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#### Abstract

: A new, accurate, precise and economic two spectrophotometric methods for determination of Paracetamol (Par), Ibuprofen (Ibu), and Caffeine (Caf) were suggested. Those methods were the first and second ratio derivative spectrum using a double devisor. Par, Ibu, and Caf showed many useful peaks for their quantified determination. The validity of all analysis modes for determination of the three compounds, peak to baseline, peak area and peak to peak were according to ICH. The linearity of two methods was between $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ as a lower concentration and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ as the highest concentration for three compounds. Recovery percentage was around $100 \%$ and relative standard deviation was less than $2.6 \%$. The methods were applied successfully in the determination of Par, Ibu, and Caf in pure and pharmaceutical forms.
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## Introduction:

Paracetamol belongs to aromatic amides ${ }^{1}$, (acetaminophen), it is used to relieve the pain ${ }^{2}$, antipyretic and analgesic drug, ${ }^{3}$ its molecular weight is $151.17 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{mol}-1$. Its structure is shown in Fig $1^{4}$.


Figure 1. Structure of Paracetamol
Caffeine is 1, 3,7-trimethyl Xanthin-2,6-dihydroxy purine. It has the structure as in $\mathrm{Fig} 2{ }^{4}$


Figure 2. Structure of Caffeine

Caf is one of the families of xanthines. The xanthines that is concedered of plant origin may be the oldest stimulants. Caffeine is the strongest xanthine in its ability to increase alertness, postpone sleep ${ }^{5}$.

Caf is a vasodilator (relaxes the blood vessels) as well as a diuretic (increases urination). Excessive consumption of caffeine can lead to negative effects on the organism, so it is recommended to reduce it. However, the effect of caffeine on cognition and memory requires further study ${ }^{6}$.

Ibuprofene is chemically 2[4-(2-methyl propyl) phenyl] propanoic acid. The molecular weight is 206.28 and its structure is shown in Fig 3 4.


Figure 3. Structure of Ibuprofen
The relief of pain is not always easily achieved ${ }^{7}$. Opioid analgesics are effective but have
annoying consequences, may behave dangerous side-effects and their potential may lead to difficulties. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs have less regulatory limitations, but they also have vital negative effects that are likely to occur at a higher dose or with longer cycles ${ }^{8}$. Par is widely used and is highly safe at a dose of $4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}^{9}$, but does not always supply enough pain relief on its own. Combining analgesics provides the potential of rising efficacy without rise the dose, which may cause risk ${ }^{10}$. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are often mixed with Par (prescribing of Par and Ibu together is known in medicine) mainly for postoperative pain drugs ${ }^{11-13}$.

One study suggested that pre-emptive combination therapy including Par, Ibu, and Caf can be used efficiently to control postoperative pain after impacted third molar surgery ${ }^{14}$. Modern studies have shown that caffeine works as analgesic assistant when mixed with paracetamol ${ }^{15}$.

A lot of different methods have been suggested for estimation of Par, Caf and Ibu simultaneously, such as first-order derivative spectroscopy ${ }^{16,17}$, Zero- crossing derivative spectrophotometric method ${ }^{18,19}$, Titrimetric-UV Spectrophotometric Method ${ }^{20}$, Simultaneous spectrophotometric determination of Par, Ibu and Caf in pharmaceuticals by Chemometric methods ${ }^{21,22}$, first-order derivative and wavelet transforms to UV spectra ${ }^{23}$, first ratio derivative ${ }^{24}$, first ratio derivative and H -Point ${ }^{25}$ and visible method ${ }^{26,27}$.

High Performance Liquid Chromatography methods (HPLC) ${ }^{28-31}$, and different electrochemical methods either for each one alone or combined together ${ }^{32-35}$ were used too in the estimation of the three compounds in the same form.

This study aims to develop a new simultaneous spectrophotometric method depending on the double devisor ratio derivative method.

## Material and Methods:

Instrumentals and Chemicals
A computerized Shimadzu Spectrophotometer display 1650 Uv-vis double beam with 1 cm cells was used in the measurements.

All chemicals were analytical grade and had have high purity, so when used they did not need more purification. Standards Par, Ibu, and Caf were provided from the state company for drug industries and medical appliances (SDI) Samarra-Iraq. Stock solutions of Par, Ibu and Caf ( 1000 ppm ) were prepared separately by dissolving 0.1 g of each substance in an amount of distilled water and the volume was completed to the mark of 100 ml
volumetric flasks with the same solvent (Ibu needed sonication for five minutes in an ultra-sound water bath to complete the solubility) and stored it in (individually not mixture) a dark place until use. Other dilutions were prepared as needed using distilled water. Tablets Dologan Denk (manufactured by Denk Pharma, Germany) labeled to contain 250,200 , and $50 \mathrm{mg} /$ tablet of Par, Ibu, and Caf respectively, it were purchased from Iraqi local markets.

## Procedure

Three groups of ternary mixtures solutions and three groups of binary mixtures solutions with different concentrations simulated the claimed ratio of three compounds in pharmaceutical forms were composed by preparing $5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of Par, Ibu and Caf as mentioned in the preparation of standard solutions as in Table 1 and the required dilutions were conducted by using distilled water. The absorbance spectra of the three compounds were scanned between $200-400 \mathrm{~nm}$ and stored on a computer. The spectrum of the ternary mixture of each group was divided on the spectrum of the binary mixture (double divisor) of the same group in order to get the ratio spectrum of each compound separately.

Table1. The groups of ternary and binary mixtures of paracetamol, Ibuprofen and Caffeine

| Group | Ternary mixtures <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ | Binary <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ | mixtures |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Par:Ibu:Caf | Ibu:Caf |  |
|  | 5-50:40:10 | $40: 10$ |  |
| 2 | Ibu:Par:Caf | Par:Caf |  |
| 3 | 5-50:50:10 | $50: 10$ |  |
|  | Caf:Par:Ibu | Par+Ibu |  |
|  | 5-50:50:40 | $50: 40$ |  |

## Analysis of Pharmaceutical Preparations

Twenty tablets of the pharmaceutical form (Dologan Denk) were weighed, powdered, and homogenized. An accurately weight of the mixture powder equivalent to $50: 40: 10 \mathrm{mg}$ of Par:Ibu: Caf respectively, was dissolved in enough amount of distilled water, sonicated in an ultrasound water bath for five minutes, centrifuged at 3000RPM for 15 min , decanted the clear solution and the volume was completed to the mark by distilled water in 100 ml volumetric flask to get the concentration 500:400:100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of Par:Ibu: Caf respectively.

## Background of the Ratio Derivative Method

The ratio derivative method depends on the dividing of ternary mixture spectrum (the concentration of one compound is variant) by the binary mixture spectrum (fixed concentrations), the concentrations of the binary compounds may be
same in the pharmaceutical forms or not, according to the following equations:
$\mathrm{Am}, \lambda 1=\varepsilon \mathrm{x}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cx}+\varepsilon \mathrm{y}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cy}+\varepsilon \mathrm{z}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cz}--1$
Am, $\lambda 1=$
absorbance of the ternary mixture at $\lambda 1$
$\varepsilon x, \lambda 1, \varepsilon y, \lambda 1, \varepsilon z, \lambda 1$ the absorptivity of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$
respectively.
In the same method the absorbance of the binary mixture of other compounds $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ (double divisor):
$\mathrm{An}, \lambda 1=\varepsilon \mathrm{x}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{Cx}+\varepsilon \mathrm{y}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{Cy}-----2$
By dividing of equation 1 on equation 2
$\frac{\mathrm{Am}, \lambda 1}{\mathrm{An}, \lambda 1}=\frac{\varepsilon \mathrm{x}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cx}+\varepsilon \mathrm{y}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cy}+\varepsilon z, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cz}}{\varepsilon, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cx}+\varepsilon \mathrm{y}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cy}}$
$\frac{\varepsilon x, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cx}+\varepsilon \mathrm{y}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cy}}{\varepsilon \mathrm{x}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cx}+\varepsilon \mathrm{y}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cy}}+\frac{\varepsilon \mathrm{z}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cz}}{\varepsilon \mathrm{x}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cx}+\varepsilon \mathrm{y}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cy}}$
$=\mathrm{k}+\frac{\varepsilon z, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cz}}{\varepsilon \mathrm{x}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cx}+\varepsilon \mathrm{y}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cy}}-----3$
If the concentrations of x and y were equal, $\mathrm{K}=1$, if they not equal, K doesn't equal 1.
When the equation 3 differentiated
$\frac{d}{d \lambda}\left[\frac{A \mathrm{~m}, \lambda 1}{\mathrm{An}, \lambda 1}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} \lambda}\left[\frac{\varepsilon z, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cz}}{\varepsilon \mathrm{s}, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cx}+\varepsilon y, \lambda 1 * \mathrm{cy}}\right]+$ zero
The derivative of instrumental response depends on the concentrations $\mathrm{Cx}, \mathrm{Cy}$, and Cz in the ternary mixtures but doesn't depend on the concentrations $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ Par, $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{Caf}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {Ibu }}^{\prime}$ in binary experimental mixtures.

## Results and Discussion:

Different solvents were used to dissolve the three compounds such as ethanol, methanol and distilled water, or their mixtures with or without NaOH or HCl . Par and Caf were directly dissolved in distilled water while Ibu needed to be put in an ultrasound water bath for 5 minutes in order to complete the dissolution. The distilled water was used in the dissolution of the three compounds and in the next experiments.

The absorption spectra of Par, Ibu, and Caf under the Optimum conditions were scanned between 190-400 nm. The three compounds showed $\lambda$ max at 242 , 222, and 274 nm respectively as in Fig 4. The spectra of three compounds showed strong overly of their spectra. Therefore, the double divisor ratio derivative spectrophotometry was used for the simultaneous determination of three compounds.

## Ratio Spectra Derivative Method

The ratio spectra of each of the three compounds are shown in Fig 5. The first and second derivative of ratio absorbance spectra of Par, Ibu, and Caf showed many positive and negative (valley) peaks at different wavelengths as in Figs 4-11. These peaks were very useful in the quantitative determination of these compounds either in pure or in pharmaceutical forms. The optimum conditions were used, Fast Scan Speed of the wavelengths $=2$ and Sampling Interval $=2 \mathrm{~nm}$ which is the difference between the measurements, Delta lambda ( $\Delta \lambda$ ) it is the minimum wavelength difference between two lines in a spectrum that can be distinguished, and Scaling Factor (S.F), it is a number which scales, or multiplies, some quantity. The values around 20-160 nm of $\Delta \lambda$ were tested. It is noticed that when $\Delta \lambda$ value was more than 20 , the spectrum becomes distorted. The S.F values for $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ ratio derivative were $2.35,15$ for Par, 20.6, 180 for Ibu and 52, 340 for Caf, respectively. These values gave high sensitivity of the method through the high values of the slopes of calibration curves and correlation coefficient (R). The concentration of three compounds in binary mixtures was chosen to simulate their concentrations in pharmaceutical forms as in Table 2.

Table 2. The values of optimum conditions according to the order of ratio derivative

| Drug | Values of S.F |  | $\Delta \lambda \mathrm{nm}$ |  | Scan speed nm |  | Interval sample nm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ order | $2^{\text {nd }}$ order | $1^{\text {st }}$ order | $2^{\text {nd }}$ order | $1^{\text {st }}$ order | $2^{\text {nd }}$ order | $1^{\text {st }}$ order | $2^{\text {nd }}$ order |
| PAR | 2.35 | 15 | 20 | 20 | fast | fast | 2 | 2 |
| IBU | 20.6 | 180 | 20 | 20 | fast | fast | 2 | 2 |
| CAF | 52 | 340 | 20 | 20 | fast | fast | 2 | 2 |



Figure 4. The U V-spectrum of Paracetamol (-), Caf ( - ) and Ibu ( - )


Figure 5. The ratio spectra of Paracetamol ( - ), Caffeine ( - ) and Ibuprofen ( - )

## Determination of Paracetamol

The first ratio derivatives of Par showed two peaks, at 234 and 258 nm as in Fig 6. The peak to the baseline of two peaks was proportional with the concentration of Par up to $10-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $5-30$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. The peak area between 216-246 and $248-282 \mathrm{~nm}$ was proportional to the concentrations of Par up to $10-40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $5-50$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. While the second ratio derivative showed three peaks at 228, 246, and 266 nm as in Fig 7. The peak to baseline at 228 nm and it's area at $216-236 \mathrm{~nm}$ was proportional to the concentrations of Par up to $10-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The peak to baseline at 246 nm and it's area at 236-256 nm was proportional to the concentrations of Par up to $5-25$ and $30-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for the peak to baseline and 10-50 for to the peak area. The peak to the baseline at 266 nm was proportional with concentrations of Par up to 5-30 and $35-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, while the peak area at $256-286 \mathrm{~nm}$ was proportional with the concentration of Par up to $5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The peak to peak $246+266 \mathrm{~nm}$ was proportional to the concentration of Par up to $25-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

For the first ratio derivative, the slopes of all calibration curves were between $-0.1861-0.0602$, R values were between 0.9986-0.9997, the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were between $0.1757-1.6302 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $0.5271-$ $1.6302 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. While for the second ratio derivative, the slopes of all calibration curves were between $-0.699-0.5634, \mathrm{R}$ values were between 0.9946-0.9997, LOD, and LOQ were between 0.034-1.3526 and $0.1026-4.0587 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. The results showed that the method has good linearity and good sensitivity.


Figure 7. Second Ratio derivative of $\mathbf{5 - 5 0} \boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l}$ Paracetamol


Figure 6. First ratio derivative of $5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Paracetamol

## Determination of Caffeine

The first ratio derivatives of Caf showed two peaks, at 264 nm and 292 nm as in Fig 8. The peak to baseline for two peaks and the peak area between 242-278 and 278-314 nm were proportional with the concentrations of caffeine up to $10-50$ and $10-45 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $10-35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. The second ratio derivative showed three peaks at 252,280 , and 300 nm as in Fig 9. The peak to baseline at 252 nm and it's area at 238-266 nm were proportional to the concentrations of Caf up to $5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $10-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. The peak to baseline at 280 nm and its area at 266-290 nm were proportional to the concentrations of Caf
up to $5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The peak to baseline at 300 nm was proportional to concentrations of Caf up to 5-50 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The peak to peak either $252+280$ or $280+300 \mathrm{~nm}$ was proportional with the concentration of the Caf up to $5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.
For the first ratio derivative, the slopes of all calibration curves were between -0.9513-1.8973, R values were between 0.9986-0.9996, LOD, and LOQ were between $0.0529-0.0892$ and 0.2676 $0.1587 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. While for the second ratio derivative, the slopes of all calibration curves were between -2.5404-1.2286, R values were between 0.9988- 0.9999 , LOD, and LOQ were between 0.0683-0.0958 and $0.2044-0.2874 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. The results showed that the method has good linearity and good sensitivity


Figure 9.Second ratio derivative of $\mathbf{5 - 5 0} \boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l}$ Caffeine


Figure 8. First ratio derivative of $5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Caffeine

## Determination of Ibuprofen

The first ratio derivatives of Ibu showed one useful peak at 234 nm as in Fig10. This peak and its area between $234-252 \mathrm{~nm}$ were proportional with the concentrations of Ibu up to $5-50$ and $5-45 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. The second ratio derivative showed two peaks at 224 and 238 nm as in Fig11. The peak to baseline at 224 nm and it's area at 222-232 nm were proportional to the concentrations of Ibu up to
$10-45$ and $30-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. The peak to baseline at 238 nm and its area at 232-256 nm was proportional to the concentrations of Ibu up to 10 45 and $5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively.
For the first ratio derivative, the slopes of all calibration curves were between -0.2562 to -0.0362 , R values were between $0.9995-0.9999$, LOD, and LOQ were between 0.0638-0.0827 nd 0.1914$0.2481 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. While for the second ratio derivative, the slopes of all calibration curves were between -0.3116-0.4157, R values were between 0.9966-0.9999, LOD, and LOQ were between $0.0152-1.5620$ and $0.0465-4.6860 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. The results showed that the method has good linearity and good sensitivity.


Figure 11. Second ratio derivative of $\mathbf{5 - 5 0} \boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m l}$ Ibuprofen


Figure 10. First ratio derivative of $5-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Ibuprofen

## Validation of the Methods

The procedures were carried out according to the International Conference on Harmonization. The method was validated for selectivity, linearity, accuracy, sensitivity, precision.

## Selectivity

Selectivity is the possibility of the method to differentiate between the analyte and other compounds in the sample under analysis. The method was selective to the determination of Par, Caf , and Ibu in the same sample without any
interference, this result can be noted by the analysis of each compound with another two compounds which was very close and have recovery percentage values around $100 \%$.

## Linearity

The linearity was described by plotting the linear regression of the taken concentrations using ten concentrations against the response of the apparatus. The linearity was not less than $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and not more than $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for all calibration curves of three compounds as in Table 3.

## Accuracy and Precision

The accuracy and precision for all calibration curves were tested They were conducted of seven replicate measurements.

Accuracy means, the closeness of the true value of the concentration of the analyte and the mean of the measurements of the analytical procedure. While the precision means the convergence of measured values with each other. The precision is performed in the same day (within batch intra-day), and in more than one day (between batch inter-day). Accuracy is the term that expresses for recovery percentage $\mathrm{Rec} \%$, the precision expresses for relative standard deviation RSD\%.

The results showed the accuracy for all measurements agreed and ranged around $100 \%$ and the precision was less than $2.6 \%$ for the determination methods of Par, Caf, and Ibu either within a day or between day as in Table 3.

Table 3. Accuracy and Precision of Paracetamol, Caffeine and Ibuprofen

| Compound | Order of derivative | Mode of analysis | $\lambda \mathrm{nm}$ | Linearity $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ | RSD\% |  | Rec\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | intra-day | inter-day |  |
| Par | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to | 234 | 10-50 | 0.21905-0.92847 | 0.07635-0.55928 | 96.14618-102.75138 |
|  |  | base line | 258 | 5-30 | 0.94717-0.99028 | 0.03093-0.73052 | 98.41109-104.00000 |
|  |  | Peak | 216-246 | 10-40 | 0.59257-1.57189 | 0.85171-1.77305 | 96.16095-103.52066 |
|  |  | area | 246-282 | 5-50 | 0.82902-1.05289 | 0.57290-2.04814 | 95.51252-103.06983 |
|  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to | 228 | 10-50 | 0.37183-0.93817 | 1.10421-2.57025 | 97.29182-101.49077 |
|  |  | base line | 246 | 5-25 | 0.62541-1.02859 | 0.83949-1.55610 | 98.02278-102.30633 |
|  |  |  |  | 30-50 | 0.16496-0.71258 | 0.89745-1.99025 | 98.07020-102.88847 |
|  |  |  | 266 | 5-30 | 1.01853-1.40018 | 0.09158-1.18480 | 98.00895-104.40268 |
|  |  |  |  | 35-50 | 0.74946-1.28179 | 0.95301-1.83095 | 97.38192-103.08844 |
|  |  | Peak area | 216-236 | 10-50 | 1.52856-2.34190 | 0.58326-1.63013 | 95.18412-102.19474 |
|  |  |  | 236-256 | 10-50 | 0.37191-1.83018 | 0.99422-1.96205 | 95.43883-102.84265 |
|  |  |  | 256-286 | 5-50 | 0.67154-1.84939 | 0.71256-2.19468 | 96.04651-103.14730 |
|  |  | Peak to peak | $246+266$ | 25-50 | 0.88613-1.77473 | 0.09573-1.85294 | 96.15800-103.85307 |
| Caf | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to | 264 | 10-50 | 0.36717- | 0.07105-1.49283 | 95.24601-100.92923 |
|  |  | base line | 292 | 10-45 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.875450 .53610- \\ & 0.92019 \end{aligned}$ | 0.42832-1.95038 | 95.73275-102.66447 |
|  |  | Peak | 242-278 | 10-35 | 0.65824-1.43959 | 0.73846-0.99655 | 96.78139-103.14338 |
|  |  | area | 278-314 | 10-35 | 0.46216-0.99017 | 0.42015-1.66983 | 96.31470-103.05551 |
|  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to | 252 | 5-50 | 0.45352-1.00687 | 0.18345-0.94729 | 97.03471-102.64969 |
|  |  | base line | 280 | 5-50 | 0.54216-0.89527 | 0.82764-1.12482 | 98.78576-101.12202 |
|  |  |  | 300 | 5-50 | 0.69258-1.18753 | 0.84926-1.27317 | 99.38664-102.60095 |
|  |  | Peak | 238-266 | 10-50 | 0.82949-0.91106 | 1.00472-1.41059 | 95.02972-103.17728 |
|  |  | area | 266-290 | 5-50 | 0.68241-0.88763 | 0.72011-1.20480 | 95.64471-102.62005 |
|  |  | Peak to | $252+280$ | 5-50 | 0.91804-1.91728 | 0.07941-1.14591 | 96.27371-102.74916 |
|  |  | peak | 280+300 | 5-50 | 0.85481-1.00035 | 0.28401-0.95713 | 95.31184-102.74918 |
| Ibu | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to base line | 234 | 5-50 | 0.15983-1.08256 | 0.28175-1.22985 | 97.17508-104.61603 |
|  |  | Peak area | 224-252 | 5-45 | 0.35710-1.53183 | 0.58388-1.63035 | 97.84661-101.48717 |
|  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to | 224 | 10-45 | 0.96713-1.42204 | 0.39438-0.94862 | 99.22414-101.17446 |
|  |  | base line | 238 | 10-45 | 0.17382-0.61156 | 0.29568-0.89326 | 96.95693-102.98037 |
|  |  | Peak | 222-232 | 30-50 | 0.43097-0.80122 | 0.58209-1.04862 | 96.95695-102.36243 |
|  |  | area | 232-256 | 5-50 | 0.13572-0.52686 | 0.03882-0.18574 | 97.00023-101.53376 |

## Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantification

The limit of detection (LOD) is the smallest concentration of analyte in the test sample that can be reliably distinguished from zero. The limit of
quantification (LOQ) is the smallest concentration of a material that can be quantitatively measured. The LOD and LOQ for all methods of determination of three compounds refer to good
sensitivity, their values ranged between 0.1520 1.56200 and $0.4560-4.68600 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ depending on the lowest concentration on the calibration curves for the three compounds.

## Methods Application

The application of the suggested methods is achieved by using the regression equations of the
calibration curves of all analysis modes of three compounds. Two concentrations for each compound were chosen for the application. They are 25 and 50 for Par, 5 and 10 for Caf, and 20 and $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ Ibu. All the results were in the acceptable ranges. Rec\% values were around $100 \%$ and RSD\% values were less than $2 \%$ either within a day or between days for three compounds as in Table 4.

Table 4. The Application of the Methods T-test

| compound | Order of derivative | Mode of analysis |  | Concentration $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |  | RSD\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | taken | found | intra-day | inter-day |  |
| Par | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to base line | 234 | 50 | 50.10481 | 0.3937 | 0. 83351 | 100.20962 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 26.00000 | 1.39582 | 1.14960 | 104.00000 |
|  |  |  | 258 | 25 | 25.59321 | 1.27390 | 1.15832 | 102.37285 |
|  |  | Peak area | 216-246 | 25 | 25.52950 | 0.92595 | 1.29584 | 102.11800 |
|  |  |  | 246-282 | 50 | 49.39584 | 1.50139 | 1.59483 | 98.79168 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 25.24818 | 1.52813 | 1.73920 | 100.99271 |
|  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to base line | 228 | 50 | 49.49493 | 0. 72657 | 0.94837 | 98.98986 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 25.59375 | 0.94817 | 1.28473 | 102.37500 |
|  |  |  | 246 | 50 | 48.10935 | 10.84938 | 11.04867 | 96.21870 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 25.16552 | 1.37610 | 1.64592 | 100.66209 |
|  |  |  | 266 | 50 | 51.37488 | 1.27745 | 0.98150 | 102.74976 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 25.74743 | 1.84729 | 1.95949 | 102.98974 |
|  |  | Peak area | 216-236 | 50 | 47.85391 | 1.48295 | 1.38572 | 95.70782 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 24.59820 | 1.47291 | 1.27485 | 98.39281 |
|  |  |  | 236-256 | 50 | 24.99036 | 1.88251 | 1.63927 | 99.96144 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 25.34567 | 1.03820 | 1.19472 | 101.38268 |
|  |  |  | 256-286 | 50 | 51.52758 | 0.89482 | 1.24839 | 103.05516 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 25.96180 | 1.27482 | 1.88372 | 103.84721 |
|  |  | Peak to peak | $246+266$ | 25 | 25.55486 | 1.07483 | 0.95856 | 102.21944 |
|  |  |  |  | 50 | 51.69255 | 1.48205 | 1.33961 | 103.38510 |
| Caf | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to base line Peak area | 264 | 10 | 10.47563 | 1.78392 | 1.84726 | 104.75630 |
|  |  |  | 292 | 10 | 9.63958 | 1.74034 | 0.94836 | 96.39580 |
|  |  |  | 242-278 | 10 | 10.29473 | 1.85943 | 1.68946 | 102.94730 |
|  |  |  | 278-314 | 10 | 9.90284 | 0.89402 | 0.79375 | 99.02840 |
|  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to base line | 252 | 10 | 10.14957 | 1.09053 | 0.758392 | 101.49570 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 4.97464 | 0.99471 | 1.33749 | 99.49282 |
|  |  |  | 280 | 10 | 9.89476 | 0.78546 | 1.19486 | 98.94760 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 5.08336 | 0.91620 | 1.22701 | 101.66725 |
|  |  |  | 300 | 10 | 10.21950 | 1. 81753 | 1.52857 | 102.19504 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 4.86353 | 0.88491 | 1.03827 | 97.27053 |
|  |  | Peak area | 238-266 | 10 | 9.95748 | 1.90305 | 1.49683 | 99.57480 |
|  |  |  | 266-290 | 10 | 10.16583 | 0.94726 | 1.40385 | 101.65830 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 5.20009 | 1.52058 | 1.33951 | 104.00175 |
|  |  | Peak to peak | $252+280$ | 10 | 9.961847 | 0.80284 | 0.91148 | 99.61847 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 4.82864 | 1.38104 | 1.19482 | 96.57285 |
|  |  |  | $280+300$ | 10 | 10.24958 | 1.40385 | 1.55730 | 102.49580 |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 4.79691 | 1.33950 | 1.13951 | 95.93827 |
| Ibu | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to base line Peak area | 234 | 40 | $41.01092$ | 1.17593 | 0.98985 | 102.52730 |
|  |  |  |  | 20 | 20.73790 | 1.48821 | 1.19403 | 103.68950 |
|  |  |  | 224-252 | 40 | 39.59039 | 1.49783 | 0.86937 | 98.97598 |
|  |  |  |  | 20 | 20.33009 | 1.35357 | 1.06503 | 101.65047 |
|  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{DD}$ | Peak to base line | 224 | 40 | 40.49285 | 0.99836 | 1.29476 | 101.23213 |
|  |  |  |  | 20 | 19.94090 | 1.18490 | 1.84593 | 99.70453 |
|  |  |  | 238 | 40 | 41.03857 | 1.33968 | 0.88743 | 102.59643 |
|  |  |  |  | 20 | 20.01168 | 1.59451 | 0.96720 | 100.05839 |
|  |  | Peak area | 222-232 | 40 | 40.08593 | 1.85857 | 1.74659 | 100.21483 |
|  |  |  | 232-256 | 40 | 39.69386 | 1.15473 | 1.08573 | 99.23465 |
|  |  |  |  | 20 | 19.54980 | 0.96821 | 1.30651 | 97.74902 |

## T-test

The t-test values for all measurements at $95 \%$ confidence were less than $t$ table value (1.943), so the error was not systematical and the results are acceptable.

## Conclusion:

New two methods for simultaneous determination of Par, Caf, and Ibu in Pharmaceutical forms were developed based on the double divisor first and second ratio derivative method. The results showed that these methods were precise, accurate, chief, simple, and can be applied in the daily determination of the mention compounds.
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## اللقدير الطيفي الاني باستخدام المشتقة النسبية للباراسيتامول والكافايين والايبوبروفين في اشكالثها ثلاثية المكونـات

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { خلف فارس السامرائي1 } 1 \text { 2 } \\
& \text { 1 } 1 \text { فس الكيمياء، كلية التربية، جامعة سامر اء، سامر اء، العر اق } \\
& 2 \text { قسم تقنيات المختبرات الطبية، كلية التقنيات الصحية والطبية، جامعة اوروك، بغداد، العر اق }
\end{aligned}
$$

تم اقتر اح طربقتين جديدتين و دقبقتنب و متو افقتين و اقتصـاديتين لللقفدير الطيفي لكل من الباراسيتامول و الايبويروفين و الكافايين. والطريقتان هما المشتقة النسبية الاولى و الثانية ثنائية المقسوم عليه. و قد اعطى كل من البار اسيتامول و الايبوبروفين و الكافايين قمم مفيدة في التقابر الكمي لكل منهما. و قد تم تقييم جميع انواع تقنيات النقـير للمكونات الثلاثة و هي ارتفاع القمة الى خط الاساس ومساحة القمة و قمة الى قمة بالاستناد الى ICH. كان التتاسب خطيا لكلا الطريقتين ما بين 5 مكغم/مل كاقل تركيز و 50 مكغ/مل كأعلى تركيز للمكونات الثلاثة. كانت الاسترجاعية المئوية حوالي 100\% و الانحراف المعياري النسبي كان اقل من 2.6\%. طبقت الطريقة بنجاح في تقدير كل من البار اسيتامول و الايبوبروفين و الكافايين في مكوناتها الصيدلانية.

الكلمات المفتّاحية: الكافايين, الاييوبروفين, البار اسيتامول, مساحة القمة, المشتقة النسبية.

