Effect of using MgO coating with multiple coatings on the optical properties of a five-layer stack
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Abstract

A high refractive index (H) 1.7376 dielectric material MgO was used, and four separate low refractive index (L) dielectric materials, BaF$_2$ (Barium fluoride, 1.4825), Al$_2$O$_3$ (Alumina, 1.5322), GdF$_3$ (Gadolinium Fluoride, 1.59) and LaF$_3$ (Lanthanum Fluoride, 1.6056) were added as optical coatings. Five layers across two designs were used for each option. The first format was Glass/H/L/H/L/H, while the second was Glass/L/H/L/H/L. These were tested using MATLAB to calculate the optical properties (M, R$_{vis}$, R$_{sol}$, and T$_{sol}$) of the resulting optical coatings were calculated to determine which coating the most efficient for colouring the glass of solar systems used as building façades. The results explained, using the first design, just two coatings were efficient with respect to colouration, with MgO+BaF$_2$ being the most efficient with values of M=8.25 and R$_{vis}$=38.2. The MgO+Al$_2$O$_3$ coating was the next most efficient, with values of M=6.12 and R$_{vis}$=31.24, the required values for the merit factor (M) and R$_{vis}$ which make the coating efficient for colouration are 6% and 12%, respectively. Further, in this case, only one sharp and regular reflection peak of colouration in the visible region was observed. In the first design the refractive index was found to be inversely proportional to the optical properties of the coating, and thus to the colour efficiency of the coating: the MgO+BaF$_2$ coating was thus deemed more efficient than the MgO+Al$_2$O$_3$ coating. The second design was considered inefficient for colouration for all four coatings, as none of these met the required values for (M) and R$_{vis}$.
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Introduction

Over 40% of the world's energy is used by high-rise buildings and smart cities, a trend that is expected to continue in the near future as a result of growing populations, long-term building utilisation, and a growing need for higher building comfort levels. Buildings are thus closely linked to CO$_2$ production and thus contribute significantly to climate change and global warming, resulting in ocean acidity and rising sea levels as a result of ice cap melting, which is an issue, as the worldwide community has set a goal of achieving net-zero carbon emissions by 2050 in order to limit global temperature rises to 1.5 °C. Previous studies on façade integration have primarily focused on energy considerations; however, to maximize power productivity, façade integrated photovoltaic (FIPVs) are required as part of the full architectural design rather than being only secondary considerations. Typically, building roofs are now coated with opaque photovoltaic products, however, and conventional opaque photovoltaics' glossy surfaces, dark-blue or black colouring, and inflexible geometries make them challenging to integrate as cladding or shading systems in many building façades. As PV technology has advanced,
products to overcome these obstacles have begun to appear, however, and the development of coloured solar panels that offer low costs and high conversion efficiency is likely to be crucial for the widespread market penetration of building-integrated photovoltaic (BIPV). Solar energy harvesting via photovoltaics is among the most promising technologies for the development of self-sustainable constructed environments: architects, construction companies, and homeowners should thus prioritise architectural freedom and aesthetics when implementing solar panels in buildings. Solar panels directly incorporated into a building's façade, or BIPV, are therefore a crucial tool, offering architects the ability to use nearly every portion of a building's surface to generate energy without compromising aesthetics.

Due to shortages of conventional energy and concerns about increases in pollutants, interest in renewable energy, particularly solar energy, has increased in recent years. Solar energy offers opportunities for greater sustainability in terms of power while also creating new jobs in the sector, and among the various typologies, of renewable technologies, solar-based options offer the greatest promise in terms of energy production and decreases in carbon emissions due to the large amount of solar radiation potentially available. Photovoltaic (PV) panels, Solar Thermal Collectors (STC), and hybrid systems are thus among the most commonly used building technologies, and building-integrated solar energy systems using photovoltaics, solar thermal, or various combinations of the two, could readily supply most new buildings with power and/or heat.

However, architects demand aesthetic flexibility when selecting such systems for their designs. People's perceptions of the urban context and the creation of impressions of cities are greatly influenced by the colours of the façade, for example, and traditional commercial photovoltaics' dark blue and black colours do not fit with the colours of most city facades: a black facade is often acceptable in a solitary case, especially in city centres, but it is not often seen as appropriate for widespread usage. Kromatix TM technology offers an idea of maximising colour harmony. Many real-world projects utilise pixelization designs within their architectural language to generate the architects' desired façade images in terms of seamless colour transitions, meticulously organizing the façade parts across various different colours, for example.

People's opinion of beauty is greatly influenced by colour, and the guidelines or principles regarding the best colour pairings for heightened aesthetic perception have been studied for millennia. Science and art have thus both been involved in the development of photovoltaic systems commonly installed on roof areas in the past. However, due to limited roof space, there is now a growing desire for photovoltaics to be installed on building façade. FIPV is a novel and significant method of utilizing solar energy in the built environment, yet few architectural studies have been conducted on FIPV, particularly with respect to colour performance. The growing need for nearly zero-energy buildings can be significantly supported by BIPV, however, as such systems offer promising results for harvesting the plentiful available renewable solar energy in the constructed environment. Real world case studies have demonstrated that BIPV can offer an appealing and sustainable alternative for building façade renovation projects.

In this research, a multi-layer method was used, combining a high refractive index (H) 1.7376 dielectric material (MgO) with four low refractive index (L) dielectric materials, BaF$_2$ (Barium fluoride, 1.4825), Al$_2$O$_3$ (Alumina, 1.5322), GdF$_3$ (Gadolinium Fluoride, 1.59), and LaF$_3$ (Lanthanum Fluoride, 1.6056), to form optical coatings across five layers in two designs. The first layer design was Glass/L/H/L/H/L/H, while the second was Glass/L/H/L/H/L. These were tested using MATLAB, with the optical properties (M, R$_{vis}$, R$_{sol}$, and T$_{sol}$) of the four optical coatings thus calculated to determine which coating offers the most efficient way to colour the glass for solar systems, and, similarly, which might be deemed excessively inefficient.
Theoretical Part

The simplest antireflection coating model involves using a single-layered substrate: the amount of antireflection is then determined by how much light is cancelled by the coating's upper and lower surfaces. The reflection intensity from both surfaces must therefore be equal to completely eliminate reflection. The ratio of the refractive indices of air \((n_o)\) and coating \((n_1)\) thus ought to match the ratio between \(n_1\) and the substrate's refractive index \((n_u)\):

\[
\frac{n_0}{n_1} = \frac{n_1}{n_u} \quad \text{... ... ... 1}
\]

At a design wavelength \((\lambda)\), the physical film thickness \((t_j)\) would thus be

\[
\frac{n_1}{4} \cdot t_j = \frac{\lambda}{4} \quad \text{... ... ... 2}
\]

One minimum is provided by this type of antireflection coating in the reflection profile; however, additional layers are needed for multiple minima, which are required by the underlying theory of the optical matrix method for developing mathematical models for two-, three-, or multi-layer antireflection coatings. A layer or multi-layer (stack of thin films) of the chosen material with a thickness ranging from one nanometer to several micrometers is known as a thin film \(^{16,17}\).

Eq. 3 offers the fundamental statement for the construction of an \(n\)-layer structure, offering a mathematical paradigm for the design of multilayer antireflection coatings\(^{17}\):

\[
\begin{bmatrix} B \\ D \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \delta_j \\ i \cdot n_j \sin \delta_j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n_u} \\ \cos \delta_j \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{... ... 3}
\]

\([B \ D] \) indicates the sum of the amplitudes of light propagation's electrical and magnetic fields. The refractive index of the substrate is \(u\), while the \(j\)th layer's refractive index is \(j\), where \(j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\). The value of \(j\) represents the layer's phase thickness at each wavelength, equivalent to\(^{18}\)

\[
\delta_j = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \cdot n_j t_j \quad \text{... ... ...} \quad 4
\]

Optical admittance \((Y)\) is defined as the ratio of \(D\) to \(B\)\(^{17}\):

\[
Y = \frac{D}{B} \quad \text{... ... 5}
\]

The matrix of the characteristics for \(n\) different coatings at the design wavelength \((\lambda)\) is represented in Eq. 6. This can be used to compute the combined reflectance for multiple thin coatings\(^{18}\):

\[
L = L_1, L_2, L_3, \ldots \ldots L_n \quad \text{... ... 6}
\]

Each thin film layer is represented by the following \(2 \times 2\) matrix\(^{17}\):

\[
L_j = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \delta_j & \left( \frac{i}{n_j} \right) \sin \delta_j \\ i \cdot n_j \sin \delta_j & \cos \delta_j \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{... ... 7}
\]

Eqs. 8 and 9 can then be used to calculate the coefficient of reflection \((r)\) as well as the reflectance \((R)\)\(^{17,18}\).

For \(N\)-layer designs of antireflection coatings, the optical matrix technique can be used. The primary concept behind this technology is to match the incidental light's \(E\) and \(H\) fields at the interfaces of multilayer optical coatings.

The optical interference matrix offers an efficient method for calculating film reflectivity\(^{19}\):

\[
r = \frac{n_0 - Y}{n_0 + Y} \quad \text{... ... 8}
\]

\[
R = rr = \begin{bmatrix} n_0 - Y \\ n_0 + Y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 - Y \\ n_0 + Y \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{... ... ... 9}
\]

The reflectance matrix equation for a quarter wave thickness at normal incidence is thus\(^{17,20}\)

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \\ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{... ... 10}
\]

where \(n\) is the layer's refractive index, in which \(j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\)

Morphological differences in films may cause the optical reflection to change, however\(^{21}\).
Materials and Methods

In this research, a high refractive index (H) 1.7376 dielectric material MgO was used alongside four low refractive index (L) dielectric materials, BaF$_2$ (Barium fluoride, 1.4825), Al$_2$O$_3$ (Alumina, 1.5322), GdF$_3$ (Gadolinium Fluoride, 1.59) and LaF$_3$ (Lanthanum Fluoride, 1.6056) to form optical coatings across five layers in two designs on a glass substrate. The first design was thus Glass/H/L/H/L/H, while the second was Glass/L/H/L/H/L for quarter wave thicknesses, as shown in Fig. 1. This was designed in MATLAB.

Results and Discussion

The optical properties of the four optical coatings were calculated as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layers of</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Merit</th>
<th>R$_{vis.}$</th>
<th>R$_{sol.}$</th>
<th>T$_{sol.}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO+BaF$_2$</td>
<td>1.482</td>
<td>8.255</td>
<td>38.23</td>
<td>4.631</td>
<td>95.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO+Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>1.532</td>
<td>6.122</td>
<td>31.24</td>
<td>5.102</td>
<td>94.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO+GdF$_3$</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>4.153</td>
<td>23.61</td>
<td>5.686</td>
<td>94.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO+LaF$_3$</td>
<td>1.605</td>
<td>3.708</td>
<td>21.69</td>
<td>5.849</td>
<td>94.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results show that, in the first case (Glass/H/L/H/L/H), the values of M, R$_{vis.}$, T$_{sol.}$ increase as the values of the refractive indices decrease, while the R$_{sol.}$ values increase with any increase in the refractive indices. This represents the amount of loss in the system, as shown in Fig. 2.
Figure 2. Variation in optical properties (Figure of merit (M), $R_{\text{vis}}$, $T_{\text{sol}}$, and $R_{\text{sol}}$) for multilayer optical coatings by refractive index.

The results show that the coating using MgO+BaF$_2$ is the most efficient for colouring purposes, with values of $M=8.25$ and $R_{\text{vis}}=38.2$; the next most efficient is the coating with MgO+Al$_2$O$_3$, which demonstrated values of $M=6.12$ and $R_{\text{vis}}=31.24$, respectively. The required values of $M$ and $R_{\text{vis}}$ that allow a coating to be seemed efficient for colouring purposes are 6% and 12%, respectively, as explained in reference 22. The remainder of the coatings must thus be considered inefficient, as they do not achieve the required values of $M$ and $R_{\text{vis}}$. Fig. 3 illustrates the behaviour of the reflection peak for all four coatings, showing that there is one regular reflection peak in the visible region for these four coatings, but that the reflection peak of the MgO+BaF$_2$ coating is the best at 45%.

Figure 3. Behaviour of the reflection peak in the visible region for four coatings using the first optical design (Glass/H/L/H/L/H).

In the second case, when the design used is Glass/L/H/L/H/L, all the coatings must be considered inefficient for colouring, as they do not reach the required values of $M$ and $R_{\text{vis}}$, as shown in Table 2. Further, the reflection peak for all coatings is irregular, with no singular sharp peak in the visible region representing peak colouration, as shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 4. Irregular behaviours of the reflection peak in the visible region for the four coatings using the second optical design (Glass/L/H/L/H/L/H).

Table 2. Optical properties for four optical coatings across five layers using the G/L/H/L/H/L/Air design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layers of Materials</th>
<th>$n_L$</th>
<th>Merit</th>
<th>$R_{\text{vis}}$</th>
<th>$R_{\text{sol}}$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{sol}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO+BaF$_2$</td>
<td>1.4825</td>
<td>1.7186</td>
<td>8.028</td>
<td>4.6713</td>
<td>95.3287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO+Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>1.5322</td>
<td>1.275</td>
<td>6.5677</td>
<td>5.1512</td>
<td>94.8488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO+GdF$_3$</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.0302</td>
<td>5.9066</td>
<td>5.7336</td>
<td>94.2664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO+LaF$_3$</td>
<td>1.6056</td>
<td>1.0108</td>
<td>5.8996</td>
<td>5.8366</td>
<td>94.1634</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

Using the first design (Glass/H/L/H/L/H) for five layers, just two coatings are shown to be efficient in terms of the necessary colouration (MgO+BaF$_2$ and MgO+Al$_2$O$_3$), based on them achieving the required values for the figure of merit (M) and $R_{vis}$ as well as showing only a singular sharp regular reflection peak of colouration in the visible region. The refractive index in the first design is inversely proportional to the optical properties of the coating, and hence the colour efficiency of the coating, however, leading to the MgO+BaF$_2$ coating being more efficient than the MgO+Al$_2$O$_3$ coating in terms of colouration. The second design (Glass/L/H/L/H/L) for five layers must be considered inefficient for colouration for all four coating types (MgO+BaF$_2$, MgO+Al$_2$O$_3$, MgO+GdF$_3$, and MgO+LaF$_3$), however, as the required values for the figure of merit (M) and $R_{vis}$ are not achieved in any case, and all coatings show irregular reflection peaks in the visible region.
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تأثير استخدام طلاء متعدد على الطلاء البصرية للزجاج الأسود
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الخلاصة

تم استخدام الطلاء البصرية للزجاج الأسود مع إضافة مواد مساعدة. تم استخدام التصميم الثانكي، يتناسب معامل الانكساخ مع الخصائص البصرية للطلاء وبالتالي كفاءة التسمن. تظهر الأربعة وثانيًا للطلاء وكفاءة التسمن، مما يعني أن الطلاء (Glass/L/H/L/H) تؤدي إلى انخفاض الخمس، ويستقبل فقط لبلاطات اللذين، مما بالzanoان. T

الكلمات المفتاحية: التزجيج الملون، تكامل واجهات المنظومات الشمسية، طلاء متعدد الطبقات، المرشح البصري التداخلي، الأغشاء الرقيقة.