.
- No human studies are present in the manuscript.
- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee at Al Nahrain University.

analysis of the results and in the writing of the manuscript.

### **References**



- 1. Al-temeemi Mi. Rmsma. Rover Multi-purpose Surveillance Robotic System. BSJ. 2020 Sep 8; 17(3 (Suppl.)): 1049-1057. [http://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2020.17.3\(Suppl.\).10](http://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2020.17.3(Suppl.).1049) [49](http://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2020.17.3(Suppl.).1049)
- 2. Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, et al. heart disease and stroke statistics—2019 update: a report from the AHAC. 2019 Mar 5; 139(10): e56-28. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000659>
- 3. Qassim HM, Wan Hasan WZ. A review on upper limb rehabilitation robots. Appl. Sci. 2020 Oct 6; 10(19): 6976-6994. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10196976>
- 4. Martinez C, Tavakoli M. Learning and reproduction of Therapist's semi-periodic motions during robotic rehabilitation. Robotica. 2020 Feb; 38(2): 337-349. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0263574719000651>
- 5. Chang LR, Anand P, Varacallo M. Anatomy, shoulder and upper limb, glenohumeral joint. In Stat. Pe. 2022 Aug 8. StatPearls Publishing.
- 6. Lee SH, Park G, Cho DY, Kim HY, Lee JY, Kim S, et al. Comparisons between end-effector and exoskeleton rehabilitation robots regarding upper extremity function among chronic stroke patients with moderate-to-severe upper limb impairment. Sci Rep. 2020 Feb 4; 10(1): 1806.
- 7. Aprile I, Cruciani A, Germanotta M, Gower V, Pecchioli C, Cattaneo D, Vannetti F, Padua L, Gramatica F. Upper limb robotics in rehabilitation: an approach to select the devices, based on rehabilitation aims, and their evaluation in a feasibility study. Appl. Sci. 2019 Sep 18;9(18):3920 <https://doi.org/10.1097/NPT.0000000000000295>
- 8. Aprile I, Germanotta M, Cruciani A, Loreti S, Pecchioli C, Cecchi F, et al. Upper limb robotic rehabilitation after stroke: a multicenter, randomized clinical trial. JNPT 2020 Jan 1;44(1):3- 1[4.https://doi.org/10.1097/NPT.0000000000000295](https://doi.org/10.1097/NPT.0000000000000295)
- 9. Islam MR, Assad-Uz-Zaman M, Brahmi B, Bouteraa Y, Wang I, Rahman MH. Design and development of an upper limb rehabilitative robot with dual functionality. Mic.mach. 2021 Jul 24;12(8):870-900. <https://doi.org/10.3390/mi12080870>
- 10. Liu H, Wang Y. Optimization Design of Support Arm of an Upper Limb Rehabilitation Robot. IOP Conf Ser.: Mater Sci Eng. 2019; 688: 033048. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/688/3/033048>
- 11. Gnasso R, Palermi S, Picone A, Tarantino D, Fusco G, Messina MM, et al. Robotic-Assisted Rehabilitation for Post-Stroke Shoulder Pain: A Systematic Review. Sen. 2023 Oct 3; 23(19): 8239. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23198239>
- 12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC Anthropometry – NIOSH. WSHT. CDCP. 2019.
- 13. American Anthropological Association. What is anthropology? . AAA. 2023.
- 14. Gull MA, Bai S, Bak T. A review on design of upper limb exoskeletons. Rob. 2020 Mar 17; 9(1): 16-51. <https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics9010016>
- 15. Bai S, Li X, Angeles J. A review of spherical motion generation using either spherical parallel manipulators or spherical motors. Mech Mach Theory. 2019 Oct 1; 140: 377-388. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2019.06.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2019.06.012) [012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2019.06.012)
- 16. Contini R. Body segment parameters, Part II. Arti limbs. 1972; 16(1): 1-9.
- 17. Contini R, Drillis RJ, Bluestein M. Determination of body segment parameters. HF. 1963 Oct;5(5):493- 504. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872086300500508>
- 18. Flores-Ortiz R, Malta DC, Velasquez-Melendez G. Adult body weight trends in 27 urban populations of Brazil from 2006 to 2016: a population-based study. Plos.one. 2019 Mar 6; 14(3): e0213254. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213254>
- 19. Zeiaee A, Soltani-Zarrin R, Langari R, Tafreshi R. Kinematic design optimization of an eight degree-offreedom upper-limb exoskeleton. Robotica. 2019 Dec: 37(12): 2073-86. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0263574719001085>
- 20. González-Mendoza A, Quiñones-Urióstegui I, Salazar-Cruz S, Perez-Sanpablo AI, López-Gutiérrez R, Lozano R. Design and implementation of a rehabilitation upper-limb exoskeleton robot controlled by cognitive and physical interfaces. J Bionic Eng. 2022 Sep; 19(5): 1374-1391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42235-022-00214-z>
- 21. McDowell MA, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Anthropometric reference data for children and adults: United States, 1988-1994. VHS. Series 11, Data from the NHS. 2009 Apr 1(249): 1-68.
- 22. Copaci D, Cano E, Moreno L, Blanco D. New design of a soft robotics wearable elbow exoskeleton based on shape memory alloy wire actuators. Appl. BioMech. Sep 5. 2017; Article ID 1605101: 11 pages . [https:/doi.org/10.1155/2017/1605101](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/1605101)
- 23. Song P, Yu Y, Zhang X. A tutorial survey and comparison of impedance control on robotic manipulation. Robotica. 2019 May; 37(5): 801-836. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0263574718001339>
- 24. Tang L, Liu G, Yang M, Li F, Ye F, Li C. Joint design and torque feedback experiment of rehabilitation robot. Adv Mech Eng. 2020 May; 12(5): 1687814020924498.
- 25. Sanchez-Villamañan MD, Gonzalez-Vargas J, Torricelli D, Moreno JC, Pons JL. Compliant lower limb exoskeletons: a comprehensive review on mechanical design principles. J Neuroeng Rehabil . 2019 Dec; 16(1): 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-019-0517-9>
- 26. Curcio EM, Carbone G. Mechatronic design of a robot for upper limb rehabilitation at home. J. Bionic Eng. 2021 Jul; 18(4): 857-87[1.https://doi.org/10.1007/s42235-021-0066-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42235-021-0066-3)



- 27. Pisla D, Pop N, Gherman B, Ulinici I, Luchian I, Carbone G. Efficient FEM Based Optimization of a Parallel Robotic System for Upper Limb Rehabilitation. In NAM, MTR: MTM & Robotics. 2020;(pp. 517-532). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-60076-1_47) [60076-1\\_47](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-60076-1_47)
- 28. Tang B, Jiang L, Wang Z, Ke M, Sun Y. Upper Limb Rehabilitation Electromechanical System for Stroke Patients. Proceedings of ICCSIA 2020; 1: 283-289.

Springer International Publishing [.https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43306-2\\_40](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43306-2_40)

- 29. Liu B, Sha L, Huang K, Zhang W, Yang H. A topology optimization method for collaborative robot lightweight design based on orthogonal experiment and its applications. Int J Adv.Robot. 2022 Jan 20; 19(1): 17298814211056143. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17298814211056143>
- 30. CRC press. Landel RF, Nielsen LE. Mechanical properties of polymers and composites. CRC press; 1993 Dec 14.

# **اجراءات التصميم الميكانيكي والنموذج الرياضي لروبوت تأهيل الطرف العلوي لجسم االنسان ذي 8 درجات من الحركة**

# **مهند االسدي، اياد مراد طخاخ**

قسم الهندسة الميكانيكية، كلية الهندسة، جامعة النهرين، بغداد، عراق.

#### **الخالصة**

علاج الاصابات الطبية العضلية التي تعيق الحركة لجسم الانسان اصبحت ذات اهتمام واسع لما تحمله من اهمية لتحسين حياة الناس التي تتعرض الى هكذا نوع من االصابات ، خالل االعوام السابقة دراسات عدة حاولت ان تصنع روبوت يعمل على اعادة تأهيل االطراف العلوية المصابة من جسم االنسان ، في هذا البحث سوف نقوم بوضع منهج إلجراءات تصميم روبوت طبي من خالل عرض المساحات الحجمية لهذا الروبوت حسب عمر ووزن الشخص المصاب والثوابت الوزنية والحجمية لكل جزء من الروبوت الستخدامها في النموذج الرياضي الذي يستعمل لتحريك هذا الروبوت ومن ثم سوف يتم عرض تصميم روبوت ذو ثمانية درجات من الحركة وفحصه باستخدام تحليل العناصر المحدودة (FEA) من أجل تقييم قدرته على التحمل والعمل وفقًا للحمل الذي يمارسه وزن الإنسان .يخضع نموذج الهيكل الخارجي للطرف العلوي للتحليل باستخدام برنامج@Ansys ، مما يسهل تنفيذ تحليل الإجهاد بطريقة (von Mises).

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** القياسات البشرية، تحليل العناصر المحدودة، الروبوتات، الهياكل الخارجية لألطراف العلوية، تحليل اإلجهاد فون ميزيس.